

E0-2630



RE: FD 35852: Proposed Rail Construction - Inquiry Regarding
Government-to-Government and Section 106 Consultations

David Navecky to: Young, Megan

06/16/2015 01:14 PM

Good afternoon Megan -

It has been a couple weeks and I have not heard back from your THPO or Attorney General yet. As you suggested, I'm just sending a gentle reminder to you of that situation.

Thanks for your assistance!

Dave

Dave Navecky
Office of Environmental Analysis
Surface Transportation Board
395 E Street SW
Washington, DC 20423
202-245-0294
www.stb.dot.gov

"Young, Megan"

David, I have forwarded this information to the a...

05/22/2015 04:05:30 PM

From: "Young, Megan" <myoung@pci-nsn.gov>
To: "David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov" <David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 05/22/2015 04:05 PM
Subject: RE: FD 35852: Proposed Rail Construction - Inquiry Regarding Government-to-Government and Section 106 Consultations

David,

I have forwarded this information to the appropriate individuals. Please let me know if you do not receive a response but mid to end of next week. Have a great Memorial Day weekend!

Megan

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: David.Navecky@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/22/2015 11:50 AM (GMT-06:00)
To: "Young, Megan" <myoung@pci-nsn.gov>
Subject: FD 35852: Proposed Rail Construction - Inquiry Regarding Government-to-Government and Section 106 Consultations

Good afternoon, Megan -

Thank you so much for your prompt call back and for forwarding my voice mail message to the Tribal Attorney General and Tribal Historic Preservation Office.

Attached are the original letters of inquiry sent out in October 2014 regarding the railroad construction project proposed by the Canaveral Port Authority in Brevard County, Florida. I have also attached a copy of the presentation that was given to interested parties during the project scoping process in November 2014 and my agency's Notice of Intent to prepare the environmental impact statement.

The questions I have are:

1. Is the Tribe interested in being routinely updated about the project on a government-to-government basis or under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act?
2. If yes, who are my contacts and what are their preferred methods of communication (e.g., mail, email, phone calls)?

As a very brief update on the project since the October 2014 letters, in the next month or two, we expect to finalize the alternatives that will be studied in detail for the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) being prepared under the National Environmental Policy Act. We will then begin collecting the data and conducting the necessary field studies (including archaeological surveys) that will enable us to begin preparing the Draft EIS.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Have a great weekend.

Thanks,

Dave

Dave Navecky
Office of Environmental Analysis
Surface Transportation Board
395 E Street SW
Washington, DC 20423
202-245-0294
www.stb.dot.gov

(See attached file: PCRE Poarch Creek Chairman Letter 10_27_2014.pdf)(See attached file: PCRE_Poarch Creek THPO Letter 10_27_2014.pdf)(See attached file: PCRE_Scoping Meeting Presentation_FINAL.pdf)(See attached file: 44051.pdf)