

From: Savanna Agardy <sagardy@utah.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:20 PM

To: Tabachnick, Alan <alan.tabachnick@stb.gov>

Cc: Christopher Merritt <cmerritt@utah.gov>; Christopher Hansen <clhansen@utah.gov>

Subject: UBR Archaeology Report - Preliminary SHPO Comments

Hi Alan,

I took a look at the UBR Archaeology Report. The report itself looks really good, I just have a few comments on a few issues with the site forms. See below.

42DC3802 should be eligible for the NRHP under Criteria A. The site was previously considered eligible, and the eligibility justification isn't sufficient enough to overturn as previous eligibility call. Additionally, the site form says SHPO concurred with the eligibility on this site, however our records show that the site went into our records as unevaluated (although it was marked eligible).

42DC4128 - P-01 should be listed under tools (Part B, line #6)

42UT1370 needs to be marked eligible. The site is marked ineligible, however the justification notes that the site as a whole is eligible, the segment itself is just noncontributing. Since the site as a whole is eligible, line number 25 on Part A needs to be checked eligible, while the justification clarifies that the segment is noncontributing.

42DC4134 - the ENV on Part C, line #8, needs to be "1" and the "glass bottle comments" is incorrect (says "no ceramics observed").

42DC4135 has the site maps for 42DC4136, which is incorrect. The maps for 42DC4135 needs to be included on the 42DC4135 UASF.

Overall, good preliminary report and survey for the UBR. SWCA does great work and I can always expect good site forms from them, which makes my job easier!

I hope you are navigating Sego and UDAM successfully, but if not let me know and I'd be happy to assist. Otherwise, I will talk to you in the next UBR call in two weeks.

Thanks!

Savanna

--

Savanna Agardy

Compliance Archaeologist

Utah State Historic Preservation Office

801-245-7246

sagardy@utah.gov