

From: [Liz Robinson](#)
To: [Tabachnick, Alan](#); [Wolff, Mikenna](#)
Subject: Re: Uinta Basin Railway Project, OEA's Draft Technical Memorandum
Date: Wednesday, December 9, 2020 11:14:24 AM

Hi Alan and Mikenna,

I know I'm past the comment deadline for the tech memo, but I wanted to send this along since there are just a couple things that I will bring up today:

Add UDOT to the list of land managers in the Alternatives section.

In Table 14 under Vibration, what about all the tunneling? Would vibration from this activity not extend beyond 100 feet of the centerline?

In Analysis by Action Alternative, there isn't any methodology provided about the preliminary adverse effect analysis. Tables 12 & 13 describe the activities that could have potential for adverse effect but there needs to be more detail so that we know what this preliminary determination of adverse effect is based on. Is it assumed that any impact will result in an adverse effect? Does N/A mean that this resource is not in the alternative APE or that it is not impacted by the alternative?

On Fri, Oct 23, 2020 at 11:46 AM Tabachnick, Alan <alan.tabachnick@stb.gov> wrote:

Dear Consulting Party,

As we have discussed during our Consulting Party teleconferences over the past months, we have been working on the Historic Properties Technical Memorandum for the Uinta Basin Railway project. Due to file size, the Tech Memo will be shared via OneDrive. Please look for an email with the subject line "*Wolff, Mikenna shared the folder '_UBR Historic Properties Technical Memorandum' with you.*". The files are ready for your review and I look forward to discussing them with you over the coming weeks. Your input is valuable and will help make the Section 106 process as strong and effective as possible. Please send your comments to me by email and copy Mikenna Wolff of ICF (Mikenna.Wolff@icf.com) by Monday, November 23rd.

To simplify your review, I have provided the text of the Tech Memo in Word format. To the greatest extent possible, please offer your comments in the form of tracked changes in the document. You may also wish to offer comments in an email. I have also provided the Tech Memo attachments in .pdf format.

Our regularly scheduled Consulting Party Teleconference is next week, Wednesday, October 28th. A portion of this month's teleconference will cover what you can expect in the Tech Memo. This meeting, as well as the November meeting, will provide a forum for

you to verbally share comments and questions. This draft version of the Historic Properties Tech Memo will also be appended to the draft Environmental Impact Statement.

Please feel free to email or call me if you have trouble accessing the Tech Memo or if you have questions or concerns. I look forward to your input on this important document.

Thank you for your ongoing participation in Section 106 consultation. Stay safe.

Alan Tabachnick

Historic Preservation Specialist

Office of Environmental Analysis

Surface Transportation Board

395 E Street SW

Washington, DC 20423

Office: 202-245-0367

Cell: 215-370-3579

--

Liz Robinson

UDOT Cultural Resources Program Manager

4501 South 2700 West

PO Box 148450

Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

801-910-2035