From: Jed Reynolds <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jed Reynolds
2522 Park St
Bellingham, WA 98225-2725
(360) 714-1655

From: Lakota Crystal <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lakota Crystal
505 291st Street Ct E
Roy, WA 98580-8503
(253) 267-4325

From: Leslie Evenson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leslie Evenson
7066 92nd Ave SE
Mercer Island, WA 98040-5434
(206) 275-4200

From: Andy Tatom <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Andy Tatom
91 Heather Park Rd
Port Angeles, WA 98362-9449

From: Kerry Krebill <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kerry Krebill
8 Park Pl
Clancy, MT 59634-9759
(406) 933-5246

From: "Derek W. Freeman" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Derek W. Freeman
4717 E Echo Glen Ln
Spokane, WA 99223-2256
(509) 443-6364

From: Donna Gelder <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Gelder
1309 N Cora St
Ellensburg, WA 98926-9462

From: Sybille Vital <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sybille Vital
206 Easy St SE
Rainier, WA 98576-9581
(305) 270-8660

From: Madeleine Kolb <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Madeleine Kolb
4231 NE 107th St
Seattle, WA 98125-6900
(206) 432-9395

From: Marilyn Miller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marilyn Miller
3911 Pueblo Hts
Mount Vernon, WA 98273-9133
(360) 428-5634

From: Kate Mcwiggins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kate Mcwiggins
27028 SE 170th St
Issaquah, WA 98027-8268

From: Kristi Waddell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristi Waddell
16533 34th Ave NE
Lake Forest Park, WA 98155-6511
(206) 362-1345

From: Debbie Burnup <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debbie Burnup
c/- seattle pacific university
seattle, WA 98119

From: Lori Wolski <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lori Wolski
10041 Cochrane Ave
Yelm, WA 98597-7805

From: Rod Stoick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: You know, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Aside from the environmental arguments against using (and thereby shipping) coal, I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rod Stoick
3319 River Rd
Laurel, MT 59044-8809
(406) 628-6495

From: Kermit Severson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kermit Severson
3004 Georgia Pl
Anacortes, WA 98221-1341

From: Shirley Rivas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Shirley Rivas
707 Q St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-3827
(360) 390-4919

From: Gwen Howard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gwen Howard
937 Van Buren St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-6558
(360) 385-0927

From: Valerie Seely <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Valerie Seely
3410 NW Market St Apt B
Seattle, WA 98107-5139

From: David Ereth <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Ereth
6454 19th St W Apt D
Tacoma, WA 98466-6123
(253) 267-5424

From: Lin Provost <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lin Provost
3707 42nd Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144-7205
(206) 723-1026

From: Wendy Ferrier <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wendy Ferrier
3583 Overlook Dr
Langley, WA 98260-8611
(360) 221-5262

From: Paul Sullivan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Paul Sullivan
144 Lake Hills Dr
Bigfork, MT 59911-3519
(406) 837-5089

From: Arne Nizhnikov <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Arne Nizhnikov
741 Home Ave
Snohomish, WA 98290-2360

From: Tammy Roberson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tammy Roberson
PO Box 1696
Medical Lake, WA 99022-1696
(509) 299-7897

From: Meta Chessin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Meta Chessin
4115 Greenwood Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-7017
(206) 547-5024

From: Blair Kangley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Blair Kangley
2531 W Dravus St
Seattle, WA 98199-2843

From: Richard Moore <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Moore
1932 Nipsic Ave
Bremerton, WA 98310-5034

From: Susan Kay <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan Kay
14806 107th Way SW
Vashon, WA 98070-5236
(206) 245-6011

From: Luke Steichen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Luke Steichen
13455 SE 181st St
Renton, WA 98058-6815

From: Roberta Copenhefer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Roberta Copenhefer
17800 Glade Rd
Mabton, WA 98935-9781
(509) 896-2474

From: Anne Siems <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Anne Siems
6548 21st Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-5708
(206) 782-8194

From: Pat Cole <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Pat Cole
1804 E 14th Ave
Ellensburg, WA 98926-5127
(509) 899-2600

From: Dennis Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Anderson
1811 SW Lake Roesiger Rd
Snohomish, WA 98290-7563
(360) 568-1415

From: Carol Page <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Page
1005 Terrace St Apt 600
Seattle, WA 98104-2413
(206) 941-3871

From: Patricia Reid <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Reid
10903 Palisades Ave SW
Vashon, WA 98070-3050
(206) 567-4631

From: Regina Wilhelm <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Regina Wilhelm
14040 15th Ave NE Apt 14e
Seattle, WA 98125-3118
(206) 367-0878

From: Martha Armitstead <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Martha Armitstead
4156 47th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116-4002
(206) 938-4156

From: Dave Fairburn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dave Fairburn
Military Road So.
Federal Way, WA 98001
(775) 821-4455

From: Kirstin Mueller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kirstin Mueller
702 Campbell Ave
Mukilteo, WA 98275-2042
(425) 293-5046

From: Laura Craig <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Craig
12120 Gravelly Lake Dr SW
Lakewood, WA 98499-1416
(253) 301-1536

From: Margaret Adam <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: No Tongue River Railroad
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

The STB should take the "no action alternative" regarding the Tongue River. The only purpose of this railroad is to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruin. The entire project is a speculation, and there is no reason to ruin communities, ranches and the Northern Cheyenne tribal lands for such a project.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Adam
PO Box 5197
Bozeman, MT 59717-5197

From: Will Skinner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Will Skinner
804 NE 91st St
Seattle, WA 98115-2818
(347) 463-8631

From: Martha Koester <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Martha Koester
10015 2nd Ave S
Seattle, WA 98168-1376
(206) 762-6417

From: Edith Harrington <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Edith Harrington
1437 Cherry Dr
Bozeman, MT 59715-5924
(406) 600-0101

From: "Meryle A. Korn" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad - and leave polluting, carbon-intensive coal in the ground!

Sincerely,

Ms. Meryle A. Korn
2821 Huron St
Bellingham, WA 98226-4112
(360) 306-5146

From: Ronda Snider <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ronda Snider
13805 Easy Street Kp N
Gig Harbor, WA 98329-5131

From: Holly Hallman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Holly Hallman
222494 Se 42nd Terrace
Issaquah, WA 98029-6247
(425) 736-2684

From: Trina Cooper <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Trina Cooper
2239 SW 331st St
Federal Way, WA 98023-2831
(111) 111-1111

From: Dave Popoff <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dave Popoff
2510 Alexis Road
colville, WA 99114
(509) 684-2233

From: Angela Bellacosa <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela Bellacosa
5511 University Way NE Apt 1
Seattle, WA 98105-3582

From: Fred Brewer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fred Brewer
416 Eldora Dr
Butte, MT 59701-9714
(406) 494-3715

From: Rebecca Degeorge <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rebecca Degeorge
3724 38th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144-7126
(206) 725-7073

From: Lars Henrikson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lars Henrikson
7956 34th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98126-3557
(206) 938-1809

From: Lynn Colson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynn Colson
4740 Austin Ct
Bellingham, WA 98229-2659
(303) 969-8686

From: Christy Lewis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christy Lewis
1131 Hazel Ave NW
Bremerton, WA 98312-2243
(360) 479-2043

From: Rob Schrock <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rob Schrock
952 Ranch Ln
Kalispell, MT 59901-2191
(406) 756-0411

From: Mariah Maracle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mariah Maracle
11500 15th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-6319

From: Greg Carriere <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Greg Carriere
4501 Fremont Ave N Apt 1
Seattle, WA 98103-6557
(206) 632-5349

From: Tom Mexicancheyenne <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Mexicancheyenne
62 Lame Deer Avenue
Lame Deer, MT 59043-0296

From: James Coulter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Coulter
411 1st St NE
Auburn, WA 98002-5042
(253) 205-5932

From: Christopher Allan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Allan
308 N French Ave
Arlington, WA 98223-1318
(360) 474-0921

From: Marc DeLateur <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Marc DeLateur
5911 N Whitehouse St
Spokane, WA 99205-6341
(360) 545-3743

From: Sam Hanson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sam Hanson
6128 N Beulah Ave
Ferndale, WA 98248-9381
(350) 739-4314

From: Sharon Parshall <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Parshall
4348 336th Pl Se
Fall City, WA 98024-0593

From: Allen Bauer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Allen Bauer
19708 15th Ave NE Apt 39
Shoreline, WA 98155-1167
(206) 271-3774

From: Daniel Altschuler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Daniel Altschuler
3803 NE 94th St
Seattle, WA 98115-3754

From: Barbara Mandula <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Mandula
1425 Broadway # 343
Seattle, WA 98122-3854
(206) 922-3131

From: Daniel Rosenfeld <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Rosenfeld
15374 SE 183rd Dr
Renton, WA 98058-9642
(425) 687-3162

From: James Limerick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Limerick
1416 N Cedar St
Tacoma, WA 98406-6424
(253) 396-1313

From: Spencer Walsh <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Spencer Walsh
10708 Whitman Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133-8826
(206) 240-9937

From: Lorraine Holcomb <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lorraine Holcomb
2332 E Hemmi Rd
Bellingham, WA 98226-9536
(360) 966-0176

From: Suzi Hokonson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Suzi Hokonson
1315 W Woodside Pl
Spokane, WA 99208-4264
(509) 326-2216

From: Sadie Moore <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sadie Moore
13011 Meridian E
Puyallup, WA 98373-5638
(253) 881-1874

From: Holly Thayer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Holly Thayer
7215 178th St E
Puyallup, WA 98375-2340

From: Ruth Mulvihill <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ruth Mulvihill
1639 Sapphire Trl # 1
Bellingham, WA 98226-8712
(360) 714-8783

From: Karen Howard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Howard
8447 Moonglow Ct
Blaine, WA 98230-6502
(360) 371-3127

From: Daniel Gamelin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Gamelin
1760 NE 62nd St
Seattle, WA 98115-6821
(206) 523-6720

From: Clayton Conway <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clayton Conway
107 Pine St
Seattle, WA 98101-1528
(555) 555-5555

From: Paul Weiss <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Weiss
3927 Adams Ln NE
Seattle, WA 98105-6650
(619) 307-3853

From: John Huskinson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Huskinson
5026 22nd Ave NE Apt 2
Seattle, WA 98105-5729
(206) 525-0716

From: Timothy Hayes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Timothy Hayes
1305 E 1st St Apt 27a
Port Angeles, WA 98362-4645

From: Fred Jiles <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fred Jiles
500 N Emerson Ave
Wenatchee, WA 98801-2079

From: Randall Chambers <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randall Chambers
66 Forest Park Trailer Court
Bozeman, MT 59718
(208) 699-0937

From: Sandra Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sandra Smith
329 17th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-5706
(206) 295-0349

From: Kathy Kestell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathy Kestell
15611 N Little Spokane Dr
Spokane, WA 99208-8527
(509) 466-6110

From: Richard Serr <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Serr
4470 Dillon Rd
Springdale, WA 99173

From: Linnell Hinchey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linnell Hinchey
2717 E 18th Ave
Spokane, WA 99223-5139
(509) 535-3684

From: Jim Murphey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jim Murphey
1017 S Black Ave
Bozeman, MT 59715-5311
(406) 582-1918

From: Wendy Williams <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wendy Williams
33 Moonbow Rd
Belgrade, MT 59714-7595
(406) 585-9929

From: Gail Barton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.TOO MANY ACCIDENTS AND TOO MUCH POLLUTION ALREADY SHOW US THE DISASTERS OF MORE FOSSIL DEVELOPMENTS. WE MUST TURN TO ALTERNATIVE ENERGIES NOW!

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gail Barton
1010 Old River Rd
Naches, WA 98937-9419
(509) 658-2108

From: Monica Holliday <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Monica Holliday
947 Bench Blvd
Billings, MT 59105-3305

From: Catherine Maxwell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Catherine Maxwell
871 Bighorn Ln
Stevensville, MT 59870-6319
(406) 531-3199

From: Jason Walsh <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jason Walsh
1493 Beach Dr E
Port Orchard, WA 98366-4909
(360) 871-2265

From: Elliot Heifetz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Elliot Heifetz
23143 135th Ave SE
Kent, WA 98042-3767
(206) 310-4679

From: Lee Buffington <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lee Buffington
2257 80th Ave SE
Mercer Island, WA 98040-2206
(206) 226-7280

From: Henry Mcguire <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Henry Mcguire
1526 11th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98119-3205
(206) 282-8610

From: Olga Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Olga Wright
955 SW Alcora Dr
Pullman, WA 99163-2004
(509) 334-7777

From: Robert Vreeland <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust. On top of that, Earth's climate cannot take on the additional CO2 resulting from burning the dirty coal and still hope to support sustainable life. If the environmental review didn't include the additional CO2 in Earth's atmosphere from burning the coal and subsequent impacts, it should have.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Vreeland
520 E 10th St
Port Angeles, WA 98362-7928
(360) 457-0239

From: Frances Wade <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Frances Wade
103 Crestview Dr Apt 223
Bigfork, MT 59911-3500
(406) 837-1002

From: Dorothy Walker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Dorothy Walker
3608 Forest Beach Dr NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-5850
(253) 265-6059

From: Brian Ferguson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Ferguson
105 Inverness Road
Inverness, WA 98103

From: Thomas Dewitt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Dewitt
35305 SE Kinsey St Unit 303
Snoqualmie, WA 98065-8961
(425) 890-5502

From: Sara Stayte <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sara Stayte
5433 36th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98126-2825
(206) 935-7999

From: "k. eggers" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. k. eggers
2353 Addy Gifford Rd
Addy, WA 99101-9712

From: Carmen Sebastian <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carmen Sebastian
12820 Mill Creek Rd
Lolo, MT 59847-8428
(720) 273-2275

From: Dana Knutson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dana Knutson
3727 58th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116-3018
(206) 933-7392

From: Bill Laestadius <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Laestadius
6228 84th Ave SE
Mercer Island, WA 98040-4939

From: Beth Grendahl <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beth Grendahl
103003 E Vaca Rd
Kennewick, WA 99338-9342
(509) 491-3467

From: Lauren Collins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lauren Collins
21429 Oak Way
Brier, WA 98036-7900
(206) 546-8904

From: Ruth Blaney <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

It is really past time to "stop beating a dead horse." I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ruth Blaney
9444 Olympus Beach Rd NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-1439
(206) 842-6390

From: Patrick Kerwin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Patrick Kerwin
302 Tamarack
Goldendale, WA 98620-2416
(509) 773-4860

From: Carol Heaston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Heaston
1108 S 5th Ave
Kelso, WA 98626-2415

From: Joel Vignere <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Any further or increased coal production should be discouraged, not incouraged.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joel Vignere
PO Box 194
Lakeside, MT 59922-0194
(406) 844-3479

From: Mark Resnick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Resnick
3432 Woodlawn Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-9044
(206) 297-1805

From: Keith Stracchino <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please remember that you represent the interests of all Americans, not just a tiny, but powerful, ultra-wealthy minority with no interest at all in the negative consequences of their actions on society at large.

Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Stracchino
10508 E Cimmaron Dr
Spokane Valley, WA 99206-8649
(509) 922-0274

From: Toddy Perryman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Toddy Perryman
1525 Silver Sage Ln
Corvallis, MT 59828-9573
(406) 961-4959

From: Chance Unterseher <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chance Unterseher
3333 164th St SW
Lynnwood, WA 98087-3150

From: Kelly Moore <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kelly Moore
569 SE Andrews St
Issaquah, WA 98027-3411
(206) 372-4628

From: Patricia Scown <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad: Too much pollution
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patricia Scown
1815 Monroe Ave
Butte, MT 59701-5641
(406) 723-7213

From: Irene Fruzzetti <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Irene Fruzzetti
16410 17th Ave E
Spanaway, WA 98387-7638
(253) 535-2470

From: Julie Holtzman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Holtzman
1018 13th St Apt 35
Snohomish, WA 98290-2053
(360) 348-5531

From: Nadia Kim <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nadia Kim
2312 3rd Ave Unit 327
Seattle, WA 98121-1737

From: Michael And Barbara Hill <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.
LEAVE IT IN THE GROUND

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael And Barbara Hill
PO Box 323
Elbe, WA 98330-0323

From: Becky Tipler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Becky Tipler
PO Box 1066
Boulder, MT 59632-1066
(406) 225-4239

From: Guy Moats <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Guy Moats
358 Moats Ln
Superior, MT 59872-9691
(406) 822-8688

From: Adam Wong <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Adam Wong
3925 180th Pl SW
Lynnwood, WA 98037-3832

From: Edward Davis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Davis
37620 Teel Ln NE
Hansville, WA 98340-9727
(360) 881-0532

From: Jill Sazanami <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jill Sazanami
8181 Cultus Bay Rd
Clinton, WA 98236-8906
(206) 922-3446

From: David Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Anderson
16004 E Longfellow Ave
Spokane Valley, WA 99216-1587
(509) 921-0051

From: Jared Widman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jared Widman
504 Dekalb St
Port Orchard, WA 98366-5314
(360) 920-6595

From: Emily Day <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Emily Day
PO Box 61
Langley, WA 98260-0061
(360) 221-5989

From: "Harold J. Green" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Harold J. Green
3520 N Park Rd
Spokane Valley, WA 99212-1509
(509) 869-7881

From: Claire Trauth <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Claire Trauth
3958 Kootenai Ct
Stevensville, MT 59870-6435
(406) 375-5399

From: David Benson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. David Benson
725 NE Illinois St
Pullman, WA 99163-3920
(509) 432-1020

From: Marilyn Webb <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marilyn Webb
4522 36th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98199-1154
(206) 285-3672

From: Haakon Skjerping <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Haakon Skjerping
706 E 34th St
Tacoma, WA 98404-2116
(253) 627-3499

From: Heidi Heckman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Heidi Heckman
PO Box 512
Poulsbo, WA 98370-0512
(360) 697-9070

From: Kassie Wheeler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kassie Wheeler
PO Box 106
Deer Park, WA 99006-0106
(509) 292-9421

From: Bruce Pringle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Bruce Pringle
816 S 216th St # T438
Des Moines, WA 98198-6331
(206) 870-8438

From: Nick Engelfried <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nick Engelfried
321 S 1st St W # 3
Apt 3
Missoula, MT 59801-1895
(406) 203-2345

From: Robyn Cleaves <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robyn Cleaves
2908 S 10th St
Tacoma, WA 98405-2523
(253) 961-9738

From: Ann Mescher <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Ann Mescher
19131 45th Ave NE
Lake Forest Park, WA 98155-1703
(206) 985-8222

From: Lisa Hammer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lisa Hammer
316 SE Pioneer Way
Oak Harbor, WA 98277-5716
(360) 320-9943

From: Gwenna Carlson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gwenna Carlson
654 Chestnut Ave
Richland, WA 99352-3634
(555) 555-5555

From: Natalya Yudkovsky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Natalya Yudkovsky
6532 29th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-7235
(206) 526-0985

From: Elena Rumiantseva <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elena Rumiantseva
310 N 46th St Apt 103
Seattle, WA 98103-6351
(206) 324-1610

From: Linda Mattox <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Mattox
6321 Seaview Ave NW Unit 24
Seattle, WA 98107-2671
(206) 283-9216

From: Kathleen Mckeehen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Mckeehen
PO Box 481
Indianola, WA 98342-0481
(360) 297-8858

From: Harvey Williamson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Harvey Williamson
8535 Ocean Beach Hwy
Longview, WA 98632-9728
(360) 423-3860

From: David Ludden <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Ludden
2401 NE Blakeley St Apt 302
Seattle, WA 98105-3281
(206) 331-9708

From: elizabeth cunningham <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. elizabeth cunningham
1746 NW 57th St Apt 402
Seattle, WA 98107-5607
(206) 420-7745

From: Don Bianchi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Bianchi
707 Minnesota St Apt B
Belgrade, MT 59714-4148
(406) 388-0054

From: Tana Patterson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tana Patterson
1712 Lewis Ave
Billings, MT 59102-4045
(406) 245-1037

From: J Clark <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. J Clark
8th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98155

From: Laura Craig <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Craig
12120 Gravelly Lake Dr SW
Lakewood, WA 98499-1416
(253) 301-1536

From: Mark & Lisa Sukut <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark & Lisa Sukut
515 E Montana St
Livingston, MT 59047-2122
(406) 222-2598

From: Debbie Mueller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debbie Mueller
601 S Crystal St
Butte, MT 59701-2337
(406) 782-6651

From: R Wood <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. R Wood
Address
City, WA 98105-6099
(444) 444-4444

From: Dorothy Jordan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I strongly oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dorothy Jordan
1407 Abbott Rd
Lynden, WA 98264-9401
(360) 354-3736

From: David Peha <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Peha
14923 SE 183rd St
Renton, WA 98058-9648
(425) 228-7846

From: Cheryl Jones <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheryl Jones
409 Eastlake Ave E
Seattle, WA 98109-5469
(206) 623-1822

From: Carolyn Johnston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Johnston
332 Red Deer Dr
Port Angeles, WA 98362-8231
(360) 417-0655

From: Susanne Hartwell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susanne Hartwell
PO Box 10308
Spokane, WA 99209-1308

From: Lory Garrett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lory Garrett
Noneya
Port Angeles, WA 98363

From: Kenneth Vanden Heuvel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Vanden Heuvel
PO Box 702
Newman Lake, WA 99025-0702
(509) 226-0691

From: Dianna Macleod <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dianna Macleod
720 Edgecliff Dr
Langley, WA 98260-9600
(360) 221-0715

From: Sue Hylen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sue Hylen
9195 Hemlock Ave NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-1986
(206) 842-3566

From: Bobbie Hawkes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Bobbie Hawkes
PO Box 1318
Kingston, WA 98346-1318

From: Kelly Keefer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kelly Keefer
5203 66th Avenue Ct W
University Place, WA 98467-2229
(253) 566-5577

From: Gloria Mcclintock <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gloria Mcclintock
1411 Northview Ct
Mount Vernon, WA 98274-8761
(360) 899-9929

From: Lynn Offutt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynn Offutt
2513 113th Pl SE
Everett, WA 98208-7427
(425) 225-5222

From: Craig Steffler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Steffler
23717 SE 274th St
Maple Valley, WA 98038-5148
(425) 433-8482

From: Michael Hoover <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Hoover
5945 Heartache Rd
East Helena, MT 59635-9425

From: Linda Montesi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Montesi
280 E Pickering Dr
Shelton, WA 98584-6906
(360) 462-0300

From: Barbara Arnoldsen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Arnoldsen
PO Box 1195
Coupeville, WA 98239-1195
(360) 877-5365

From: Charles & Gloria Sting <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Charles & Gloria Sting
16017 21st Ave SW
Burien, WA 98166-2631
(425) 242-5363

From: Willie Edwards <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Willie Edwards
1619 S 73rd St
Tacoma, WA 98408-1232
(253) 474-6832

From: William Hinman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional m Coal is dirty fuel

Sincerely,

Mr. William Hinman
2894 Mint Place
Longview, WA 98633

From: Robbie Holz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robbie Holz
230 4th Ave N
Edmonds, WA 98020-3116
(425) 967-5514

From: Shelley Sechrist <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

We really need to STOP mining more and more coal. This would benefit one entity...the coal company...while damaging so many others.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shelley Sechrist
3729 Hamilton Rd
Belgrade, MT 59714-8400
(555) 555-5555

From: ron slosky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. ron slosky
100 W Harrison St
Ste S330
Seattle, WA 98119-4175
(206) 595-9676

From: Ardell Schwilk <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ardell Schwilk
5420 Park Place Loop SE
Lacey, WA 98503-4300

From: Kathy Kemper <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, say no to the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathy Kemper
3238 NW 64th St
Seattle, WA 98107-2615

From: Nancy Anvari <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Anvari
2908 Lohman Ct SE
Olympia, WA 98501-6649

From: Michael Shurgot <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Michael Shurgot
6536 31st Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-7243
(206) 522-8265

From: Evelyn Mcchesney <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Evelyn Mcchesney
2901 NE Blakeley St Apt 208
Seattle, WA 98105-3160
(206) 525-3984

From: David Peeterson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Peeterson
7315 216th St SW Apt 3
Edmonds, WA 98026-8001
(425) 672-8785

From: Jerry John Flintoff <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry John Flintoff
3043 NE 203rd St
Lake Forest Park, WA 98155-1552
(206) 550-1641

From: Tara Leigh <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Seriously!

Sincerely,

Ms. Tara Leigh
1600 SW Dash Point Rd Ste B
Federal Way, WA 98023-4568
(206) 226-2606

From: Lisa Drost Nash <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Please consider the best situation for your many constituents in Montana, Idaho and Washington and seek out sustainable, clean energy sources rather than continue to pollute and destroy the environment through strip mining. Let's look to the future together rather than doom us all with pollution, climate warming and destruction of our planet. We can do better.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Drost Nash
10753 Palatine Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133-8726
(206) 363-2678

From: Christopher Hoffman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Christopher Hoffman
Math Department # 354350
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195-0001

From: Kay Thomas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kay Thomas
18225 46th Pl S
Seatac, WA 98188-4541

From: Stoney Bird <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stoney Bird
1346 Humboldt St
Bellingham, WA 98225-4932
(360) 647-6696

From: Gene Cetrone <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Gene Cetrone
PO Box 12
Red Lodge, MT 59068-0012

From: Steve Twowolves <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Twowolves
PO Box 7166
Missoula, MT 59807-7166
(406) 251-5579

From: Judith Schwab <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Judith Schwab
9142 N Mercer Way Apt 7203
Mercer Island, WA 98040-3147
(206) 232-0157

From: Judy Malone <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judy Malone
68 Vista Dr
Billings, MT 59102-6281

From: William Geer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Geer
6135 Delarka Dr
Lolo, MT 59847-9522

From: Jim Leuba <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jim Leuba
1770 Front St # 142
Lynden, WA 98264-2103
(360) 354-4706

From: Glenn Fain <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glenn Fain
3015 NW Market St
Seattle, WA 98107-4260

From: Joanna Schoettler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Fossil Fuels are destroying our Earth and we don't need to spend anymore money on the devastating effect. Coal is DIRTY and those companies need to invest in clean energy which is cheaper and provides more jobs. They need to invest in Bio-Mass products instead of harmful 19th Century technologies.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joanna Schoettler
156 28th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-6202
(206) 971-6751

From: Mary Thibaudeau <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Thibaudeau
PO Box 1823
Great Falls, MT 59403-1823
(406) 788-2835

From: Shannon Thomas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Shannon Thomas
803 Insulator Ave
Helena, MT 59602-7831
(480) 947-3201

From: Jeff Gustafson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Gustafson
3420 38th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98199-1910
(206) 321-0942

From: Michael Breneman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Michael Breneman
1507 172nd St NE Ste C-1
Marysville, WA 98271-5484
(360) 913-3116

From: Rebekka James <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rebekka James
5102 162nd Street Ct E
Tacoma, WA 98446-3828

From: Ernetta Skerlec <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ernetta Skerlec
6801 77th St W
Lakewood, WA 98499-2345
(253) 584-1540

From: Kevin O'Keeffe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kevin O'Keeffe
938 22nd Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-3515
(206) 973-7009

From: James Mulcare <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Mulcare
1110 Benjamin St
Clarkston, WA 99403-2576
(509) 758-3934

From: Jeff Soper <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Soper
1050 Hoquiam Ave NE
Renton, WA 98059-4315
(425) 793-3111

From: Marsha Osbrn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marsha Osbrn
6241 S Wapato Lake Dr
Tacoma, WA 98408-2206
(253) 683-4300

From: Wally Bubelis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wally Bubelis
5432 45th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98136-1108
(206) 932-3037

From: Beth Lanie <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beth Lanie
23106 63rd Ave W
Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043-2939
(425) 771-2740

From: Diane Dishion <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Dishion
3909 Meadow View Dr
Pasco, WA 99301-9432
(425) 868-9439

From: Christine Valentine <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Valentine
PO Box 547
Birney, MT 59012-0547
(406) 984-6222

From: Jeanne Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jeanne Smith
8102 6th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-4024
(206) 783-5654

From: Cheryl Sullivan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheryl Sullivan
4924 Cottage Ln SE
Lacey, WA 98503-5918
(360) 489-1133

From: Joel Jones <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joel Jones
2105 NW Yates St
Pullman, WA 99163-3662
(509) 432-3515

From: Dan Wershow <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Wershow
438 24th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-4714
(206) 325-4390

From: "S. Wolf Britain" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. S. Wolf Britain
PO Box 4665
Whitefish, MT 59937-4665
(406) 863-2004

From: John Roche <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Roche
2603 SW Adams St
Seattle, WA 98126-2515
(808) 276-6351

From: Lael Bradshaw <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad. No more coal shipments.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lael Bradshaw
325 Forest Glen Ln
Camano Island, WA 98282-7664
(360) 387-1884

From: Dolores Darst <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Dolores Darst
343 Cedar Park Dr
Port Angeles, WA 98362-8429
(136) 045-7062

From: Mary Jane Engh <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Jane Engh
PO Box 97
Garfield, WA 99130-0097

From: Elizabeth Rivard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Rivard
2206 Choteau St
Helena, MT 59601-1430
(406) 465-3882

From: John Lackland <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Lackland
512 NW Silver Glen Ln
Bremerton, WA 98311-9102
(360) 692-7005

From: Marsha Shaiman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marsha Shaiman
824 29th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-5004
(206) 525-5086

From: Elaine Spittler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elaine Spittler
PO Box 2201
Red Lodge, MT 59068-2201

From: Mary Sprute <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Sprute
18620 52nd Ave W Apt 127
Lynnwood, WA 98037-4521
(425) 776-4316

From: Marscha Irving <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marscha Irving
5832 Banner Rd Se
Port Orchard, WA 983679765
(360) 769-8818

From: Ola Edwards <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Ola Edwards
4025 NE 57th St
Seattle, WA 98105-2243
(206) 523-6649

From: Lon Levalley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lon Levalley
15518 137th Pl NE
Arlington, WA 98223-7940
(425) 299-2171

From: Jeff Laik <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Laik
29223 208th Ct SE
Kent, WA 98042-6826
(253) 630-3140

From: Toni Penton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Toni Penton
10823 206th St SE
Snohomish, WA 98296-4924
(425) 489-9776

From: Clinton Betz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad and the Arch Coal Co Strip Mine:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

Carrying coal to China seems to be a wrong idea economically, environmentally, and potentially unprofitable to transport. By the way, anybody know if the sodium laden coal to be extracted is 'clean' coal or 'dirty' for use as fuel by anyone, Chinese included? As an alternative, how about supporting the notion of an efficient low emission coal fired steam powered power generation plant on the site and bury the overburden and coal ash in the hole whence came the coal in the first place??? Power can be added to the National Power Grid shipped cleaner and cheaper than shipping raw coal thousands of miles over land and sea, doesn't it? And with less infrastructure and environmental destruction than a railroad. How about creation of jobs beyond stripping the coal out of the ground to ship rather than use it in place and bury the leftovers in covered grassland?

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clinton Betz
805 4th Ave N Apt 252
Seattle, WA 98109-4096
(206) 743-8731

From: Julene T Weaver <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julene T Weaver
5201 22nd Ave NE Apt 201
Seattle, WA 98105-5786

From: Jalien Shandler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jalien Shandler
1730 Labounty Dr
Ferndale, WA 98248-8959

From: Julieann Palumbo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Julieann Palumbo
5212 California Ave SW Apt 12
Seattle, WA 98136-1239
(718) 640-3334

From: Wayne Mortimer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wayne Mortimer
405 W Olive St
Bozeman, MT 59715-4547

From: Jan Gordon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Jan Gordon
16544 Colony Rd
Bow, WA 98232-8512

From: John Seeburger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Seeburger
8 Lakeside Country Clb SW
Lakewood, WA 98498-5244
(253) 588-8928

From: Jay Russo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jay Russo
1262 Duncan Creek Rd
Stevenson, WA 98648-6177
(509) 427-5002

From: Barbara Bonfield <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Bonfield
5702 N 33rd St Unit 4d
Tacoma, WA 98407-2515
(740) 366-6069

From: Dennis Underwood <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Underwood
1809 E 31st St
Tacoma, WA 98404-4914
(206) 772-6736

From: Lewis Sikes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

It is time to stop government support for the Coal Industry. It is not only and environmental hazard it is an economic hazard. I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lewis Sikes
PO Box 122
Grapeview, WA 98546-0122
(360) 275-5649

From: Billie Mckibben <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Billie Mckibben
20306 SE 268th St
Covington, WA 98042-6115
(253) 852-3124

From: John Rossiter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Rossiter
1505 Rimrock Rd
Billings, MT 59102-0741
(406) 252-0603

From: Taryn Joel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Taryn Joel
2425 SW Webster St
Seattle, WA 98106-1726
(206) 478-5736

From: Earnestine Lawrence <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Earnestine Lawrence
2606 Cascade Pl W Apt 16
Tacoma, WA 98466-5316

From: Andrew Holt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Andrew Holt
530 N 166th St
Shoreline, WA 98133-5205
(206) 354-6290

From: Anthony Marchani <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony Marchani
1105 Spring St Apt 601
Seattle, WA 98104-3515
(206) 954-5611

From: Russel West <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Russel West
1622 NE Perkins Way
Shoreline, WA 98155-2344
(206) 909-3668

From: Stefanie Hawks-Johnson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Stefanie Hawks-Johnson
1477 SW 10th St
North Bend, WA 98045-7960
(206) 459-1395

From: Elaine Kimbler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elaine Kimbler
1313 W Geyser St
Livingston, MT 59047-3339
(406) 222-6526

From: Christina Manetti <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christina Manetti
PO Box 99097
Lakewood, WA 98496-0097

From: Cassie Gleckler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cassie Gleckler
5149 New Sweden Rd NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3119
(206) 842-2735

From: Daniel Mcleod <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Mcleod
5095 Bittrich Antler Rd
Deer Park, WA 99006-9412
(509) 276-6706

From: John Cook <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Cook
144 Terri Ln
Eastsound, WA 98245-9661
(360) 376-5104

From: John Marley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Marley
14649 Brandie Ct SE
Yelm, WA 98597-9170
(360) 446-5339

From: Charles Hartik <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Hartik
PO Box 461
Tonasket, WA 98855-0461
(509) 486-2560

From: Jane Haugen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane Haugen
217 Alder St Apt 204
Edmonds, WA 98020-3531
(425) 774-8768

From: Tim Burns <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tim Burns
32117 42nd Pl SW
Federal Way, WA 98023-2405
(253) 874-6292

From: William Davison <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Davison
923 112th St SW Apt A106
Everett, WA 98204-7866

From: Joseph Hoch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Hoch
123 S Adams Ave
Red Lodge, MT 59068-9038
(406) 245-3037

From: Renee Vincent <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Renee Vincent
931 E Oak St
Sequim, WA 98382-3554
(360) 797-1563

From: Sandra Kersten Chalk <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Kersten Chalk
2600 2nd Ave Apt 323
Seattle, WA 98121-1229
(206) 457-9954

From: Jonathan Walter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jonathan Walter
6531 Dennis Pl SW
Tumwater, WA 98501-5438
(360) 878-9220

From: Hannah Glasser <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Hannah Glasser
PO Box 309
Olga, WA 98279-0309
(360) 376-3822

From: John Gable <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Gable
PO Box 6063
Bozeman, MT 59771-6063
(414) 242-0010

From: Val Schroeder <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Val Schroeder
1369 Arrowhead Rd
Camano Island, WA 98282-7227
(360) 387-2236

From: Jim Kraft <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Kraft
317 Alder Ave
Sumner, WA 98390-1347

From: Donovan Walker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donovan Walker
312 W 10th St
Libby, MT 59923-1834
(406) 293-6518

From: Debbi Pratt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debbi Pratt
3535 27th Pl W Apt 505
Seattle, WA 98199-2110
(206) 281-4929

From: Becky Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Becky Anderson
2043 Ponderosa Ct
Bellingham, WA 98229-5367
(360) 671-6985

From: Thelma Follett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Thelma Follett
PO Box 28804
Bellingham, WA 98228-0804
(360) 671-0434

From: Allan Nicholson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Allan Nicholson
1 My St.
Seattle, WA 98168
(206) 246-4188

From: Dave Berger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dave Berger
728 7th St
Lyle, WA 98635-9015
(509) 365-3103

From: Paul Potts <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Potts
1720
Raymond, WA 98577

From: Steve Bear <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Bear
220 Pocket Ln
Port Townsend, WA 98368-8833
(360) 379-8915

From: Michele Boderck <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michele Boderck
923 Kaiser Rd NW
Olympia, WA 98502-2577
(765) 432-2344

From: Gary Jones <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Jones
PO Box 415
Gallatin Gateway, MT 59730-0415
(406) 570-6608

From: Russell Wilson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Russell Wilson
38914 N Hatch Rd
Deer Park, WA 99006-8211

From: Jeff Mcconaughy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Mcconaughy
1301 24th St
Bellingham, WA 98225-7237

From: Lyssa Mercier <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lyssa Mercier
1920 9th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98119-2820
(206) 566-5111

From: Thomas Brady <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Brady
5714 W Houston Ave
Spokane, WA 99208-3817
(509) 328-1495

From: Jan Yakish <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jan Yakish
19914 Road A.8 NE
Soap Lake, WA 98851-9661
(509) 246-1614

From: June MacArthur <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. June MacArthur
1045 Hillandale Dr E
Port Orchard, WA 98366-3830
(360) 871-8009

From: Jill Nunez <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jill Nunez
23824 105th Street Ct E
Buckley, WA 98321-8410

From: Vicki Michels <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vicki Michels
19414 Aurora Ave N Unit 311
Shoreline, WA 98133-3948
(206) 546-6281

From: Ed Love <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ed Love
515 1/2 20th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-4011

From: William Mcgunagle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Mcgunagle
1727 E Olympic Ave
Spokane, WA 99207-4133
(509) 489-5230

From: Martha Armitstead <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Martha Armitstead
4156 47th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116-4002
(206) 938-4156

From: Katharine Duncan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enough is Enough!!! We already have enough trains going through many town in Montana. We don't need anymore!! We don't want the ones we have!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Katharine Duncan
1095 Fletcher Ln
Stevensville, MT 59870-6115
(406) 241-7697

From: Frederick Howard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Frederick Howard
1125
Port Orchard, WA 98366

From: Jeffrey Paul LaGasse <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad. We need to leave the carbon in the ground.
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jeffrey Paul LaGasse
PO Box 637
Freeland, WA 98249-0637
(360) 579-2744

From: Laura Huddlestone <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Huddlestone
5222 18th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98106-1549
(206) 767-0601

From: Pauline Druffel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pauline Druffel
930 S Cannon St Apt 13
Spokane, WA 99204-4372
(509) 624-2127

From: Jerry Stansfield <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry Stansfield
621 40th Pl
Everett, WA 98201-4861
(425) 258-6034

From: John Earhart <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Earhart
9776 Windcove Ln NW
Silverdale, WA 98383-8315
(360) 613-0053

From: Ellen Schartz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ellen Schartz
2710 W Manor Pl
Seattle, WA 98199-2059

From: Carole Huelsberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carole Huelsberg
4807 Willamette St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-1044
(360) 379-0814

From: David Dewire <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Dewire
38164 Hansville Dr NE
Hansville, WA 98340-8762
(360) 638-1000

From: Bob Eugene <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Eugene
121 Woodard Rd
Newport, WA 99156-9350
(509) 447-5700

From: Nancy Palmer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Palmer
2226 NW 59th St
Seattle, WA 98107-3137
(206) 781-0763

From: Taylor Marye-Baker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Taylor Marye-Baker
PO Box 731
Ocean Park, WA 98640-0731

From: Tyler Morse <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

As a frequent visitor to Montana and a Washington resident, I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tyler Morse
2521 S Grant Ave
Tacoma, WA 98405-3123

From: Julie Gandulla <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Gandulla
PO Box 232
Bozeman, MT 59771-0232
(406) 451-3697

From: Sherry E <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sherry E
3284 Ohme Rd
Wenatchee, WA 98801-9014
(425) 481-3204

From: M Smyth <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. M Smyth
1050 Church
Ferndale, WA 98250

From: Magdalene Bumford <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Magdalene Bumford
10941 Creekwood Dr SW
Olympia, WA 98512-8595
(360) 786-8829

From: Helen Curtis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

As a resident of Washington, through which the coal trains would travel, I strongly oppose building this railroad to transport coal to export markets when the world should be getting away from coal and other fossil fuels altogether.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Helen Curtis
8523 N Stevens Dr
Spokane, WA 99208-5930
(509) 467-0454

From: Alexandra Marc <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Alexandra Marc
9247 Interlake Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-3300

From: Fay Payton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Fay Payton
814 SE 12th St
College Place, WA 99324-1842
(425) 333-0064

From: Jerald Cogswell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I live in Montana. I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. China's Department of Environmental Quality announced that the city of Beijing will be coal free by 2020. The Rockefeller Foundation, built with Standard Oil money, says that it is divesting of all fossil fuel stocks and bonds.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerald Cogswell
PO Box 2294
Eureka, MT 59917-2294
(406) 889-3355

From: Daniel Brant <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Brant
310 Willow St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-6417
(360) 385-4881

From: Sara Brigham <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sara Brigham
1421 Iron Cap Dr
Stevensville, MT 59870-6640

From: Stuart Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Stuart Smith
4644 Grand Fir Ln NW
Olympia, WA 98502-8867

From: "Norman Husser Jr." <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Norman Husser Jr.
7543 39th Ave NE Apt 3
Seattle, WA 98115-8008

From: Jayson Luu <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jayson Luu
10455 62nd Ave S
Seattle, WA 98178-2340

From: Mark Thomas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Thomas
1000 SE Spring St
Pullman, WA 99163-2248
(509) 592-5604

From: Janice Kreider <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Janice Kreider
4722 48th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105-3826
(517) 719-0811

From: Michael Von Sacher Masoch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Von Sacher Masoch
PO Box 5273
Everett, WA 98206-5273
(555) 555-5555

From: Don Robertson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Robertson
3105 30th Ave SE
Puyallup, WA 98374-1580
(253) 435-9488

From: Catherine Lowe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Catherine Lowe
121 Severns Ln
Hamilton, MT 59840-9639
(406) 961-5392

From: Don Robertson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Robertson
3105 30th Ave SE
Puyallup, WA 98374-1580
(253) 435-9488

From: Mara Price <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mara Price
10509 76th Dr NE
Marysville, WA 98270-7935
(360) 722-5752

From: Gerry Martin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gerry Martin
4501 Grandview Dr W Unit T211
Tacoma, WA 98466-1106
(253) 592-3549

From: Elyette Weinstein <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Elyette Weinstein
5000 Orvas Ct SE
Olympia, WA 98501-4765
(360) 705-8388

From: Beverly Villinger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beverly Villinger
PO Box 6122
Bozeman, MT 59771-6122
(406) 587-2288

From: Laureen Manera <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laureen Manera
917 N Union St
Kennewick, WA 99336-2306

From: Robin Crawford <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Crawford
5705 208th Street Ct E
Spanaway, WA 98387-4762

From: Peter Reum <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Reum
431 Custer Ave
Billings, MT 59101-2838
(406) 702-1662

From: Ruth Garcia <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ruth Garcia
25805 34th Ave S
Kent, WA 98032-9738

From: Steven Gerner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Gerner
1055 N Meridian Rd
Kalispell, MT 59901-3587
(406) 261-4862

From: Kristina Peterson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristina Peterson
13510 N Creek Dr
Mill Creek, WA 98012-2078
(425) 357-9414

From: Elizabeth Mccarthy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Mccarthy
935 Fawcett Ave
Tacoma, WA 98402-5605

From: Kim Dickey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kim Dickey
16328 River Rd
Leavenworth, WA 98826-8204
(509) 763-9909

From: Florinda Tudose <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Florinda Tudose
1924 18th St
Bellingham, WA 98225-8086
(410) 761-8356

From: Ellen Mckinley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ellen Mckinley
3826 80th Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501-9607
(360) 491-9009

From: Sean O'Brien <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sean O'Brien
4350 Inclination Way
Missoula, MT 59803-9709

From: Neil Rader <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Neil Rader
3213 S 17th St
Tacoma, WA 98405-2425
(253) 272-4890

From: Mark Thomas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Thomas
1000 SE Spring St
Pullman, WA 99163-2248
(509) 592-5604

From: David Linn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Linn
918 Hassalo Ave SE
Ocean Shores, WA 98569-9737
(360) 589-5805

From: Margaret Scaief <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Scaief
2204 Lopez St
Bellingham, WA 98229-6815

From: Florence Harty <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Florence Harty
1130 NW Baker Dr
White Salmon, WA 98672-8901
(561) 392-8817

From: Cynthia Dixson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cynthia Dixson
21917 Cook Rd
Sedro Woolley, WA 98284-8727
(360) 856-6872

From: Larry Deemer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Deemer
6008 E 18th Ave
Spokane Valley, WA 99212-3209
(509) 534-0304

From: Brian Flaherty <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Flaherty
17840 Burke Pl N
Shoreline, WA 98133-5115
(206) 542-9230

From: Aisha Farhoud <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Aisha Farhoud
4750 16th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105-4296
(206) 439-2441

From: Khris Burdick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Khris Burdick
373 S 2nd Ave Apt 6
Walla Walla, WA 99362-3053

From: Edris Jorgensen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Edris Jorgensen
27010 SE 170th St
Issaquah, WA 98027-8268
(425) 313-1025

From: Jodi Taylor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jodi Taylor
2626 Eastlake Ave E
Seattle, WA 98102-3245

From: Spencer Selander <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Spencer Selander
341 Pioneer Ave NE
Castle Rock, WA 98611-9233
(360) 274-6536

From: James Wesley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

No more coal!

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Wesley
4446 Eden Valley Rd
Port Angeles, WA 98363-8835

From: James Wesley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

No more coal!

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Wesley
4446 Eden Valley Rd
Port Angeles, WA 98363-8835

From: Suzanne Ravet <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Suzanne Ravet
1549 Florence St
Enumclaw, WA 98022-2220
(360) 825-5723

From: Peter And Lynda Clark <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust. We live in Helena, MT just a few blocks from the BNSF rail line and the coal treason traffic is already disruptive and a noise, carbon and dust polluter!

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter And Lynda Clark
808 Getchell St Apt 1
Helena, MT 59601-3360
(541) 678-1674

From: Kathleen Tei <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Tei
1408 A Street Kp N
Lakebay, WA 98349-9642
(253) 884-1654

From: Robert Seaman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Seaman
8670 Delta Line Rd
Custer, WA 98240-9618
(360) 366-4620

From: Gary Simonsen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Simonsen
PO Box 339
Medical Lake, WA 99022-0339
(509) 299-6657

From: Stephanie Cochrane <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Coal is dying, and rightly so! It is filthy, polluting, and contributes to Climate Change. I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Stephanie Cochrane
PO Box 107
Gardiner, MT 59030-0107
(406) 848-9822

From: Stephen Bailey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

ENOUGH NIGHTMARE DESTRUCTION SCHEMES!
JUST SAY N O !!!!!!!!!!

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Bailey
4778 Edward Dr
Deming, WA 98244-9415

From: John Ricca <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Ricca
33 Woodduck Way
Port Townsend, WA 98368-8633
(360) 379-1910

From: Cheryl Nelson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cheryl Nelson
1310 17th St
Anacortes, WA 98221-2353

From: Mark Coleman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Coleman
1618 S 11th St W
Missoula, MT 59801-4838
(406) 542-0728

From: Diane George <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane George
PO Box 219
Hobart, WA 98025-0219
(425) 432-3212

From: Donna Davis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Davis
338 Viewcrest Rd
Bellingham, WA 98229-8975

From: Jacob R Rufer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jacob R Rufer
PO Box 1368
Shelton, WA 98584-0916
(360) 490-2678

From: Mark Koehnen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Koehnen
PO Box 185
Quincy, WA 98848-0185

From: Inge Dalland <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Inge Dalland
16020 9th Ave SW
Burien, WA 98166-2922
(206) 242-9299

From: Joann Ireland <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joann Ireland
28606 41st Ave S
Auburn, WA 98001-1307
(206) 802-4091

From: Sandi Nichols <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

And we need to quit putting more carbon in the atmosphere....which acidifies our oceans which compromises an important food source.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandi Nichols
PO Box 626
Butte, MT 59703-0626
(406) 565-5454

From: Julia Briggs <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Julia Briggs
6528 60th St SE
Snohomish, WA 98290-5122
(425) 335-1359

From: Mark Koehnen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Koehnen
PO Box 185
Quincy, WA 98848-0185

From: Travis Ludlam <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Travis Ludlam
6306 Jenna Dr
Nine Mile Falls, WA 99026-5013
(509) 270-9299

From: Judith Grayson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Grayson
1333 Cedar Ave
Marysville, WA 98270-3626

From: Cheri Hill <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cheri Hill
PO Box 480
White Salmon, WA 98672-0480
(509) 637-0032

From: Elizabeth Dear <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

This is a disaster waiting to happen. I personally know ranchers who have had family ranches on this proposed route for over a century. This would be the demise of their way of life!!! We, as responsible human beings, cannot let this happen.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Dear
PO Box 1315
Great Falls, MT 59403-1315

From: Sandra Clarren <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Sandra Clarren
8601 Paisley Dr NE
Seattle, WA 98115-3946
(206) 525-2510

From: Kathleen Hancock <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathleen Hancock
46451 Baker Loop Rd
Concrete, WA 98237-9558
(360) 770-4633

From: Bob Seibert <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Seibert
PO Box 7095
Bozeman, MT 59771-7095
(406) 556-7085

From: Ronald Reagan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Reagan
3528 N Normandie St
Spokane, WA 99205-3047
(509) 325-9761

From: Michael Bailey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Bailey
19111 Old Highway 99 SW
Rochester, WA 98579-9112

From: Elizabeth Dawson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Dawson
PO Box 562
Port Hadlock, WA 98339-0562
(360) 821-2920

From: Eric Ramsey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Ramsey
2231 George St
Billings, MT 59102-6340
(406) 697-5699

From: Carlos Kainz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Carlos Kainz
7329 46th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98118-3909

From: Barbara Wooley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Wooley
621 S California St
Dillon, MT 59725-2827
(406) 683-6365

From: Nancy Fredrick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Fredrick
42 Thunder Rd
Port Townsend, WA 98368-9455
(360) 379-9065

From: Alicelia Warren <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Dear Mr. Blodgett:

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

In WA State we already face the prospect of 100's more oil trains to export our oil. We don't want coal trains crossing our state in order to line the pockets of executives at Arch and the Tongue River Railroad companies.

Please take the "no action alternative" on this proposal.

Alicelia Warren
University Place WA 98466-4824

Sincerely,

Ms. Alicelia Warren
2122 Bridgeport Way W
University Place, WA 98466-4824

From: Mark Wirth <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Wirth
101 Boylston Ave E Apt 35
Seattle, WA 98102-5656

From: Sheila Taylor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sheila Taylor
3817 N Atlantic St
Spokane, WA 99205-3068

From: Kristina Gravette <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kristina Gravette
425 Mt Park Blvd SW
Issaquah, WA 98027-3618
(425) 391-0404

From: Ella Robson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ella Robson
PO Box 243
Huntley, MT 59037-0243

From: Kathie Dove <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathie Dove
2925 St Michael Dr
Missoula, MT 59803-2918
(415) 518-2718

From: John Guros <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Guros
2644 S 226th St Apt D204
Des Moines, WA 98198-7184
(206) 824-6405

From: Beverly Poppe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beverly Poppe
3247 Rachelle Rd
Helena, MT 59602-9743
(406) 422-4074

From: Ken Clark <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Clark
9501 21st Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-2414
(206) 275-2450

From: Raeann Scott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Raeann Scott
3694 Halverstick Rd
Sumas, WA 98295-9749
(360) 360-9146

From: Thomas Trescone <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Trescone
2226 Elliott Ave Apt 213
Seattle, WA 98121-1663
(312) 343-0538

From: Sandra Perkins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Perkins
13226 42nd Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-4627
(206) 000-0000

From: Joann Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joann Anderson
614 Daniels Dr NE
East Wenatchee, WA 98802-4036
(509) 881-2000

From: Mary Onufer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Onufer
16129 Tiger Mountain Rd SE
Issaquah, WA 98027-8341
(425) 391-4168

From: Sharon Kaylen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Kaylen
14778 Sivertson Rd NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3052
(206) 842-8031

From: Emma Bartholomew <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Emma Bartholomew
4322 13th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98108-1424

From: Fayette Krause <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Fayette Krause
2315 Madrona St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-2730
(360) 385-2148

From: Jennifer Woodbridge <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Woodbridge
Honey Lane
Friday Harbor, WA 98250

From: Javon Monahan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Javon Monahan
1816 Leonard Dr
Walla Walla, WA 99362-4422
(509) 240-1629

From: Matthew Logalbo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Matthew Logalbo
2539 13th Ave S Unit 203
Seattle, WA 98144-8005
(508) 736-2113

From: Clayton Jones <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clayton Jones
14509 3rd Ave NE
Shoreline, WA 98155-6861
(206) 234-5959

From: Clarence Sanders <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clarence Sanders
4416 Morning Sun Dr
Bozeman, MT 59715-0645
(541) 382-7179

From: Robert Tank <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

The death throes of a deservedly dying industry must not be permitted to damage or destroy desirable lands and lifestyles in their wake. Unbridled greed should not take priority over rational evaluation of present and future consequences.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Tank
PO Box 266
Greenbank, WA 98253-0266

From: E Ross <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. E Ross
Randall
Aberdeen, WA 98520

From: Heidi Handsaker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Heidi Handsaker
918 Avenue C
Billings, MT 59102-3350
(406) 534-2743

From: Jeffrey Watson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeffrey Watson
13523 248th Ave SE
Issaquah, WA 98027-7352

From: Eric McDougal <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric McDougal
516 High St Rm 403
Bellingham, WA 98225-5946

From: Laurel Hughes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laurel Hughes
8814 236th St SW Apt 23
Edmonds, WA 98026-8926
(206) 437-4421

From: Ray Redd <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ray Redd
10121 Evergreen Way
Everett, WA 98204-3885
(425) 355-9790

From: Dorie Green <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dorie Green
4050 W Babcock St Apt 5
Bozeman, MT 59718-6313
(406) 586-9136

From: Anthony Carpio <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony Carpio
2035 NW 63rd St Apt 203
Seattle, WA 98107-2484
(502) 292-9213

From: Warren Weissman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Warren Weissman
2607 Western Ave Apt 704
Seattle, WA 98121-1379
(914) 774-4016

From: Marty Fortner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marty Fortner
2305 1st Ave Apt 223
Seattle, WA 98121-1638
(714) 913-7680

From: Ron Kaminski <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ron Kaminski
4533 Hoskins Rd
Billings, MT 59105-5103
(406) 698-8799

From: Michael Briggs <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Michael Briggs
76 W Pine Creek Rd
Livingston, MT 59047-8817

From: Lisa Madsen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Madsen
624 Grand Ave
Billings, MT 59101-5822

From: Maradel Gale <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Please pay attention to what really matters here. That is: keep the coal in the ground, and don't support the plans of this failing coal mine operation. Because it will drive another nail into the coffin of clean air, rational decisions regarding climate change and the health of those exposed to coal shipped by rail, I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maradel Gale
239 Parfitt Way SW Unit 2a
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-4900
(206) 842-5133

From: Mike Kuntz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Kuntz
2822 SW 169th St
Burien, WA 98166-3238
(206) 387-3408

From: Linda Mattox <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Mattox
6321 Seaview Ave NW Unit 24
Seattle, WA 98107-2671
(206) 283-9216

From: Lori Higgins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lori Higgins
PO Box 392
Stevenson, WA 98648-0392

From: Brian Larson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Larson
6553 25th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-5823
(206) 551-3118

From: Phillip Leija <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Phillip Leija
13923 E Main Ave
Spokane Valley, WA 99216-2046
(509) 922-5511

From: kent bond <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. kent bond
5802 E Edgemont Ave
Scottsdale, AZ 85257-1031

From: April Beasley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. April Beasley
4023 E Fairview Ave
Spokane, WA 99217-7052

From: Ralph Lopez <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ralph Lopez
1113 W 10th St
Port Angeles, WA 98363-5627
(360) 452-9069

From: Eli Weinstein <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eli Weinstein
1000 Minor Ave Apt 1007
Seattle, WA 98104-1339

From: Pearl Ranstrom <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pearl Ranstrom
23005 Old Mill Rd
Vashon, WA 98070
(206) 463-4046

From: Clifford Ballard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clifford Ballard
706 Sunset Blvd SW
Mattawa, WA 99349-1917

From: Kriss Erickson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kriss Erickson
109 W Intercity Ave
Everett, WA 98204-2741
(425) 265-9921

From: Sharan Lanan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharan Lanan
3916 SW 98th St
Seattle, WA 98136-2835
(206) 935-6061

From: Charles Domingue <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Domingue
4309 Isaqua Pn Rd SE Unit 104
Sammamish, WA 98075-6292
(425) 319-0045

From: Roger Clark <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roger Clark
806 12th St
Bellingham, WA 98225-6213
(360) 733-8944

From: Judith Heath <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

We don't want coal trains running through the Northwest; we don't want any part of ripping right of way for a dubious project; we don't support coal as an energy source whether burned next door or somewhere else in the world to blow back on us; we don't want to support another self-serving corporate feeding frenzy in our environment or economy.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Judith Heath
2535 Ivy St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-6821
(360) 302-5037

From: T Izeppi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Miss T Izeppi
3056 60th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116-5824

From: Jean Thornsbury <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jean Thornsbury
36721 6th Ave SW
Federal Way, WA 98023-7272
(253) 283-6520

From: Nancy Mattson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Mattson
4409 California Ave SW Apt 602
Seattle, WA 98116-4915

From: Laura Zerr <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Zerr
33916 186th Ave SE
Auburn, WA 98092-9289

From: Cathleen Gosho <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cathleen Gosho
20125 Fremont Ave N
Shoreline, WA 98133-3032
(206) 533-8703

From: George Wilhelm <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Wilhelm
12747 4th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98177-4227
(206) 367-3887

From: Frank Valenti <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Frank Valenti
12245 Ski View Ln
Leavenworth, WA 98826-8714
(509) 548-1250

From: Kris Beitlich <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kris Beitlich
1206 N Cedarview Dr
Bozeman, MT 59715-5913

From: Marilyn Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marilyn Smith
1415 8th St
Clarkston, WA 99403-2733

From: Laurrie Thompson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laurrie Thompson
3046 NE 94th St
Seattle, WA 98115-3540

From: Mark Hessman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Hessman
3380 NE 3rd St N Trlr 10
Bremerton, WA 98311-9671
(360) 286-2481

From: Gerry Martin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gerry Martin
4501 Grandview Dr W Unit T211
Tacoma, WA 98466-1106
(253) 592-3549

From: Jane Patterson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane Patterson
16550 27th Ave NE
Shoreline, WA 98155-6121
(206) 323-3675

From: Jennifer Gindt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Gindt
4138 S Lloyd Rd
Spokane, WA 99223-1264
(719) 748-3277

From: Kay Thomas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kay Thomas
18225 46th Pl S
Seatac, WA 98188-4541

From: Candace LaPorte <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Candace LaPorte
4203 Alabama Ct Apt B
Silverdale, WA 98315-9452
(702) 808-7293

From: Ruth Garcia <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ruth Garcia
25805 34th Ave S
Kent, WA 98032-9738

From: Daniel Romer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Romer
15212 64th Street Kp N
Lakebay, WA 98349-9517
(253) 509-9246

From: Michelle Marie <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Marie
5102 224th St SW
Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043-4033

From: Doug Gemmell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Doug Gemmell
5832 S 2nd Ave
Everett, WA 98208-9706
(425) 259-3318

From: Phil Lair <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Phil Lair
1955 Forest Ave
Richland, WA 99354-2155
(509) 371-9284

From: Keenan Percival <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keenan Percival
1022 4th Ave W
Kalispell, MT 59901-5654

From: Dale Middleton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dale Middleton
6401 20th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98107-2326
(206) 784-3146

From: Rania Spade <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rania Spade
202 13th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98102-5820

From: Peter Holcomb <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Holcomb
2332 E Hemmi Rd
Bellingham, WA 98226-9536
(360) 966-0176

From: Colleen Curtis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Colleen Curtis
1520 Chuckanut Crest Dr
Bellingham, WA 98229-8900
(360) 676-4113

From: John Nelson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Nelson
1022 Taylor Ave N
Seattle, WA 98109-3828

From: Nicole Green <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nicole Green
16208 10th Ave NE
Shoreline, WA 98155-5827
(206) 364-7899

From: Carolyn Gregg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carolyn Gregg
524 N 18th Pl
Mount Vernon, WA 98273-3048
(360) 424-7453

From: Rosemarie Bisiar <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosemarie Bisiar
1505 S Barker Rd
Greenacres, WA 99016-9762
(509) 926-9906

From: Jeff Childs <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: No to the Tongue River Railroad
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I live in the Pacific Northwest. My children live here, my granchldren live here. I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. It is not in our...your!!!!....heath's interest. We know it is not in the Pacific Northwest's environmental health interest. We know...you know!!!...it is not in the world's best health interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Childs
PO Box 609
2901 Lindsay Hill Rd
Quilcene, WA 98376-0609

From: Eileen Carney <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Eileen Carney
PO Box 1193
Libby, MT 59923-1193
(406) 293-6407

From: Leah Reuben-Werner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

STOP POLLUTION TRAINS !!!!!nter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Leah Reuben-Werner
575 NW Lofall Rd
Poulsbo, WA 98370-9207
(360) 930-0861

From: Sherry Davis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sherry Davis
2325 52nd Ave
Longview, WA 98632-6209
(360) 270-5658

From: Linda Massey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Massey
819 Virginia St
Seattle, WA 98101-4421

From: Barbara Gross <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Barbara Gross
6536 44th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-7542
(206) 524-4592

From: Marshall Davis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Marshall Davis
5618 US Highway 2 W
Columbia Falls, MT 59912-9006
(406) 249-4259

From: Viviana Garcia <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Viviana Garcia
Solsticksvaegen 8
Vaestervik, MT 59338

From: Ken Schroeder <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

The carbon must stay in the ground.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Schroeder
2825 SW 102nd St
Seattle, WA 98146-3710
(206) 937-0970

From: Gary Webber <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Gary Webber
419 S Frontage Rd W
Alberton, MT 59820-9338
(406) 722-4917

From: John Pearce <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Pearce
1116 N Proctor St
Tacoma, WA 98406-5029
(360) 464-9929

From: Marta Janer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marta Janer
8245 30th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-4725
(206) 300-5943

From: Randy Shoemaker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randy Shoemaker
16419 Spruce Way Apt G2
Lynnwood, WA 98037-6930
(425) 478-3610

From: Oscar Rodriguez <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Oscar Rodriguez
20918 112th Ave E
Graham, WA 98338-6420

From: Quentin Kreuter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Quentin Kreuter
804a W Fremont Ave
Selah, WA 98942-1212
(509) 575-5848

From: Gary Albright <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Albright
21613 Echo Lake Rd
Snohomish, WA 98296-7857
(425) 881-3048

From: Richard Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Smith
2718 N 26th St
Tacoma, WA 98407-6303
(253) 752-2671

From: Ann King <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

I am a grandmother and resident of this beautiful and rich land here on the Northern Cheyenne Indian Reservation where my husband was raised and where we have raised our four children. This area needs to remain pure and unadulterated for the generations to come. Mining this Otter Creek coal and building a railroad to transport it is NOT in the best interest of the residents or of the Earth.

I have been a teacher for the past 37 years here on the Northern Cheyenne and want to know that these individuals that I've taught and their families will have a secure future with clean air, water and land, unadulterated by a coal mine and all that comes with it.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann King
PO Box 156
22896 Hwy. 212
Busby, MT 59016-0156
(406) 592-3529

From: JoAnn Paullin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. JoAnn Paullin
52 W Northview Loop
Kalispell, MT 59901-3014
(406) 257-5870

From: Gary Stevens <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Stevens
3301 Mountainview Rd
Chewelah, WA 99109-9642
(509) 935-6549

From: JR Fulton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. JR Fulton
410 21st Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-5320

From: Lloyd Johnston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lloyd Johnston
13421 26th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-4307
(206) 367-4841

From: J Chu <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. J Chu
14500 NE 15th st
Vancouver, WA 98860

From: Ruth Darden <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ruth Darden
900 University St Apt 401
Seattle, WA 98101-2724
(206) 748-7395

From: Jan Hurd <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jan Hurd
27200 NE Woodpecker Hill Rd
Poulsbo, WA 98370-9183
(360) 778-7777

From: James Arnold <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

It's unconscionable to destroy southeastern Montana for any reason, but certainly not to implement 19th century energy policy. We're well past the point of new coal development: natural gas & renewables offer cheaper, cleaner alternatives that better serve Montana, and the country, in the long term.

Time to move on. No to the railroad. Please.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Arnold
6044 Willow Creek Rd
Helena, MT 59601-9623
(406) 449-7268

From: Josie Nedved <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Josie Nedved
5054 W Casberg Burroughs Rd
Deer Park, WA 99006-9420
(206) 973-3762

From: Lilyana srnoguy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lilyana srnoguy
2124 N Rouse Ave Trlr 10
Bozeman, MT 59715-2247

From: Julia Gammon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julia Gammon
117 20th Ave E Apt 304
Seattle, WA 98112-5377
(206) 860-3860

From: Lisa Buehler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Buehler
PO Box 917
Lakeside, MT 59922-0917
(406) 844-0258

From: Cj Gainer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cj Gainer
4500 Palatine Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-6397

From: Kent Huxel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kent Huxel
307 S 9th Ave # 192
Walla Walla, WA 99362-2718

From: Nick Szumlas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nick Szumlas
3815 S Court St
Seattle, WA 98144-7221
(206) 723-5992

From: Indigo Summer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Indigo Summer
100 Melrose Ave E Apt 711
Seattle, WA 98102-5563

From: Sandra Phoenix <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Phoenix
921 E Lacrosse Ave
Spokane, WA 99207-3047

From: Helga Riehlein <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helga Riehlein
PO Box 5
Carlsborg, WA 98324-0005

From: James Pierson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

It would be morally wrong to build this railroad for several reasons. Please do not give any permission to build it. I'm not sure why this plan wasn't already scrapped by competent authority. Other than for a few people to get rich, there is no moral reason to build any Tongue River railroad, and I don't see why competent authority keeps putting mining companies ahead of everyone else's interests.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Pierson
1310 Creekwood Ct SE
Olympia, WA 98501-8304
(843) 609-6076

From: Jennifer Wheeler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Wheeler
503 Reiner Rd
Gold Bar, WA 98251-9311
(360) 863-3837

From: John Burrows <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. John Burrows
424 S Chestnut St
Spokane, WA 99201-5408

From: Jo Harvey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jo Harvey
204 Eastgate Ave N
Pacific, WA 98047-1222
(253) 221-9775

From: William Butcher <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Butcher
4023 S Lawrence St
Tacoma, WA 98409-5636
(253) 564-4746

From: Randy Cofer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randy Cofer
108 E Rockwood Blvd Apt 5
Spokane, WA 99202-1254
(509) 624-1927

From: F And N Breckenridge <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. F And N Breckenridge
7840 Delphi Rd SW
Olympia, WA 98512-2158
(360) 866-8277

From: Thomas Triehy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

This Railroad is a bad idea....please reconcider.

Sincerely,

Dr. Thomas Triehy
52 Truly Loop
Great Falls, MT 59405-8407
(406) 736-5899

From: Robert Malone <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Malone
1519 S Proctor St
Tacoma, WA 98405-2054
(253) 759-3200

From: Travis Miller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Travis Miller
3114 E Pine St
Seattle, WA 98122-3264
(714) 686-3190

From: Martin Rushkarski <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Martin Rushkarski
20060 Ramblewood Rd SE
Monroe, WA 98272-8328

From: Bette Schwede <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bette Schwede
6192 Oakview Pl
Ferndale, WA 98248-9643
(360) 380-4612

From: Lee Olson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lee Olson
510 E Gilman Ave
Arlington, WA 98223-1131

From: Joanne Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joanne Smith
22432 SE 244th St
Maple Valley, WA 98038-8506
(425) 785-8361

From: Michael Blue <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Blue
528 16th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-4690

From: L F & Carole Warneke <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. L F & Carole Warneke
PO Box 1287
Allyn, WA 98524-1287
(360) 275-6989

From: Shirley Peters <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Shirley Peters
10815 110th St SW
Tacoma, WA 98498-1444
(234) 254-3020

From: Chris Campbell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Chris Campbell
4440 4th Ave N
Great Falls, MT 59405-1443

From: Mary Ann Gruba <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Ann Gruba
325 Daly Ave
Missoula, MT 59801-4337

From: Peter Caliandro <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad would be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Caliandro
PO Box 21862
Seattle, WA 98111-3862
(206) 466-1440

From: James McGuire <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James McGuire
1400 12th St Apt 801
Bellingham, WA 98225-7438

From: John Poggi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Poggi
1521 4th St # 1a
Bellingham, WA 98225-7726
(360) 647-9021

From: Patricia Scott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patricia Scott
51 SE Lupine Ct
Shelton, WA 98584-9217
(360) 427-1151

From: Bill Russell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Russell
121 1st Ave. W
Spokane, WA 99201

From: Nellie Israel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nellie Israel
208 Park St
Joliet, Mt, MT 59041

From: Michael Lyman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Lyman
1250 North Highway
Colville, WA 99114-2005
(509) 563-4199

From: A Horwitt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. A Horwitt
5501 NE 62nd St
Seattle, WA 98115-7818
(206) 522-6120

From: Kevin Gallagher <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Gallagher
15866 36th Ave NE
Lake Forest Park, WA 98155-6620

From: Bryan Foster <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bryan Foster
500 Sloway Frontage Rd W
Saint Regis, MT 59866-9761
(406) 822-1824

From: Denise Mcgregor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise Mcgregor
121 NW Vine St
Coupeville, WA 98239-9803

From: D Klock <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. D Klock
1775 Taylor St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-5329
(360) 379-9141

From: Marsha Kimball <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marsha Kimball
2456 Wickstrom Pl SW
Seattle, WA 98116-1837
(206) 937-2930

From: Summer Kozisek <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Summer Kozisek
10210 215th Ave E
Bonney Lake, WA 98391-3761
(414) 817-6826

From: Anne Winkes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Anne Winkes
PO Box 586
Conway, WA 98238-0586
(360) 445-6914

From: Robert Rolsky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Rolsky
PO Box 348
Suquamish, WA 98392-0348
(360) 697-4219

From: Vanessa Jamison <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vanessa Jamison
16619a 41st Dr NE
Arlington, WA 98223-8433

From: Gwen Nakano <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gwen Nakano
9243 S 240th Pl
Kent, WA 98030-4700
(253) 854-0816

From: Amelia Liberatore <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amelia Liberatore
1942 S 10th St W Apt 1
Missoula, MT 59801-3464

From: Mary Sebek <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Sebek
331 N 78th St
Seattle, WA 98103-4617
(206) 706-8268

From: Jean Mcallister <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jean Mcallister
3840 Rimrock Rd Apt 1207
Billings, MT 59102-0124
(406) 655-5354

From: Laura Lewis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Lewis
12305 Ingraham Rd
Snohomish, WA 98290-8658

From: Dennis Solberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Solberg
15625 E Shore Dr
Lynnwood, WA 98087-6623

From: Anna Blake <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anna Blake
8023 S 113th St
Seattle, WA 98178-3241

From: Jeanne Young <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jeanne Young
325 E Washington St PMB 103
Sequim, WA 98382-3488
(360) 797-7058

From: Peggy Page <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Peggy Page
24324 Miller Rd
Stanwood, WA 98292-6268
(360) 631-9641

From: Laura Craig <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Craig
12120 Gravelly Lake Dr SW
Lakewood, WA 98499-1416
(253) 301-1536

From: Brad Buchanan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brad Buchanan
236 Rosalie Ave
Lopez Island, WA 98261-8769
(360) 468-3256

From: Bonnie Scott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bonnie Scott
30014 312th Way SE
Ravensdale, WA 98051-9751

From: Lanie Cox <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lanie Cox
13625 S Sherman Rd
Spokane, WA 99224-8242
(509) 448-1365

From: Natalie Mietzner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Natalie Mietzner
4211 210th Pl NE
Sammamish, WA 98074-9357
(425) 836-0310

From: Carrie Pilger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carrie Pilger
12530 Admiralty Way Apt J101
Everett, WA 98204-8590

From: Rani Linarelli <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rani Linarelli
18303 3rd Ave NW
Shoreline, WA 98177-3433

From: Philip Ronco <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Philip Ronco
102 5th Ave
Apt 5-304
Milton, WA 98354-8641
(253) 926-2521

From: Cecelia Kellogg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cecelia Kellogg
12003 28th Pl NE
Lake Stevens, WA 98258-9163

From: "Harold J. Green" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Harold J. Green
3520 N Park Rd
Spokane Valley, WA 99212-1509
(509) 869-7881

From: John Eddy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Eddy
622 N Fairview Dr
Tacoma, WA 98406-1015
(253) 564-4351

From: Taffy Lund <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Taffy Lund
5010 Normandy Dr SE
Olympia, WA 98501-5124
(360) 753-2634

From: Eddie Griffiths <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I ADAMANTLY oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

Here in my hometown of Seattle these trains would travel thru the downtown core, past the Seahawks Stadium, then along the coast of Puget Sound--NONE OF WHICH ARE ACCEPTABLE OPTIONS for our air quality, safety, or to curtail climate collapse which would incur when China burns your coal and it reaches our shore the following week.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eddie Griffiths
3820 Bagley Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-8413
(206) 633-0989

From: M S May <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. M S May
2072 S Parkwood Cir
Spokane, WA 99223-5037
(509) 534-4744

From: David Mayer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Mayer
314 Milroy St NW
Olympia, WA 98502-4930
(360) 236-1510

From: Nick Tyzio <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nick Tyzio
925 Victoria Dr.
Blaine, WA 98230

From: Joan Daniels <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joan Daniels
192 Grey Eagle Rd
Stevensville, MT 59870-6462
(406) 218-8536

From: Norman Mundhenk <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Norman Mundhenk
175 NE Lost Lake Way
Poulsbo, WA 98370-8970

From: Nicole Dick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nicole Dick
2556 29th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98199-3324
(646) 352-2634

From: Margaret Jensen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Margaret Jensen
825 196th St NW
Arlington, WA 98223-8319
(360) 652-8630

From: Mary Wickwire <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Wickwire
1416 E Roy St
Seattle, WA 98112-4530
(206) 860-8694

From: Christopher Kline <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Kline
470 Stone Rd
Sequim, WA 98382-3094
(360) 683-0170

From: Jean Sargent <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jean Sargent
8235 Sands Ave NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3660
(206) 842-7459

From: Caron Gillespie <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Caron Gillespie
31900 104th Ave SE Apt B202
Auburn, WA 98092-2911

From: Erik Johnson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Erik Johnson
19601 E State Route 106
Belfair, WA 98528-8503

From: Sarah Hanson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Hanson
210 Kingfisher Ln
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-8159
(360) 378-0814

From: Dorothy Newkirk <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dorothy Newkirk
3681 Estes Rd
Pullman, WA 99163-8742
(509) 872-3012

From: Gary Dirks <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Dirks
6473 E Hilldale Rd
Port Orchard, WA 98366-8025
(360) 871-2972

From: Debra Vandegrift <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debra Vandegrift
3908 Interlake Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-8132
(206) 545-7136

From: Kamol Lohavanuchbutr <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kamol Lohavanuchbutr
26710 2nd Ave NE
Arlington, WA 98223-2609
(360) 707-5735

From: Thomas Swoffer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Swoffer
32607 SE 341st St
Ravensdale, WA 98051-8921

From: Valerie Mehring <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Valerie Mehring
13729 22nd Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-3313
(206) 406-7146

From: Paul Franzmann <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

As a former Montana resident and current Washington citizen, I adamantly oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to choose the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine. The last thing an overheated planet needs is yet another coal mine. We should incinerate the planet so Arch Coal can pad its bottom line?

The draft EIS wrongly assumes there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. You wouldn't want these miles-long filthy trains rumbling though your neighborhood; guess what, neither do we. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not remotely in the public's interest. Choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Franzmann
420 Catherine St Apt 12
Walla Walla, WA 99362-3192

From: Allen Elliott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Allen Elliott
PO Box 743
La Conner, WA 98257-0743

From: Jean Davis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jean Davis
303 Cottage Ave
Hoquiam, WA 98550-1005
(360) 538-0680

From: Michelle Pavcovich <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Michelle Pavcovich
11351 20th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-6553
(206) 706-3435

From: Brent Naylor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brent Naylor
129 Monohon Landing Rd
Raymond, WA 98577-9220

From: Alyce Fritch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alyce Fritch
2160 NE 100th St
Seattle, WA 98125-7624
(206) 526-8321

From: Raimund Herberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Raimund Herberg
8509 Midvale Ave N Apt B
Seattle, WA 98103-2125
(205) 403-8154

From: Laura Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Miss Laura Wright
2141 NE 81st St
Seattle, WA 98115-4543

From: Christy Cornelsen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christy Cornelsen
1721 W Mockingbird St
Moses Lake, WA 98837-1686

From: Jace Bylenga <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jace Bylenga
2309 W 12th Ave
Spokane, WA 99224-4314
(269) 377-5658

From: Mike Bird <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Bird
1711 65th Ave NE
Tacoma, WA 98422-3606

From: Karen Chestney <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Chestney
659 State Highway 532
Camano Island, WA 98282-8747
(360) 629-8131

From: Steven Gregory <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Gregory
1225 S Union Ave
East Wenatchee, WA 98802-5813

From: Anthony Silva <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony Silva
PO Box 476
Lyle, WA 98635-0010

From: William Howald <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Howald
133309 47th Dr Ne
Marysville, WA 98271-8670
(360) 658-1329

From: Keith Morgan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Morgan
PO Box 868
Lake Stevens, WA 98258-0868

From: Robert Haefs <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Haefs
11247 SE 321st Pl
Auburn, WA 98092-4813
(253) 333-0734

From: Robert Worthington <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Worthington
4355 Meadow Ln
Clinton, WA 98236-8408
(360) 341-1820

From: Maxine Clark <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maxine Clark
1717 Sheridan Rd Apt C4
Bremerton, WA 98310-4608

From: Bernard Hallet <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Bernard Hallet
10579 NE Manor Ln
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-4189

From: Joanne Watchie <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joanne Watchie
2440 Alki Ave SW Apt 202
Seattle, WA 98116-2271
(626) 233-2951

From: Phebe Schwartz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Phebe Schwartz
423 N Garden St
Bellingham, WA 98225-5410
(215) 475-6044

From: Kathy Kestell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathy Kestell
15611 N Little Spokane Dr
Spokane, WA 99208-8527

From: Penny Derleth <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Penny Derleth
PO Box 421
Deer Park, WA 99006-0421
(509) 276-5432

From: Ray Engle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ray Engle
28724 36th Ave S
Auburn, WA 98001-1089
(253) 941-9510

From: Donald Baumgartner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Baumgartner
1612 S 2nd St W
Missoula, MT 59801-1902

From: Rosemarie Bisiar <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosemarie Bisiar
1505 S Barker Rd
Greenacres, WA 99016-9762
(509) 926-9906

From: Lynne Oulman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lynne Oulman
816 14th St
Bellingham, WA 98225-6304
(360) 961-5447

From: Jennifer Westra <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Westra
518 E 8th Ave
Spokane, WA 99202-1208

From: Mary Elston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Elston
13910 Portnell Rd
Bozeman, MT 59718-8348
(406) 763-4951

From: Patrick Allen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Patrick Allen
PO Box 923
Poulsbo, WA 98370-0016

From: John Keeble <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Keeble
3228 N Christensen Rd
Medical Lake, WA 99022-8666

From: Mark Guenther <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Guenther
2029 McGilvra Blvd E
Seattle, WA 98112-2721

From: louise hasson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. louise hasson
4925 NE 86th St
Seattle, WA 98115-3919
(206) 525-4375

From: J Richard Durnan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. J Richard Durnan
3650 26th Pl W Apt 402
Seattle, WA 98199-2128
(206) 282-4454

From: Carol Marsh <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Marsh
420 E Front St Apt 2
Missoula, MT 59802-4745
(406) 543-1038

From: Katherine Collinson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Katherine Collinson
4182 Ankar Park Dr Apt 201
Bellingham, WA 98226-6447
(425) 207-3665

From: Sara Avery <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sara Avery
1329 Agape Way
Lafayette, CO 80026-1486

From: Matt Wallace <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Matt Wallace
50 Highland Grn Unit 2
Port Ludlow, WA 98365-8798
(360) 437-2071

From: Beth Brunton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beth Brunton
1900 28th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144-4806
(206) 328-6550

From: Charm Miller-Davis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charm Miller-Davis
415 Wilson Ave
Bellingham, WA 98225-7342
(360) 647-4269

From: Steve Durbin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Durbin
1251 Rickover Dr
Coupeville, WA 98239-4100
(360) 678-2928

From: Donna Jamila Crews <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Jamila Crews
2102 N 42nd St
Seattle, WA 98103-7610
(512) 775-7126

From: Patrick Conn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I ADAMANTLY, STRONGLY, VEHEMENTLY and UNEQUIVOCALLY oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and with the same vigor urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Patrick Conn
22018 126th Ct SE
Kent, WA 98031-9669
(253) 631-9100

From: John Gordon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Gordon
231 Woodland Ave
Port Townsend, WA 98368-5059
(360) 385-5018

From: David Woerner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Woerner
115 N 47th St
Seattle, WA 98103-6312

From: Sammy Low <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Sammy Low
20420 Marine Dr Apt P2
Stanwood, WA 98292-7843
(360) 654-0886

From: Lou Anne Maxwell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lou Anne Maxwell
15026 40th Ave W Apt 16-104
Lynnwood, WA 98087-0001
(425) 835-0378

From: Jacob Meyer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jacob Meyer
908 Sun Tillikum St
North Bonneville, WA 98639-4647
(509) 427-5219

From: Cynthia Calkins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cynthia Calkins
17526 149th Ave SE Unit J1
Renton, WA 98058-8846
(425) 277-0720

From: Leslie Welty <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leslie Welty
3015 NW Market St Apt B113
Seattle, WA 98107-4262
(206) 633-3401

From: Seth Snapp <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Seth Snapp
2214 H St
Bellingham, WA 98225-3316
(360) 201-2214

From: Leroy Boyce <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leroy Boyce
3008 N Narrows Dr
Tacoma, WA 98407-1500

From: Tika Bordelon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tika Bordelon
1400 Hubbell Pl
Seattle, WA 98101-1965

From: Tamara Turner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I strongly oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Why not take your money and invest in non-fossil fuel energy sources? THINK OF THE FUTURE!

Sincerely,

Ms. Tamara Turner
1931 E Calhoun St
Seattle, WA 98112-2644
(206) 325-9481

From: Frank Stieber <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Frank Stieber
10535 Stone Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133-8921
(801) 448-9301

From: Michael Law <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Law
4209 30th Ave SW Apt 204
Seattle, WA 98126-2560
(206) 937-0140

From: Jaime Scaggs <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jaime Scaggs
337 26th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-6117

From: Miguel Liriano <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Miguel Liriano
1711 112th Ave SE
Lake Stevens, WA 98258-7940
(580) 678-4427

From: Clinton Nagel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clinton Nagel
1385 Golden Gate Ave
Bozeman, MT 59718-1972
(406) 600-1792

From: Robert Connor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Connor
50 Moore Rd
White Salmon, WA 98672-8213
(509) 493-1080

From: Michael Felber <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Felber
5413 State Route 20
Port Townsend, WA 98368-8746
(360) 385-0202

From: bruce white <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. bruce white
17819 44th Ave W
Lynnwood, WA 98037-3609

From: Emily Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Emily Smith
3435 California Ave SW Apt 102
Seattle, WA 98116-3372

From: Charlene Davies <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charlene Davies
2217 W Pacific Ave Apt 104
Spokane, WA 99201-5432
(509) 455-7610

From: Clinton Betz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad and the Arch Coal Co Strip Mine:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

Carrying coal to China seems to be a wrong idea economically, environmentally, and potentially unprofitable to transport. By the way, anybody know if the sodium laden coal to be extracted is 'clean' coal or 'dirty' for use as fuel by anyone, Chinese included? As an alternative, how about supporting the notion of an efficient low emission coal fired steam powered power generation plant on the site and bury the overburden and coal ash in the hole whence came the coal in the first place??? Power can be added to the National Power Grid shipped cleaner and cheaper than shipping raw coal thousands of miles over land and sea, doesn't it? And with less infrastructure and environmental destruction than a railroad. How about creation of jobs beyond stripping the coal out of the ground to ship rather than use it in place and bury the leftovers in covered grassland?

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clinton Betz
805 4th Ave N Apt 252
Seattle, WA 98109-4096
(206) 743-8731

From: Marsha Osbrn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marsha Osbrn
6241 S Wapato Lake Dr
Tacoma, WA 98408-2206
(253) 683-4300

From: Joan James <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joan James
2710 N Puget Sound Ave
Tacoma, WA 98407-5816
(253) 759-2171

From: "John B. Neighbor" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John B. Neighbor
2320 I St Apt 6
Bellingham, WA 98225-3341
(360) 671-7231

From: Rebecca Buell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rebecca Buell
1206 S 33rd Ave
Yakima, WA 98902-4921
(509) 469-2170

From: Dave Berger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dave Berger
728 7th St
Lyle, WA 98635-9015
(509) 365-3103

From: Arthur Remsbecher <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Arthur Remsbecher
1801 Fairhaven Ave
Bellingham, WA 98229-9382
(360) 676-1883

From: Alli Larkin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alli Larkin
21937 7th Ave S Apt 210
Des Moines, WA 98198-6171
(206) 878-1203

From: Colleen Mayock <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Colleen Mayock
6404 Marshall Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98136-1318
(206) 935-5636

From: Chrystyne Braaten <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Chrystyne Braaten
1222 SW Leschi Dr
Oak Harbor, WA 98277-5810
(360) 675-6041

From: Constance Childs <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Constance Childs
3411 Bridgeport Way W Apt 1
University Place, WA 98466-4512
(253) 348-4090

From: Thomas Furlong <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Furlong
1221 SW 126th St
Apt D
Burien, WA 98146-3075
(206) 535-8256

From: Allen & Carla Chase <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Allen & Carla Chase
40717 5th Ave S
Roy, WA 98580-8977

From: D Barrow <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. D Barrow
1036 Miles Ave
Billings, MT 59102-5549
(406) 896-0288

From: Sandeep Mangla <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sandeep Mangla
724 S 47th St
Renton, WA 98055-6272
(425) 235-6009

From: Cindy feist <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cindy feist
5330 N 48th St
Tacoma, WA 98407-3822
(360) 434-7438

From: T L <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. T L
123 no thanks
La Center, WA 98629

From: Nick Barcott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nick Barcott
1318 N Lake Stickney Dr
Lynnwood, WA 98087-2029

From: Lisa Halpern <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Halpern
5117 S Findlay St
Seattle, WA 98118-2558
(206) 723-2980

From: Patrick Woodland <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Patrick Woodland
3308 Orbit Pl SE
Olympia, WA 98501-3962

From: Janina Baginski <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janina Baginski
edmonds
Edmonds, WA 98026-5213

From: John F Wagenknecht <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John F Wagenknecht
PO Box 690
Roslyn, WA 98941-0690

From: William Weick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Weick
461 E Burgundy Rd
Shelton, WA 98584-7080

From: "J. A. Wiley" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. J. A. Wiley
137 NW 74th St
Seattle, WA 98117-4820
(206) 985-2380

From: Patricia Richards <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Richards
208 Madrona Way NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-1879
(206) 842-2796

From: Peggy File <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Peggy File
158 Maple St
Camano Island, WA 98282-7330
(360) 387-8013

From: Robert Sendrey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Arch Coal and the Tongue River Railroad
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Sendrey
1401 Merrill Creek Pkwy
Everett, WA 98203-7133

From: Keela Marshall <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Keela Marshall
6525 California Ave SW Apt 207
Seattle, WA 98136-1875
(206) 545-7387

From: Susan And Chris Thorsen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Susan And Chris Thorsen
2214 Goodell Rd
Freeland, WA 98249-9508

From: Robin Richardson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Robin Richardson
16920 E Indiana Ave
Spokane Valley, WA 99016-8546
(509) 230-9071

From: Ronald Nichols <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Nichols
524 Jefferson St
Mount Vernon, WA 98274-3940

From: Doug Rhodes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Coal and Oil Trains in Montana
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Doug Rhodes
PO Box 1646
Whitefish, MT 59937-1646

From: Molly Ware <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Molly Ware
2401 18th Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501-2615
(360) 357-2559

From: Carol Page <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Page
1005 Terrace St Apt 600
Seattle, WA 98104-2413
(206) 941-3871

From: Carrie Richards <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carrie Richards
10054 40th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98146-3606
(206) 300-1869

From: Russ Alarcon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Russ Alarcon
1101 N Mountain View Ave Apt 7
Tacoma, WA 98406-8400
(253) 564-7868

From: Tom Tunny <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Tunny
416 S 5th St
Hamilton, MT 59840-2751
(406) 381-7819

From: Stacia Haley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Stacia Haley
3102 S Brandon St
Seattle, WA 98108-3070
(206) 763-3852

From: Jerda Smeltzer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

No more coal! Let's work to find a cleaner more sustainable alternative!

Sincerely,

Ms. Jerda Smeltzer
9201 Greenwood Ave N Apt 5
Seattle, WA 98103-3047
(406) 546-7187

From: Ilona Elliott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ilona Elliott
179 Hawkins Rd S
Winlock, WA 98596-9131
(360) 785-4776

From: Garry Nakayama <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Garry Nakayama
9243 S 240th Pl
Kent, WA 98030-4700
(253) 854-0816

From: Marsha Beck <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marsha Beck
10023 N Quinault Ct
Spokane, WA 99208-9320
(509) 467-7971

From: John Scholten <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Scholten
8220 184th St SW
Edmonds, WA 98026-5830
(425) 771-5276

From: Caryn Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Caryn Smith
22432 SE 244th St
Maple Valley, WA 98038-8506
(425) 785-5428

From: Charlie Hail <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charlie Hail
2009 Oro Fino Gulch
Helena, MT 59601-9680

From: James Tandoo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Tandoo
15028 64th Ave W
Edmonds, WA 98026-4002
(425) 743-2233

From: Kathryn Plitt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathryn Plitt
1410 Sea Cliff Dr NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98332-9599
(253) 857-7155

From: elizabeth cunningham <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. elizabeth cunningham
1746 NW 57th St Apt 402
Seattle, WA 98107-5607
(206) 420-7745

From: Renee De Camp <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Renee De Camp
5909 Harlow Dr
Bremerton, WA 98312-2249
(360) 373-8888

From: Carolyn Maples <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Maples
PO Box 1068
Manhattan, MT 59741-1068
(406) 284-4419

From: Janis Hansen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janis Hansen
PO Box 512
Twin Bridges, MT 59754-0512
(406) 684-5120

From: Teresa Lyman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Teresa Lyman
25810 160th Ave SE
Covington, WA 98042-8238
(253) 709-0913

From: Donnie Hilstad <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donnie Hilstad
PO Box 46871
Seattle, WA 98146-0871

From: Evelyn Halvorson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Evelyn Halvorson
4323 Wishkah Rd
Aberdeen, WA 98520-9624

From: Katherin Balles <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Katherin Balles
2122 Brewster Pl
Bremerton, WA 98310-4518
(360) 377-0233

From: James Julien <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Julien
3710 Provdnc Pt Dr SE Apt 3302
Issaquah, WA 98029-6279
(425) 677-7909

From: meredith berlin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I am very concerned about community members in Montana, about their health and the health of the lands that would be affected by the Tongue River Railroad.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. meredith berlin
12516 37th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-4655
(206) 363-6347

From: Wade Wilkison <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wade Wilkison
2007 Sweetgrass Rd
Helena, MT 59601-5882
(406) 442-9939

From: Paul Hopkins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Hopkins
11756 4th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98177-4517
(425) 890-3737

From: Susan Woods <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

I don't understand how we can continue to harm human who live on the land and all in the path of this mining enterprise. Then we all suffer from burning coal. This is distilled greed and harm. I lived in Wyoming and saw the damage from the usual extraction industries. No Tongue River Railroad, no more pillage.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Woods
481 N Joseph Ave
E Wenatchee, WA 98802-4952
(509) 630-5194

From: Melodie Martin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melodie Martin
2339 11th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98102-4013
(206) 322-3341

From: Carol Richardson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Richardson
6611 76th Ln SW
Olympia, WA 98512-2166
(360) 786-0822

From: Patricia Parsley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Climate change is real, regardless of whether human-caused or not, and to hope for survival of our children and planet means we must leave fossil fuels in the ground. Surely the health of our planet and future generations outweighs corporate profits.

Sincerely,

Dr. Patricia Parsley
20420 Marine Dr Apt P2
Stanwood, WA 98292-7843
(360) 654-0886

From: Susanne Murray <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susanne Murray
3210 E 44th Ave Apt D304
Spokane, WA 99223-7745

From: Jerry Voss <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry Voss
1837 S 13th St W
Missoula, MT 59801-4935

From: Jon Wallace <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jon Wallace
40 Cedarview Dr
Port Townsend, WA 98368-2502
(650) 712-9284

From: Kirk & Kate Liesemer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kirk & Kate Liesemer
319 Martin St
Steilacoom, WA 98388-1109
(253) 495-0460

From: Katherine Masotti <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Katherine Masotti
Apdo 37
Port Townsend, WA 98362-0006
no phone

From: Bill Baum <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Baum
PO Box 5414
Kalispell, MT 59903-5414
(999) 999-9999

From: Mary Ellen & Tom Donnelly <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Ellen & Tom Donnelly
10922 Horizon Lane East SE
Port Orchard, WA 98367-8242
(360) 876-3129

From: Gloria Skouge <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gloria Skouge
326 NW 182nd St
Shoreline, WA 98177-3527
(206) 542-5138

From: Joan Michlin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

We do not need more coal. We do not need more oil. We do need more solar power. And we do need more wind power....they are now and they are our future.
Coal and oil should have been retired years ago as dirty and archaic.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joan Michlin
2412 Par Ct Apt A
Bozeman, MT 59715-1514
(406) 600-8593

From: Ruth Richmond <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Please stop the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ruth Richmond
18067 Colony Rd
Bow, WA 98232-9560
(360) 724-5111

From: Kerry Moore <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kerry Moore
PO Box 781
Toledo, WA 98591-0781
(360) 864-4232

From: Carolyne Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Carolyne Wright
13741 15th Ave NE Apt C7
Seattle, WA 98125-3125
(206) 367-0242

From: Tammie O'Keefe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Tammie O'Keefe
8703 Lawndale Ave SW
Lakewood, WA 98498-2418
(253) 226-3230

From: Carol Davidek-Waller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Keep that coal in the ground where it belongs. It's 19th Century technology.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Davidek-Waller
6876 83rd Ave SE
Mercer Island, WA 98040-5337
(206) 947-0286

From: Steven Voliva <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Voliva
323 E 2nd St Apt 212
Port Angeles, WA 98362-3101
(360) 457-3859

From: Stephen Garratt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Garratt
19247 40th Pl NE
Lake Forest Park, WA 98155-2815
(425) 361-0873

From: Robert Hendricks <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Hendricks
2501 E St Apt 411
Bellingham, WA 98225-3736
(360) 733-5936

From: Susan Hulbert <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Hulbert
530 Hillcrest Dr
Longview, WA 98632-5746
(360) 423-1313

From: Rosalie Saecker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosalie Saecker
14131 93rd Ave SE
Yelm, WA 98597-8728
(360) 400-2060

From: Micheal Rintoul <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Micheal Rintoul
1101 W Yakima Ave
Selah, WA 98942-1299

From: Charles And Kathleen Hiatt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charles And Kathleen Hiatt
8488 Tillicum Rd SW
Seattle, WA 98136-2461
(206) 932-7127

From: Scarlett Caldwell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Miss Scarlett Caldwell
6190 Terrace View Ln SE
Auburn, WA 98092-5506

From: Madora Boyd <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine. SOME DAY WE WILL NEED THIS COAL FOR THE MFG OF VARIOUS OBJECTS REQUIRED IN OUR MACHINES, ETC. WE SHOULD NOT BE WASTING IT NOW BY BURNING IT UP.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Madora Boyd
PO Box 745
Rochester, WA 98579-0745
(360) 273-5779

From: Michael Spence <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Spence
5810 S 144th St
Tukwila, WA 98168-4550
(206) 431-6874

From: Don Singh Cundy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Don Singh Cundy
1900 E Lopez Ct
Bellingham, WA 98229-2101
(360) 647-8636

From: Larry Handsaker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Handsaker
918 Avenue C
Billings, MT 59102-3350
(406) 656-9494

From: Marlene Harrell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marlene Harrell
PO Box 77
Saint Marie, MT 59231-0077
(406) 524-3721

From: Tammy Leuba <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tammy Leuba
1770 Front St # 142
Lynden, WA 98264-2103
(360) 354-4706

From: Susan Mavor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Mavor
7007 Bristol Ln
Bozeman, MT 59715-9559

From: John Queen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Queen
1305 20th St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-7625

From: Marlene Miller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marlene Miller
PO Box 4017
Butte, MT 59702-4017
(406) 000-0000

From: Madelaine Moir <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Madelaine Moir
233 Riverside Rd
Sequim, WA 98382-9732
(360) 775-5192

From: Spencer Walsh <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Spencer Walsh
10708 Whitman Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133-8826
(206) 240-9937

From: Anita Keiter Jahns <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Anita Keiter Jahns
12051 1st Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98177-4503
(206) 948-7891

From: Anne Cox <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Cox
PO Box 1127
Anacortes, WA 98221-6127
(425) 398-8033

From: Henry F Gregg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Henry F Gregg
524 N 18th Pl
Mount Vernon, WA 98273-3048
(360) 424-7453

From: Ann Wolfe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ann Wolfe
6041 29th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-7201
(206) 527-3068

From: Jean Giedt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jean Giedt
930 Roosevelt St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-5425
(360) 344-2780

From: Barbara Read <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Read
8917 Meridian Pl NE
Lake Stevens, WA 98258-7388

From: Mary Nye <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Nye
6323 21st Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-6915

From: Brenda Strange <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Brenda Strange
1129 S Ash St
Spokane, WA 99204-4125
(509) 413-1662

From: Lisa Luengo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lisa Luengo
4302 Woodlawn Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-7523
(206) 588-2399

From: Brian Sloan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Sloan
2519 Viking St W
Bremerton, WA 98312-4803

From: Thomas Harris <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Harris
1014 S 20th Ave
Yakima, WA 98902-4241

From: Jarl von Arlyon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jarl von Arlyon
PO Box 224
Lewistown, MT 59457-0224

From: Susan Fried <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Fried
3020 NE 113th St
Seattle, WA 98125-6847
(206) 367-4955

From: Mary Ekstrand <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Ekstrand
17142 Lemolo Shore Dr NE
Poulsbo, WA 98370-8707
(360) 779-5697

From: James Milstead <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Disable the tongue. Let there be $ILENCE

Sincerely,

Dr. James Milstead
1469 Oriental Ave
Bellingham, WA 98229-5033
(360) 671-9961

From: Daniel Weinstein <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Weinstein
33 Star Flower Rd
Winthrop, WA 98862-9502
(509) 341-4377

From: James Wesley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

No more coal!

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Wesley
4446 Eden Valley Rd
Port Angeles, WA 98363-8835

From: "James E. Miller" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James E. Miller
291 E Bluff Dr
Port Angeles, WA 98362-9191
(360) 477-4471

From: Leslie Faris <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leslie Faris
18210 Dayton Ave N
Shoreline, WA 98133-4341
(206) 546-5264

From: Bonnie Henry <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Bonnie Henry
15015 27th Ave E
Tacoma, WA 98445-4647
(253) 468-4978

From: Charles Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Wright
398 Brianna Ct
Missoula, MT 59804-1041
(406) 721-0670

From: Desiree Mendes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Desiree Mendes
725 SW Crestview St
Pullman, WA 99163-2009

From: Ken Winkes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

We here in Conway, WA, live next to the Burlington Northern track have witnessed the increased train traffic attendant on oil and coal shipments. That increase has directed out attention to the far-reaching effects of fossil fuel transport and export. While generating profit for the few, the benefits of such practices are far outweighed by the problem they bring, here and world-wide.

Please look ahead when you make a decision. If we continue on our present course, there is both a literal and figurative train wreck not far in our future.

Thank you for listening...

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Winkes
PO Box 586
Conway, WA 98238-0586
(360) 445-6914

From: Ronald Digiacomo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Digiacomo
2307 22nd Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-2604
(206) 322-2987

From: Gary Koch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Koch
425 Chuckanut Dr N Trlr 1
Bellingham, WA 98229-6916

From: Janet Dunham <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Dunham
291 Owings Creek Rd
Hamilton, MT 59840-9539
(406) 363-3288

From: Joe Chasse <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I live in Washington state. We want LESS coal and oil trains, not MORE. We need to become advanced leaders in next generation power generation, not to keep enabling 16th century models.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joe Chasse
22313 V St
Ocean Park, WA 98640-3513
(360) 665-3135

From: Dan Wershow <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Wershow
438 24th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-4714
(206) 325-4390

From: Dottie Bell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dottie Bell
PO Box 518
Veradale, WA 99037-0518
(509) 389-8593

From: Annetta Ezelle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Annetta Ezelle
822 NE 58th St
Seattle, WA 98105-2733

From: don ratts <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. don ratts
8416 E Wellesley Ave
Spokane, WA 99212-1744

From: Rosanne Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rosanne Anderson
8604 W White Rd
Cheney, WA 99004-9672
(509) 624-5336

From: Peter von Kleinsmid <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter von Kleinsmid
4219 Meridian Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-7601

From: Ralph Guay <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ralph Guay
429 S Sanders St
Helena, MT 59601-5216
(406) 431-0615

From: Carol Quimby <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Quimby
4101 Samish Way
Bellingham, WA 98229-3495
(360) 671-9228

From: April Lane <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. April Lane
819 1st St
Whitefish, MT 59937-2503
(406) 270-3903

From: Michael Dempster <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Dempster
222 21st Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501-2928
(360) 357-5840

From: Ken Benes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Benes
251 Perry Ave N
Port Orchard, WA 98366-5118
(360) 551-9783

From: Chris Campbell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Chris Campbell
4440 4th Ave N
Great Falls, MT 59405-1443

From: Curt Grant <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Curt Grant
123 Main
Kent, WA 98032

From: Catherine Motteler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Catherine Motteler
244 Nickelbush Lane
Quilcene, WA 98376

From: Dave Roehm <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dave Roehm
1619a 225th Ln
Ocean Park, WA 98640-3455
(360) 783-2688

From: Muhammad Thompson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Muhammad Thompson
1520 Marine Dr NE
Tulalip, WA 98271-7300
(206) 604-6735

From: "Robert R. Buzzell" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert R. Buzzell
220 Forest Hill Vlg
Kalispell, MT 59901-7988
(000) 000-0000

From: Lawrence Schuchart <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lawrence Schuchart
6204 N Morton St
Spokane, WA 99208-3649

From: Yvette Johnson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Yvette Johnson
310 S 177th Pl Apt C306
Seattle, WA 98148-2730

From: Edward Colley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Colley
2540 Robbins Rd
Ellensburg, WA 98926-8842
(509) 852-7246

From: Dick Forehand <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dick Forehand
PO Box 1107
Red Lodge, MT 59068-1107
(406) 446-1346

From: Laura Goldberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Goldberg
9225 N Cedarvale Loop Rd
Arlington, WA 98223-8677
(360) 435-5455

From: ken kuzma <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. ken kuzma
5628 Horizon Ct
Longview, WA 98632-9819

From: Linda Pastor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Linda Pastor
10338 Bedford Ct NW
Seattle, WA 98177-5415

From: Charlene Crawford <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charlene Crawford
PO Box 164
Heart Butte, MT 59448-0164
(406) 338-2095

From: John Scholten <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Scholten
8220 184th St SW
Edmonds, WA 98026-5830
(425) 771-5276

From: Frank Turner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Frank Turner
908 East Bay Dr NE, Apt E-302
Olympia, WA 98506-3971
(360) 878-8182

From: Kathryn DeWees <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathryn DeWees
3512 S 295th St
Auburn, WA 98001-2541
(253) 529-1129

From: Harry Abbott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Harry Abbott
PO Box 1106
Oak Harbor, WA 98277-1106
(360) 240-8474

From: Eric Ostman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Ostman
2607 S Timberlane Dr
Spokane Valley, WA 99037-9059

From: Richard Moller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Moller
15914 44th Ave W Apt N103
Lynnwood, WA 98087-6165
(425) 366-0911

From: Connie Gagnon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Connie Gagnon
15612 72nd Dr SE
Snohomish, WA 98296-8626
(425) 337-4519

From: J Thrush <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. J Thrush
90 Sherry Ave
Naches, WA 98937-9663

From: Charles Raymond <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Raymond
3798 NE 97th St
Seattle, WA 98115-2564
(206) 522-3798

From: Susan Woltz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan Woltz
15925 Maplewild Ave SW
Burien, WA 98166-2621
(206) 433-1412

From: Marlet Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Marlet Smith
4103 W 18th Ave
Kennewick, WA 99338-2224
(509) 735-7738

From: Patricia Perron <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Perron
1 Bryan Ave
Seattle, WA 98117

From: Donald Stobbe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Stobbe
32503 137th Pl SE
Sultan, WA 98294-8710
(425) 508-6368

From: Loewyn Young <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Loewyn Young
4405 Delphi Rd SW
Olympia, WA 98512-9347
(206) 795-8854

From: David Shokenu <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Shokenu
42 Bakare Street Idi-Araba, Mu
Tacoma, WA 23401
+2348169104456

From: Lloyd Daniels <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lloyd Daniels
25210 Lake Wilderness Country Club Dr SE
Maple Valley, WA 98038-6094

From: Patricia Richards <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Richards
208 Madrona Way NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-1879
(206) 842-2796

From: Steve Erickson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

How often do you see tribes, ranchers, and environmentalists working together? It has to be a pretty seriously disastrous proposal to bring that kind of coalition together. And it is.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The work has already been done to quantify the amount of coal dust generated by each train hauling coal across Washington. The Best Available Science is there and must be considered. Allowing the Tongue River Railroad would adversely impact the health of large numbers of people living near rail lines. And of course there is the long term global impact of mining, transporting, and ultimately burning the coal. We already have a painful surfeit of information about climate change resulting from the burning of coal.

While the word is rare in the regulatory language, there is a time when "no" is the only appropriate response. This is one of those times. The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company - and even their benefits will be short term. Even they need clean air, clean water, and clean soil in which to grow food. All of those are put at

risk by this proposal. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Erickson
PO Box 53
Langley, WA 98260-0053
(360) 579-4080

From: Edna Elze <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Edna Elze
13 E 3rd St
Thornton, WA 99176-9739
(509) 478-3129

From: Lorie Dingacci <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lorie Dingacci
Shorewood
Burien, WA 98146-2551
(206) 555-5555

From: Myriah Toups <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Myriah Toups
4319 Indian Pipe Loop
Olympia, WA 98505-0001

From: Kristy Knickrehm <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristy Knickrehm
PO Box 1087
Freeland, WA 98249-1087
(360) 331-7986

From: Carolyn Eden <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Eden
7254 NE New Brooklyn Rd
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3604
(206) 780-9020

From: Karin Everett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Karin Everett
6006 172nd Pl SW
Lynnwood, WA 98037-2921

From: Millie Magner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Millie Magner
4228 28th Pl W
Seattle, WA 98199-1441
(206) 283-3451

From: Jim Templeton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I'm against construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's only purpose is obviously haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Templeton
10403 SE 10th St
Vancouver, WA 98664-4703
(360) 624-5151

From: Andreas Niesen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Andreas Niesen
159 Jolie Way
Port Townsend, WA 98368-9009
(360) 774-6676

From: Larry Fox <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Fox
PO Box 51
Freeland, WA 98249-0051
(360) 331-1950

From: Ronna Scott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ronna Scott
24025 29th Ave W
Brier, WA 98036-8405

From: Judy Drake <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judy Drake
7307 24th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-5809
(206) 524-1405

From: Nancy Hines <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Hines
10302 23rd Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-7616

From: "K. Youmans" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. K. Youmans
PO Box 291
Roslyn, WA 98941-0291

From: Judith Dobkevich <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Judith Dobkevich
1310 Rose St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-4066
(360) 379-2627

From: Leonard Obert <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Leonard Obert
15426 SE 116th St
Renton, WA 98059-6006
(425) 277-9656

From: Terri Vanausdle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Terri Vanausdle
9216 Randall Dr NW Apt 36
Gig Harbor, WA 98332-2177
(253) 301-8760

From: Marjorie Lohrer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marjorie Lohrer
50 N Main St Apt 309
Coupeville, WA 98239-9564
(360) 331-2312

From: Gretchen Clay <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gretchen Clay
2612 Utter St
Bellingham, WA 98225-2304
(360) 650-0963

From: Hilda Kicinski <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Hilda Kicinski
2565 Dexter Ave N Apt 204
Seattle, WA 98109-1952
(206) 501-4468

From: Margaret Remington <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Remington
1307 Buena Ct
Richland, WA 99354-2171
(970) 275-0992

From: Margery Hite <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margery Hite
15407 Colony Rd
Bow, WA 98232-8557

From: Susan Marett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Marett
92 N Rhododendron Dr
Port Townsend, WA 98368-9485
(360) 385-0390

From: Tyler Sandstrom <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tyler Sandstrom
1827 N 51st St
Seattle, WA 98103-6105

From: Vance Calvez <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: No to the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Vance Calvez
9255 Holly Farm Ln NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-1669

From: Rebecca Mcdonough <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rebecca Mcdonough
PO Box 165
Eastsound, WA 98245-0165
(650) 325-9400

From: Jack & Dorothy Macslarrow <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack & Dorothy Macslarrow
5857 Hatton Pl
Ferndale, WA 98248-9311
(360) 380-3831

From: Dayle Carter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dayle Carter
3077 Mount Adams View Dr
Richland, WA 99353-7728
(509) 967-1748

From: Brian Keeler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Keeler
4880 Breezefair Rd
Freeland, WA 98249-8730

From: Sharon Lockwood <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Lockwood
1219 8th St NW
Winter Haven, FL 33881-2320

From: Kenneth Grieser <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Grieser
303 S 325th Ln
Federal Way, WA 98003-5752
(253) 517-9640

From: Tina Winebarger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Tina Winebarger
11321 E 6th Ave
Spokane Valley, WA 99206-5592
(509) 954-9334

From: Mary Bandura <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Mary Bandura
5135 Indian Rd NE
Olympia, WA 98506-1820
(360) 866-0598

From: Robert Grimm <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Grimm
PO Box 1076
Fall City, WA 98024-1076
(425) 868-4592

From: Nicole Dick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nicole Dick
2556 29th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98199-3324
(646) 352-2634

From: Dave Braun <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dave Braun
724 W York Ave
Spokane, WA 99205-3273

From: Gary Ross <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Ross
2509 Sylvan St
Bellingham, WA 98226-4322
(360) 738-3068

From: Jean Dilley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Jean Dilley
164 Palos Verdes
White Salmon, WA 98672-8949
(509) 493-4834

From: Ted Pratt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ted Pratt
8624 Cabot St SE
Olympia, WA 98501-5719
(360) 352-5327

From: Thomas Kress <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Kress
2110 NE Park Rd
Seattle, WA 98105-2422

From: George Knotek <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Knotek
5419 Kensington Pl N
Seattle, WA 98103-6227
(651) 283-4409

From: Laurie Countryman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laurie Countryman
15810 143rd Ave SE
Yelm, WA 98597-9169
(360) 894-4713

From: K G <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. K G
2 o
Orting, WA 98360-9449
(300) 000-0000

From: Glenn Phillips <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glenn Phillips
1711 23rd Ave S Apt 217
Seattle, WA 98144-4601
(206) 414-1010

From: Maureen Johnston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Maureen Johnston
13421 26th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-4307
(206) 367-4841

From: Stan Parker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stan Parker
2520 Jefferson St
Bellingham, WA 98225-2023
(360) 738-0770

From: Tara Trimmell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tara Trimmell
8719 Quinault Dr NE
Olympia, WA 98516-5827

From: Marjorie Serge <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marjorie Serge
5707 135th Pl NE
Marysville, WA 98271-7744
(360) 659-2049

From: Alex Abbott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alex Abbott
734 14th Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501-2423

From: Agatha Kotsonis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Agatha Kotsonis
1633 Melrose Ave Apt 207
Seattle, WA 98122-2059

From: Julie Laidlaw <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Laidlaw
PO Box 2513
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-2513
(360) 472-0042

From: Roberta McBride <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Roberta McBride
8523 215th St SW
Edmonds, WA 98026-7319

From: Sean O'Brien <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sean O'Brien
4350 Inclination Way
Missoula, MT 59803-9709

From: H G Talbo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. H G Talbo
6119 84th St SW
Lakewood, WA 98499-2627

From: Peter Zimmerman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Zimmerman
6940 62nd Ave NE Apt 208
Seattle, WA 98115-5005

From: Jerahmeel Rueben Males <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerahmeel Rueben Males
715 Foote St NW
Olympia, WA 98502-4810
(360) 402-3823

From: Erica Westberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Erica Westberg
19332 45th Dr NE
Arlington, WA 98223-4765

From: Adam Udovich <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Adam Udovich
3101 Chelsea Ct NW
Olympia, WA 98502-3821
(360) 866-0378

From: Peter Gyfteas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Gyfteas
4799 Lakeside Dr
Langley, WA 98260-9651

From: Miguel Ramos <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Miguel Ramos
4663 Fremont St
Bellingham, WA 98229-2627

From: Shannon Markley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shannon Markley
PO Box 31382
Seattle, WA 98103-1382
(206) 321-7852

From: Holly Taylor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: No railroad in the Tongue River Valley
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

As a member of a broad coalition of activists in Washington State opposed to coal mining and coal transport, I stand with Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and Montana community members in opposing construction of the Tongue River Railroad, and I urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." The coal economy is dwindling, the railroad is not needed and will soon be obsolete, but the environmental damage (direct and indirect) that the railroad will cause will be significant and long lasting. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Holly Taylor
PO Box 13027
Burton, WA 98013-0027

From: Lauren Sewell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lauren Sewell
1924 NE Craftsman Way
Poulsbo, WA 98370-7454
(360) 930-8602

From: Kristin Enoch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristin Enoch
3105 Augusta Dr
Bozeman, MT 59715-8702

From: Jim Giles <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Giles
PO Box 640
Quilcene, WA 98376-0640

From: Morgan Hurtado <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Morgan Hurtado
1627 52nd Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501-4704

From: Simon Goddard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Simon Goddard
3219 Franklin Ave E Apt 3
Seattle, WA 98102-3879
(954) 670-9767

From: Gerald Grace <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gerald Grace
2310 36th St
Bellingham, WA 98229-6301
(714) 573-0960

From: Trevor Leuzinger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Trevor Leuzinger
706 Quasar Ln
Livingston, MT 59047-1553

From: Terri Jones <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Terri Jones
7731 28th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-4539

From: Lisa Murdoch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Murdoch
7380 Eagle Dr
Bozeman, MT 59715-6640

From: Marie Collier <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Marie Collier
200 Mountain View Blvd
Billings, MT 59101-0239

From: Michael Parker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Parker
PO Box 65
Oysterville, WA 98641-0065
(360) 665-5077

From: Aldora Perez <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Aldora Perez
1101 Queen Anne Ave N Apt 302
Seattle, WA 98109-3262
(206) 588-0710

From: Colleen Ozora <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Colleen Ozora
2627 Walnut St
Bellingham, WA 98225-2338

From: David Martens <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Martens
PO Box 2267
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-4267
(360) 941-2444

From: Natalie Trott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Natalie Trott
Clarks Rd
Melbourne, WA 11111

From: Gayle Stebbings <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gayle Stebbings
2812 Summer St
Bellingham, WA 98225-2531
(360) 715-0661

From: Paul Roberts <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Paul Roberts
1519 Federal Ave E
Seattle, WA 98102-4232
(206) 860-0309

From: Don Ely <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Ely
7109 46th Ave E
Tacoma, WA 98443-1908
(206) 327-2463

From: Toni Semple <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

it's time to put coal to bed. Let it stay in the ground. It is dirty, full of carbon and pollutes like no other fossil fuel. Put your money and commitment into renewables.

Sincerely,

Ms. Toni Semple
13 Cokedale Spur
Livingston, MT 59047-8902

From: Tom Amend <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Amend
3015 Cowgill Ave
Bellingham, WA 98225-7615
(360) 319-8133

From: Grant Low <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Grant Low
2110 Highland Dr
Prosser, WA 99350-1195
(509) 781-4071

From: Lora Lehner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lora Lehner
1259 Marlin Dr SE
Port Orchard, WA 98366-3939
(360) 871-0325

From: Gregory Feleppa <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregory Feleppa
3715 N 33rd St
Tacoma, WA 98407-6015
(360) 286-5776

From: Susanna Tam <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susanna Tam
5214 NE 3rd Pl
Renton, WA 98059-5058
(425) 282-4651

From: Helga Burkhardt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helga Burkhardt
1453 NW Childs Rd
White Salmon, WA 98672-8708
(509) 281-0201

From: Charles Ellenberger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Ellenberger
23633 112th Ave SE Apt D201
Kent, WA 98031-5406
(253) 859-6364

From: Charles Fligel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Fligel
3240 S Montana St
Butte, MT 59701-3152
(406) 565-9583

From: Garrison Dyer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Garrison Dyer
1800 S Jackson St Apt 146
Seattle, WA 98144-2180

From: Molly Ware <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Molly Ware
2401 18th Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501-2615
(360) 357-2559

From: Robert Thompson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust. The worst thing of all in my opinion is that coal is a DEAD industry which should not be encouraged in its death throes. The country must move on to solar and other energy sources.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Thompson
16316 Alpine Dr E
Enumclaw, WA 98022-8043
(360) 663-2282

From: Barrie Macrae <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Barrie Macrae
3930 Baxter Ln Unit 9
Bozeman, MT 59718-8071
(425) 222-7660

From: Andrew Wilks <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Andrew Wilks
1312 E Denny Way
Seattle, WA 98122-2519
(206) 291-5495

From: Ernest Scherzer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ernest Scherzer
33 Lone Wolf Rd
Trout Creek, MT 59874-9641
(406) 827-0305

From: S Morris <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. S Morris
2522 N Proctor St
Tacoma, WA 98406-5338

From: George Denniston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad. PLEASE LEAVE SOME COAL IN THE GROUND FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS TO BUILD AIRPLANES WITH!!!

Sincerely,

Dr. George Denniston
45 Robbins Rd
Nordland, WA 98358-9607
(111) 111-1111

From: Stefan Lewis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stefan Lewis
19415 82nd Pl W
Edmonds, WA 98026-6207
(425) 771-3372

From: Tim Blessing <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tim Blessing
2401 E Nora Ave
Spokane, WA 99207-5345

From: Ron & Marci Moore <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ron & Marci Moore
708 Bunker Hill Rd
Longview, WA 98632-9770
(360) 414-1488

From: Sally Rodgers <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sally Rodgers
1407 Jefferson St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-8125
(360) 379-3395

From: Greg Goodwin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Greg Goodwin
13804 26th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-3419
(206) 526-8378

From: Assaf Oron <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Assaf Oron
1240 NE 97th St
Seattle, WA 98115-2228
(206) 892-9496

From: Keela Marshall <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Keela Marshall
6525 California Ave SW Apt 207
Seattle, WA 98136-1875
(206) 545-7387

From: Howard Clark <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Howard Clark
12205 Sapphire Ln SE
Olalla, WA 98359-9433
(253) 857-1065

From: Joel Carlson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Keep oil and coal trains out of Washington State!
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Dangerous exploding oil trains must be kept out of Washington State too!

Sincerely,

Mr. Joel Carlson
3634 Loren St NE
Lacey, WA 98516-3402

From: helen fristrom <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. helen fristrom
16797 Chumstick Canyon Hwy
Leavenworth, WA 98826
(509) 548-0203

From: David Allison <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Allison
91 E Aycliffe Dr
Shelton, WA 98584-9606
(703) 962-1976

From: Mark Porter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Porter
8883 Frost Rd
Sumas, WA 98295-8309
(360) 988-7506

From: Steve Hamlin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Hamlin
18926 11th Ave NE
1
Shoreline, WA 98155-2214
(206) 293-6457

From: Michael Winger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Winger
2507 41st St SE
Puyallup, WA 98374-1730
(253) 845-1286

From: Peter Capen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Capen
2405 N Anderson St
Tacoma, WA 98406-7136
(253) 752-2780

From: Janet Weedman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Weedman
5703 Sugarloaf St
Anacortes, WA 98221-2965

From: Marjorie Weiss <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marjorie Weiss
900 University St Apt 13q
Seattle, WA 98101-2778
(206) 922-2784

From: Bruce Stone <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bruce Stone
7535 39th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-8009
(206) 735-7155

From: Mary Van Ness <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Van Ness
15210 42nd Ave S
Tukwila, WA 98188-2213
(206) 244-1285

From: DOROTHY GRIFFING <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. DOROTHY GRIFFING
8008a NE 63rd St
Vancouver, WA 98662-4477

From: "Denise R. Ballard" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise R. Ballard
6970 Calif Ave SW Apt B305
Seattle, WA 98136-1977
(206) 937-0758

From: Laura Tucker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Tucker
PO Box 329
Port Townsend, WA 98368-0329
(360) 643-1298

From: Lorna Isenberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lorna Isenberg
9409 SE 33rd St
Mercer Island, WA 98040-3111
(206) 909-1050

From: John Butts <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Butts
4501 94th Pl NE
Marysville, WA 98270-2240
(801) 608-2738

From: Robert Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Smith
1136 13th Ave Apt 201
Seattle, WA 98122-4432
(253) 332-5678

From: Michael Manoff <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Manoff
70 N Goldeneye Dr
Hoodsport, WA 98548-9767

From: Raymond Fox <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Raymond Fox
8012 Burke Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-4521
(206) 522-5532

From: Shatoiya De La Tour <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shatoiya De La Tour
124 Larson Lake Rd
Chimacum, WA 98325-9804
(360) 732-0014

From: J Masters <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. J Masters
200 E Catfish Lake Rd
Shelton, WA 98584-6658
(360) 426-4037

From: Lisette West <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lisette West
6701 155th St NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98332-9169
(206) 359-5650

From: Malcolm Dole <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Malcolm Dole
6520 Division Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-5141
(206) 650-5165

From: Patricia Damron <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patricia Damron
6709 84th Street Ct NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98332-6797
(253) 851-8105

From: Bruce Maxfield <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Bruce Maxfield
300 Basswood Dr
Silverlake, WA 98645-9754
(307) 222-4852

From: Bill Russell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Russell
Dewey Ave.
Eureka, MT 59917

From: Stephanie Almskaar <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Stephanie Almskaar
1600 Ten Mile Rd
Everson, WA 98247-9605
(360) 592-5115

From: John Dunkum <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Dunkum
601 E Beckwith Ave
Missoula, MT 59801-4422
(406) 543-5360

From: Kindy Kemp <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kindy Kemp
565 Blue Sky Dr
Port Townsend, WA 98368-9686
(510) 222-5415

From: Shary B <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shary B
1950 Alaskan Way
Seattle, WA 98101-1075
(206) 856-6620

From: Dawn Hanson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Dawn Hanson
13725 32nd Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-3690

From: William Wenger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. William Wenger
83 Little Mountain Rd
Trout Lake, WA 98650-9707
(509) 395-2483

From: Paula Shafransky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Paula Shafransky
22461 Prairie Rd
Sedro Woolley, WA 98284-8586
(360) 856-1637

From: Shari Hamilton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shari Hamilton
1122 Caroline St
Port Angeles, WA 98362-4204
(805) 772-5067

From: Jim Dettmann <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Dettmann
128 Park Ave
Kalispell, MT 59901-2920
(406) 752-0748

From: Jen Harrington <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jen Harrington
21292 US Highway 10 E
Clinton, MT 59825-9647

From: Elizabeth Erber <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Erber
425 23rd Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144-7517

From: Guy Bateman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Guy Bateman
PO Box 1636
Poplar, MT 59255-1636
(406) 768-5227

From: James P Shaver <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. James P Shaver
11 Bridger Mountain Rd N
Montana City, MT 59634-9641
(406) 444-5008

From: Simone wiles <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Simone wiles
1303 Ivy Rd Apt 64
Bremerton, WA 98310-3508

From: Shawn Tuthill <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Shawn Tuthill
63rd St
Seattle, WA 98107
(206) 422-7735

From: Shakira Todd <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shakira Todd
4500 Harbour Pointe Blvd
Mukilteo, WA 98275-4714
(267) 974-2916

From: Marilyn Evenson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marilyn Evenson
16016 29th Avenue Ct E
Tacoma, WA 98445-7205
(330) 461-2000

From: Robin Gunnier <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Gunnier
9881 W Wapato Rd
Wapato, WA 98951-9228

From: Robert Hopkins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Hopkins
1915 Montana St Apt D
Missoula, MT 59801-1449
(406) 926-2564

From: Echo Hahn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Echo Hahn
108 E 5th St
Aberdeen, WA 98520-2506
(360) 532-4889

From: Wendy Feltham <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wendy Feltham
552 Cook Avenue Ext
Port Townsend, WA 98368-9271

From: Hazel Thomas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Hazel Thomas
8606 35th Ave NE Apt 411
Seattle, WA 98115-3681

From: Robin Hirsch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Hirsch
193 Polar Cir
Orcas, WA 98280
(206) 245-7353

From: Sylvie Karlsda <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sylvie Karlsda
withheld
Kenmore, WA 98028

From: Rachel Baker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rachel Baker
4710 Woodland Park Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-6657
(206) 633-3424

From: David Luxem <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Luxem
1903 SW Hillcrest Rd
Burien, WA 98166-3321
(206) 932-4439

From: Laura Dicus <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Dicus
20056 Woden Ct NE
Poulsbo, WA 98370-8761
(360) 697-1712

From: Erik Swenson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Erik Swenson
8541 E Mercer Way
Mercer Island, WA 98040-5624
(206) 232-4612

From: Gwenna Carlson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gwenna Carlson
654 Chestnut Ave
Richland, WA 99352-3634
(555) 555-5555

From: Kathryn Long <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathryn Long
321 Bay View St
Sequim, WA 98382-9562

From: Frank Aiello <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Frank Aiello
112 Jefferson Ave
Port Ludlow, WA 98365-9635
(360) 437-7981

From: Charles Mccarty <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

We're going off the rails on a crazy train!

Seriously, when will we all realize that profit does NOT care about our air, water and land...

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Mccarty
10300 Des Moines Memorial Dr S
Seattle, WA 98168-1690

From: Ron Ritnour <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ron Ritnour
8 Old Us Hwy 91
Ulm, MT 59485
(406) 781-2808

From: Michael Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Smith
1418 Hamilton Ave
Yakima, WA 98902-5198
(509) 452-3931

From: Melinda Thayne <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melinda Thayne
3310 SW 327th Pl
Federal Way, WA 98023-2759
(253) 874-3640

From: Cheryl Kindschy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheryl Kindschy
801 11th Ave
Helena, MT 59601-3747
(406) 439-8156

From: Lena leitzke <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lena leitzke
1081 NW 167th St
Shoreline, WA 98177-3850

From: Willie Mccoy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Willie Mccoy
702 2nd Ave W Apt 103
Seattle, WA 98119-3763
(206) 697-2243

From: Dan Carpita <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

The excuse of more jobs is only true if we consider the jobs to be created in health care and disaster relief.
The excuse of " if we don't do this someone else will" exposes the lack of character and good conscience of those who make those statements. Right thinking, socially and environmentally responsible citizens of this planet understand that the future of the planet and survival of the human species is dependent upon each decision to put them first in all our deliberations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Carpita
39235 258th Ave SE

Enumclaw, WA 98022-8867
(360) 825-1660

From: Julie Dietrich Kelly <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Julie Dietrich Kelly
160 Wedgewood Ln
Helena, MT 59601-0171
(406) 443-4380

From: Richard Plancich <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Plancich
14529 32nd Ave NE
Shoreline, WA 98155-7523
(206) 324-0232

From: Elsamarie Butler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elsamarie Butler
627 W Titus St Apt 307
Kent, WA 98032-5779

From: John Wesselink <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Wesselink
336 36th St PMB 180
Bellingham, WA 98225-6580
(360) 734-7333

From: Kyle Vanous <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kyle Vanous
339 Vashon Ave
Port Angeles, WA 98362-6310

From: Eileen Riley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Eileen Riley
5910 25th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98108-3140
(206) 725-8011

From: Erne Kegel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Erne Kegel
1350 Kegel Way
Colville, WA 99114-9044
(509) 684-2716

From: Alice West <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alice West
PO Box 831
Twisp, WA 98856-0831
(218) 213-4546

From: Barbara Tountas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Tountas
20407 30th Ave NE
Shoreline, WA 98155-1531
(206) 459-4780

From: Cathy Seay <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cathy Seay
402 Park Pl
Everett, WA 98203-2023
(425) 252-0281

From: Nellie Israel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nellie Israel
208 Park St
Joliet, Mt, MT 59041

From: Wayne Doucette <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wayne Doucette
23 75th St SW
Everett, WA 98203-4956
(425) 347-4705

From: Marjorie Kunigisky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marjorie Kunigisky
6015 W 20th Ave
Kennewick, WA 99338-1502
(509) 783-7727

From: Suzzanne Scauzillo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Suzzanne Scauzillo
1959 12th Ave W Apt 1n
Seattle, WA 98119-2771
(206) 298-2988

From: Nancy Goodwin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nancy Goodwin
1717 Olympia Way Ste 104
Longview, WA 98632-3929
(360) 577-8989

From: Constance Maytum <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Constance Maytum
3460 SE Soholt Ln
Port Orchard, WA 98367-9561

From: Erin Denton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

I strongly OPPOSE the Tongue River Railroad. We need renewable energy sources, not filthy coal!

Sincerely,

Ms. Erin Denton
53 Broken Wheel
Livingston, MT 59047-8903

From: Keith Schackmuth <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Schackmuth
25023 72nd Ave E
Graham, WA 98338-6504

From: Douglas Schuelke <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Douglas Schuelke
PO Box 473
Vaughn, WA 98394-0473

From: Brian Benson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Benson
8307 Dibble Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-3246
(206) 555-1212

From: Rosalind Bolach <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosalind Bolach
122 N 5th Ave
Bozeman, MT 59715-3412

From: Stuart Mork <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stuart Mork
7710 31st Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-4642
(206) 781-0336

From: Ruth Tooley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ruth Tooley
150 E Fairfield Ct
Shelton, WA 98584-7407
(360) 426-9567

From: Connie Loveridge <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Connie Loveridge
PO Box 7063
Kent, WA 98042-0040
(253) 639-8977

From: "Harold J. Green" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Harold J. Green
3520 N Park Rd
Spokane Valley, WA 99212-1509
(509) 869-7881

From: Mary Walker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Walker
PO Box 389
Vashon, WA 98070-0389
(206) 567-5326

From: Robert Hawes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Hawes
607 Montgomery St
Steilacoom, WA 98388-2307
(253) 719-5606

From: Jim Ploger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Ploger
1909 S Charles St
Seattle, WA 98144-2932

From: Gregry Loomis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregry Loomis
8330 13th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-4205
(206) 789-6778

From: Miya Cohen-Sieg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Miya Cohen-Sieg
3001 W Barrett St
Seattle, WA 98199-2718
(206) 378-5845

From: Larissa Chuprina <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Larissa Chuprina
9031 E Shorewood Dr
Mercer Island, WA 98040-6269
(425) 327-6872

From: Tim Durnell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tim Durnell
3087 Daisy Mine Rd
Rice, WA 99167-9745
(509) 738-6101

From: Melissa Haight <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Melissa Haight
12509 212th St SE
Snohomish, WA 98296-7869
(360) 668-1239

From: Keri Barker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Keri Barker
812 NE 123rd St
Seattle, WA 98125-4830
(206) 361-9487

From: Niele Gillooly <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Niele Gillooly
4006 Greenwood Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-7051
(512) 576-3641

From: Dean Mathews <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Dean Mathews
32239 159th Ave SE
Auburn, WA 98092-5946

From: Eleanor Morris <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Eleanor Morris
150 E Peaceful Pl
Grapeview, WA 98546-9721
(360) 427-7327

From: George Scott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Scott
717 SW Kenyon St
Seattle, WA 98106-4008
(206) 764-6003

From: Lydia Sherwood <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lydia Sherwood
1550 Sudden Vly
Bellingham, WA 98229-4843

From: Nancy Wrightington <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Wrightington
2336 University Ln Apt 16
Cheney, WA 99004-2024
(509) 235-4085

From: Kevin & Drucynda Mcmahon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin & Drucynda Mcmahon
18832 244th Ave SE
Maple Valley, WA 98038-7310

From: Jared Howe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jared Howe
4107 Ml King Jr Way S
Seattle, WA 98108-1684
(206) 250-2568

From: Lorna Lowenthal <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lorna Lowenthal
18720 Sound View Pl
Edmonds, WA 98020-2384
(425) 478-3753

From: Jonathan Matthews <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Deny the Tongue River Railroad!
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jonathan Matthews
1633 Flowerree St
Helena, MT 59601-5903
(406) 447-4351

From: Jan Aszman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jan Aszman
2277 Glenwood Hwy
Goldendale, WA 98620-3017

From: Joe Jowdy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joe Jowdy
PO Box 28025
Bellingham, WA 98228-0025

From: Robert Vogel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Miss Robert Vogel
830 NW 67th St
Seattle, WA 98117-5133

From: Brianna Pfeninger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Brianna Pfeninger
4022 E B St
Tacoma, WA 98404-1435
(253) 888-3175

From: Teri Ball <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Coal is not the way of the future. With Arch Coals financial situation, we should not be ruining a beautiful alluvial basin to put a railroad track through it. Please take the no action alternative.

Sincerely,

Ms. Teri Ball
2278 Riata Rd
Bozeman, MT 59718-9237

From: Donald Howe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Howe
36735 SE David Powell Rd
Fall City, WA 98024-9201
(425) 222-4152

From: Brian Sullivan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Brian Sullivan
7220 99th Ave SW
Lakewood, WA 98498-3352
(253) 278-1026

From: David Jackson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Jackson
4700 Charter Ln
Mukilteo, WA 98275-6041
(425) 501-9717

From: Sandra Robison <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sandra Robison
1407 Thayer Dr
Richland, WA 99354-3229

From: Mary Young <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Young
5709 189th Ave E
Lake Tapps, WA 98391-8886
(253) 863-9125

From: Candy Caldwell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Candy Caldwell
3 High Cliff Ln
Bellingham, WA 98229-7762

From: Gerald Kessinger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gerald Kessinger
6727 Willow Rd
Everett, WA 98203-4302
(206) 372-8515

From: Margo Hunter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margo Hunter
PO Box 2125
Bigfork, MT 59911-2125

From: Paul Nehring <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Nehring
13710 Stone Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133-7017
(206) 362-5680

From: Heather Merritt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Heather Merritt
10807 Holly Ln
Lake Stevens, WA 98258-8406

From: Judith Laik <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Laik
20626 SE Auburn Blck Dmnd Rd
Auburn, WA 98092-2222
(253) 939-6999

From: Charles Derykus <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Derykus
141 Sunset Blvd
Port Townsend, WA 98368-8913
(360) 775-6195

From: "Gene O. Graham,md" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Gene O. Graham,md
1100 E Union St Ste 3a
Seattle, WA 98122-3937

From: Janet Blumer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Blumer
4818 W Hoffman Pl
Spokane, WA 99205-1918
(509) 325-1949

From: Andronetta Douglass <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

I hope you will consider investing in solar or wind power because the market for coal is collapsing. These communities deserve a healthy environment and clean energy jobs.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Andronetta Douglass
255 W Bakerview Rd Apt 105
Bellingham, WA 98226-8174
(562) 477-5165

From: Kyle Comanor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kyle Comanor
7348 17th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-5741
(206) 632-3780

From: "Mr.Shelley Dahlgren, PhD" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Mr.Shelley Dahlgren, PhD
4449 242nd Ave SE
Issaquah, WA 98029-7520

From: Ken Weeks <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Weeks
4 Luftfeld Rd
Lyle, WA 98635-9460
(509) 365-0026

From: James Roberts <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Roberts
215 S Ellis St
Palouse, WA 99161-8700
(509) 878-1631

From: David Sielaff <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Sielaff
3827 Bagley Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-8412
(206) 675-8090

From: Dolores Wiens <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dolores Wiens
1005 Terrace St
Seattle, WA 98104-2463
(206) 432-9722

From: Alan Westbrook <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alan Westbrook
1927 E 23rd Ave
Spokane, WA 99203-3801
(409) 724-1523

From: Christina Petrie <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Christina Petrie
1827 N 53rd St
Seattle, WA 98103-6115
(206) 545-3744

From: Steve Erickson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

How often do you see tribes, ranchers, and environmentalists working together? It has to be a pretty seriously disastrous proposal to bring that kind of coalition together. And it is.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The work has already been done to quantify the amount of coal dust generated by each train hauling coal across Washington. The Best Available Science is there and must be considered. Allowing the Tongue River Railroad would adversely impact the health of large numbers of people living near rail lines. And of course there is the long term global impact of mining, transporting, and ultimately burning the coal. We already have a painful surfeit of information about climate change resulting from the burning of coal.

While the word is rare in the regulatory language, there is a time when "no" is the only appropriate response. This is one of those times. The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company - and even their benefits will be short term. Even they need clean air, clean water, and clean soil in which to grow food. All of those are put at

risk by this proposal. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Erickson
PO Box 53
Langley, WA 98260-0053
(360) 579-4080

From: James Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Smith
7011 16th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-5734
(206) 527-6610

From: Barbara Dubowsky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Dubowsky
4437 248th Ln SE
Issaquah, WA 98029-6400

From: "mr.G. West" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. mr.G. West
2625 Hoyt Ave
Everett, WA 98201-2908
(000) 000-0000

From: Irene Erdie <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Irene Erdie
9017 Douglas Cir
Helena, MT 59602-8249
(406) 458-6301

From: Linda Reilly <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Reilly
1703 Giles Ave NW
Olympia, WA 98502-4733

From: Donald Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Anderson
315 E Park St Apt 17
North Bend, WA 98045-8224
(425) 449-9362

From: Susanne Marten <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Susanne Marten
5435 S Bell St
Tacoma, WA 98408-7636
(253) 474-6053

From: Erik Larue <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Erik Larue
17567 Maiben Rd
Burlington, WA 98233-9670
(360) 420-7871

From: Derek Hoshiko <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Derek Hoshiko
3464 Quade Rd
Clinton, WA 98236-9308
(206) 584-8826

From: Helen Read <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helen Read
935 29th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-5005

From: Carol Affleck <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Affleck
9144 45th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98136-2675
(206) 922-3925

From: Doug Johnson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

That same dirty coal would also roll through my hometown of Missoula... billowing coal dust from each uncovered railcar. When will the politicians (you) start serving the people that elected them to public office.... WHEN? Can you even ask yourself that question?

Sincerely,

Mr. Doug Johnson
516 Hickory St
Missoula, MT 59801-2552
(303) 829-2215

From: Louise K Zovanyi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Louise K Zovanyi
700 W 7th Ave
Spokane, WA 99204-2826
(808) 634-8826

From: Nancy Waligory <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Waligory
4126 SW Monroe St
Seattle, WA 98136-2336
(206) 935-3478

From: Clayton Murray <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clayton Murray
10526 Dayton Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133-8717
(206) 367-5759

From: G G <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. G G
3 O
Orting, WA 98360
(360) 000-0000

From: Joann Hutton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joann Hutton
1901 Gilbert Rd
PO Box 961
Ellensburg, WA 98926-9515
(509) 968-4610

From: Lawrence Porter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

NO public money for the private antiquated fossil fuel industry. Stop this boondoogle before it starts.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lawrence Porter
10124 SW 268th St
Vashon, WA 98070-8410

From: Dan Whitaker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Whitaker
307 S Sprague St
Ellensburg, WA 98926-3719

From: Stephen Nichols <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Nichols
13107 Zeller Rd SE
Yelm, WA 98597-9212

From: "Gayle St. Luise" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gayle St. Luise
7114 31st Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98126-3329
(206) 579-6442

From: John Clancy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Clancy
161 Rosehip Rd
Eastsound, WA 98245-8957
(360) 376-5075

From: Janet Tatz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I live in Montana and this effects me directly.I am totally opposed to the Tongue River Railroad

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Tatz
907 Hideout St
Helena, MT 59601-5628
(406) 443-0349

From: Robert Maurus <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Maurus
2218 S 336th St
Federal Way, WA 98003-7823
(253) 778-2775

From: Josh Kato <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Josh Kato
14300 Idlewild Rd
Leavenworth, WA 98826-9214
(509) 668-2869

From: Chris Baillio <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chris Baillio
PO Box 161980
Big Sky, MT 59716-1980

From: Saab Lofton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Saab Lofton
619 3rd Ave
Seattle, WA 98104-1817
(206) 291-3815

From: Bob Owen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Owen
1953 25th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-3009
(206) 324-3495

From: Joan Weiser <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joan Weiser
4331 2nd Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98107-4316
(206) 789-7878

From: Dorothy Parshall <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Dorothy Parshall
723 Decker Ave
Langley, WA 98260-9526

From: Mike Lacy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Lacy
426 4th Ave N
Edmonds, WA 98020-3119
(425) 776-4119

From: Gregory Pauley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregory Pauley
548 26th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-6122

From: Carol Saulsbury <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Saulsbury
124 W Main St Apt 2
Monroe, WA 98272-1834

From: Jerry Kenyon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry Kenyon
2228 Rosewyn Ln
Billings, MT 59102-2148
(406) 656-1018

From: Maureen Parroitt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maureen Parroitt
PO Box 2267
Oroville, WA 98844-2267

From: Dru Rafkin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dru Rafkin
PO Box 741
Whitefish, MT 59937-0741

From: Mary Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Smith
2301 Fairview Ave E
Seattle, WA 98102-3361

From: Suzanne Steel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Suzanne Steel
8328 Fawn Cres
Blaine, WA 98230-9573
(360) 933-1301

From: Patrick Hook <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Patrick Hook
4315 164th St SW
Lynnwood, WA 98087-3117
(425) 326-2885

From: Scott Prentice <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Prentice
2040 N 78th St
Seattle, WA 98103-4908
(206) 527-5918

From: Anthony Buch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony Buch
6221 35th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-7314
(206) 931-8552

From: Dolores Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Dolores Anderson
1204 Ponderosa Dr
Missoula, MT 59802-3239
(406) 493-0606

From: John Dart <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We Can Do Better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

As the health and wellbeing of future generations of ALL of we living is infinitely more important than further warming our globe, I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Dart
15619 138th Pl SE
Renton, WA 98058-7808
(425) 271-7493

From: Nancy Hayden <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nancy Hayden
8205 N Espe Rd
Spokane, WA 99217-9788

From: Kay Morgan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kay Morgan
PO Box 61
Carlton, WA 98814-0061

From: Diane Mann <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Mann
6157 Poppy Ct
Maple Falls, WA 98266-8258

From: Sergey Galushko <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sergey Galushko
5515 156th St SW
Edmonds, WA 98026-4705
(425) 741-9057

From: Mary Syrenne <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Syrenne
5475 Meadowview Dr S
Florence, MT 59833-6630

From: Melanie Sheats <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melanie Sheats
PO Box 257
Olympia, WA 98507-0257
(253) 000-0000

From: Temma Pistrang <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Temma Pistrang
15603 36th Ave NE
Lake Forest Park, WA 98155-6615
(206) 363-0293

From: Nancy Kilgore <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Kilgore
510 Capitol Way N Apt 113
Olympia, WA 98501-1061
(360) 459-1078

From: Curtis Kruer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Curtis Kruer
113 Wisconsin Creek West
Sheridan, MT 59749
(406) 842-5098

From: Rochelle Gravance <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Rochelle Gravance
PO Box 1205
Columbus, MT 59019-1205
(406) 322-1325

From: David & Judith Laws <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David & Judith Laws
1718 Valencia St
Bellingham, WA 98229-5335
(360) 650-1015

From: Phyllis Faulkner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Phyllis Faulkner
34 Prairie Dog Ln
Great Falls, MT 59404-6236
(406) 454-2723

From: Dale Russ <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dale Russ
15150 65th Ave S Apt 719
Tukwila, WA 98188-2525
(206) 370-4513

From: Lara Lorenz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lara Lorenz
8312 21st Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-3528
(206) 784-7127

From: Ray Lou <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Ray Lou
705 S Weller St
Seattle, WA 98104-3075

From: Joana Kirchhoff <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joana Kirchhoff
16626 Bridger Canyon Rd
Bozeman, MT 59715-8668

From: Cynthia Odell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cynthia Odell
67 Two Track Way
Bozeman, MT 59715-2719

From: Patricia Wilson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patricia Wilson
PO Box 1918
Belfair, WA 98528-1918
(360) 275-2900

From: Judith Langhans <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Langhans
2908 Country Club Rd NW
Olympia, WA 98502-3737
(858) 922-9890

From: Don Rahm <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Rahm
15301 122nd Avenue Ct E
Puyallup, WA 98374-9673
(253) 840-2451

From: Elizabeth Fry <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Fry
18505 Karl Rd
Leavenworth, WA 98826-9200

From: Eugenia Patterson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Eugenia Patterson
27110 Woodside Rd NE
Kingston, WA 98346-9547
(360) 271-6847

From: Catherine Ross <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Catherine Ross
19508 88th Ave W
Edmonds, WA 98026-6115
(425) 776-6376

From: Kay Mcpherson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kay Mcpherson
2409 Arcadia Dr Apt 1
Missoula, MT 59803-2136
(406) 541-0031

From: Vona Quinlan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vona Quinlan
PO Box 1462
Orting, WA 98360-1462

From: Jane Kepner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jane Kepner
128 Sanborn Rd
White Salmon, WA 98672-8220

From: Joe Wiederhold <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joe Wiederhold
1405 Edwards St
Bellingham, WA 98229-5714
(509) 493-2367

From: Meghan Mccutcheon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Meghan Mccutcheon
PO Box 507
White Salmon, WA 98672-0507
(555) 555-5555

From: Sara Gamble <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sara Gamble
15538 SE 168th St
Renton, WA 98058-8614

From: Marianne Hoffman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marianne Hoffman
5005 Alpenglow Dr NW
Bremerton, WA 98312-9659
(360) 830-5005

From: Lisa Thomas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lisa Thomas
14137 235d Pl Se
Issaquah, WA 98027-6441
(425) 391-6793

From: Gordon Radovich <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gordon Radovich
6052 Chico Way NW
Bremerton, WA 98312-1146

From: Mary Benham <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Benham
3616 N Dowdy Rd
Spokane, WA 99224-9335
(509) 747-0692

From: Erika Shriner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Erika Shriner
8190 NE Baker Hill Rd
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-2253
(206) 201-3420

From: Jennifer Nitz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer from dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Nitz
802 E Front St
Missoula, MT 59802-4705

From: Tom Voorhees <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Voorhees
PO Box 601
Clinton, WA 98236-0601
(360) 579-1367

From: Dan Schneider <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Schneider
814 NE 84th St
Seattle, WA 98115-4217
(206) 527-1841

From: Ronlyn Schwartz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ronlyn Schwartz
PO Box 905
Langley, WA 98260-0905

From: Meghan Sullivan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Meghan Sullivan
3312 Lorne St SE
Tumwater, WA 98501-3424
(360) 820-0634

From: Urszula Cieslak <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Urszula Cieslak
720 Lynn St
Wenatchee, WA 98801-3631
(509) 662-3033

From: Robert Banghart <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Banghart
112 Priest Point Dr NW
Tulalip, WA 98271-6821
(425) 633-9185

From: Robert Kaiser <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Kaiser
PO Box 5510
Whitefish, MT 59937-5510
(406) 862-6677

From: Jiazhu Zhang <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jiazhu Zhang
14712 SE 184th Pl
Renton, WA 98058-9325
(425) 260-8494

From: Steven Uyenishi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Uyenishi
12425 74th Ln S Apt 24
Seattle, WA 98178-4327

From: David Rowe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. David Rowe
31 Yawl Ln
Port Ludlow, WA 98365-9712
(206) 855-6894

From: Constance Fiske <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Constance Fiske
84 Bridle Bit Loop
Montana City, MT 59634-9646
(406) 502-1175

From: Rollin Odell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Rollin Odell
2 Haciendas Rd
Orinda, CA 94563-1715
(925) 254-2259

From: Rocklin Perrott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rocklin Perrott
1005 Bent Arrow Ln SW
Olympia, WA 98512-8903

From: Kathryn Tominey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Even China is cutting back - time to retrain c9al miners to fabricate wind mills, solar panels, natural gas & H2 fuel cells. Time to move on.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathryn Tominey
36905 N Rodeo Pr NE
5210155
Benton City, WA 99320-8808
(509) 521-0155

From: Stephen Brklycica <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Brklycica
1701 Union Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501-1847
(360) 789-9988

From: Ron St Jean <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ron St Jean
616 Wisconsin Ave
Libby, MT 59923-2336
(406) 291-7197

From: Sharyn Pennington <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharyn Pennington
20711 SE 335th St
Auburn, WA 98092-2287
(480) 620-1684

From: Nicholas Wagner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nicholas Wagner
3227 37th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144-7013
(206) 227-2639

From: Barbara Whitson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Whitson
3116 S Dose Ter
Seattle, WA 98144-4930

From: B J Hedahl <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. B J Hedahl
15600 37th Ave NE
Lake Forest Park, WA 98155-6629
(206) 306-4816

From: Robert Gabriel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Gabriel
3125 36th Ave NE Unit C
Olympia, WA 98506-2618
(360) 489-0131

From: Forrest Rode <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Forrest Rode
1616 Summit Ave Apt 502
Seattle, WA 98122-2372
(206) 920-6117

From: Jack Tull <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jack Tull
6323 21st Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-6915

From: Jeffry Yaplee <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeffry Yaplee
2817 34th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144-6121
(206) 722-8115

From: Laurie Lohrer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laurie Lohrer
466 Snowberry Ln
Lewistown, MT 59457-8089
(406) 538-5187

From: Renee Duprel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Renee Duprel
2601 W Plymouth St
Seattle, WA 98199-4124
(206) 352-8493

From: Rick Harlan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rick Harlan
441 25th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-4767
(206) 329-8378

From: June Mac Arthur <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. June Mac Arthur
1045 Hillandale Dr E
Port Orchard, WA 98366-3830

From: Jeanne Boyer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jeanne Boyer
1115 Albert St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-6565
(360) 379-6723

From: Heather Van Helvoort <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Heather Van Helvoort
2310 Shoreland Dr S
Seattle, WA 98144-5629

From: Beverly Poppe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beverly Poppe
3247 Rachelle Rd
Helena, MT 59602-9743
(406) 422-4074

From: Mika Godzich <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Mika Godzich
PO Box 625
Tonasket, WA 98855-0625
(415) 609-3566

From: Tamara Stephas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tamara Stephas
809 23rd Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-4103
(206) 726-9845

From: Judy Mcdonald <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Judy Mcdonald
553 Old Moscow Rd
Pullman, WA 99163-8833
(509) 332-0352

From: Clare Lentz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Clare Lentz
1002 E Boone Ave
Spokane, WA 99202-2012

From: Jack Green <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack Green
3130 Ash Ln NW Apt 103
Olympia, WA 98502-3881
(360) 464-7022

From: Paula Mackrow <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Paula Mackrow
PO Box 163
Carlton, WA 98814-0163
(509) 997-3057

From: Rick Pietrusiak <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rick Pietrusiak
1010 Rogers St NW
Olympia, WA 98502-4653

From: Lois Eulberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lois Eulberg
5831 4th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98107-2116
(206) 276-7203

From: Pat Ellison <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pat Ellison
PO Box 477
Seaview, WA 98644-0477
(360) 921-1460

From: Michael Rummerfield <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Rummerfield
214 August Rd
Onalaska, WA 98570-9662
(360) 978-6846

From: Lisa Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Lisa Wright
3614 Wetmore Ave Apt 5
Everett, WA 98201-4745
(425) 783-0126

From: Mark Redmond <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Redmond
1605 E Olive St Unit 206
Seattle, WA 98122-2789
(206) 329-9820

From: Kate Orsini <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kate Orsini
215 21st Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-5913
(206) 910-6956

From: Judith Cohen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Cohen
1608 E Republican St Apt 201
Seattle, WA 98112-4673
(206) 333-4444

From: Clinton Nagel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clinton Nagel
1385 Golden Gate Ave
Bozeman, MT 59718-1972
(406) 600-1792

From: Michael Winger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Winger
2507 41st St SE
Puyallup, WA 98374-1730
(253) 845-1286

From: Hiawatha Rhyans <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Hiawatha Rhyans
504 Terry Ave Apt 102
Seattle, WA 98104-2107

From: Mary Sheffield <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Sheffield
3825 S Cuba St
Spokane, WA 99223-6050

From: Yonit Yogev <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Yonit Yogev
868 Henry Ln
Camano Island, WA 98282-7503
(360) 387-6743

From: Matthew Van Camp <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Matthew Van Camp
5219 Puget Rd NE
Olympia, WA 98516-9542
(360) 456-7094

From: Christopher Phillips <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Phillips
11172 Ridgepark Pl NW
Silverdale, WA 98383-8651
(360) 620-8754

From: Denee Scribner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denee Scribner
1113 E 2nd Ave
Ellensburg, WA 98926-3520
(509) 859-1469

From: Linda Archer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Archer
12512 Lakeholme Rd SW
Lakewood, WA 98498-4222
(253) 582-3958

From: Roger Murphy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Roger Murphy
6145 Aldrich Rd
Ferndale, WA 98248-9427
(360) 398-8096

From: Robert Packer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Packer
1209 Madrona Ave
Everett, WA 98203-1731
(425) 259-4847

From: Edgar Meyer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Edgar Meyer
105 Chase Ave
Cashmere, WA 98815-1160
(509) 782-2571

From: Richard Sundmacker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Sundmacker
207 W Park St Apt 18
Butte, MT 59701-1647
(406) 299-2354

From: Polly Freeman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Polly Freeman
201 NW 60th St
Seattle, WA 98107-2036
(206) 789-3192

From: Kathie Dove <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathie Dove
2925 St Michael Dr
Missoula, MT 59803-2918
(406) 251-7237

From: Darlene O'Grady <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Darlene O'Grady
17340 136th Pl SE
Monroe, WA 98272-9764
(360) 794-3452

From: Nathan Klein <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Please remember the long term toll this will take on the locals and their way of life.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nathan Klein
PO Box 163
Anaconda, MT 59711-0163

From: David Neevel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Neevel
100 Bayside Pl
Bellingham, WA 98225-7706
(360) 676-1103

From: Bryan Bell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bryan Bell
628 E 11th St
Port Angeles, WA 98362-7938
(360) 452-2502

From: Scott Species <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: No Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.
No Soot.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Species
1922 9th Ave Apt 401
Seattle, WA 98101-1302

From: Mike & Jody Wende <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

Railway traffic in the Spokane area already creates many hardships for people's commutes, emergency services, noise, etc. This will further reduce the quality of life in Spokane and other communities with no compensation for them.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike & Jody Wende
725 N Homestead Dr
Liberty Lake, WA 99019-7508
(509) 255-6789

From: Nancy King <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy King
3003 S Perry St Apt 50
Spokane, WA 99203-3986
(509) 535-2096

From: Elizabeth Lengel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Elizabeth Lengel
12901 S Wildwood Ln
Anacortes, WA 98221-8783
(111) 111-1111

From: Richard Emerson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Emerson
34030 51st Ave S
Auburn, WA 98001-9763
(253) 737-4013

From: Ronald Hawk <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Hawk
PO Box 33207
Seattle, WA 98133-0207
(206) 225-5258

From: Sherri Ford <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sherri Ford
20323 81st Ave W
Edmonds, WA 98026-6713
(425) 672-3657

From: carlo voli <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. carlo voli
9605 239th St SW
Edmonds, WA 98020-5650

From: "Bill Ostrander, Jr." <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Ostrander, Jr.
1117 37th St
Bellingham, WA 98229-3131

From: Karen Caspers-Curl <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Karen Caspers-Curl
PO Box 1
Naselle, WA 98638-0001

From: Kristi Busch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:10 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristi Busch
PO Box 65674
Tacoma, WA 98464-1674

From: Vanessa Pizarro <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:10 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vanessa Pizarro
3618 Evanston Ave N Apt 10
Seattle, WA 98103-8580

From: Barb Cameron <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 12:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Barb Cameron
upland drive
Anacortes, WA 98221
(360) 420-5891

From: Christopher Butler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Butler
1065 E Prospect St
Seattle, WA 98102-4301

From: Marilyn Darilek <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling and our planet is suffering from continued burning of fossil fuels. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine. I don't want coal or explosive gas trains barreling through Spokane over our aquifer, our downtown, and along our rivers.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marilyn Darilek
1814 W Briarcliff Ln
Spokane, WA 99208-8983
(509) 328-7750

From: David Raphael <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Raphael
103 1st Str, Ste 16
Yelm, WA 98597

From: Kevin Darcy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Darcy
3140 Adams Ave Apt C304
Bellingham, WA 98225-6577
(360) 920-9017

From: Timothy Dalton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:12 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Timothy Dalton
PO Box 57
Rosburg, WA 98643-0057
(360) 464-0444

From: Lori Erbs <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:29 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lori Erbs
PO Box 50
Acme, WA 98220-0050
(360) 595-0481

From: Peter Dachs <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:31 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Dachs
10521 41st Pl NE
Seattle, WA 98125-7919
(206) 417-5135

From: Dan Von Seggern <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:31 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Dan Von Seggern
116 N 76th St
Seattle, WA 98103-4604
(206) 947-2096

From: Greg Black <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:31 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Greg Black
1523 Langridge Ave NW
Olympia, WA 98502-4643
(360) 352-3046

From: Gene Shaw <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:31 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gene Shaw
2300 Deer Pointe Dr
Clarkston, WA 99403-5001

From: Ken Kuzma <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:31 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Kuzma
5628 Horizon Ct
Longview, WA 98632-9819
(360) 232-8440

From: Gregory Spatz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:31 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregory Spatz
2020 S Post St
Spokane, WA 99203-2049
(509) 456-5305

From: Darlene Townsend <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:31 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Dr. Darlene Townsend
2803 E 11th Ave
Spokane, WA 99202-4306
(000) 000-0000

From: P Roberts <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:31 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. P Roberts
11309 Alton Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-5801
(206) 362-2903

From: Marilyn Dickey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:31 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marilyn Dickey
13911 13th Dr SE Unit L
Mill Creek, WA 98012-5568
(425) 337-6011

From: Bob Farrell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:31 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Farrell
6307 California Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98136-1892
(206) 933-1880

From: Catherine Norton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:31 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Catherine Norton
4402 226th Pl NE
Arlington, WA 98223-7603
(360) 435-1575

From: Noelle Royer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:31 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Noelle Royer
3701 S Hudson St Apt 218
Seattle, WA 98118-2162
(310) 729-6627

From: Darien Savoie <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:32 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Mr. Darien Savoie
4468 Eastway Dr SE
Port Orchard, WA 98366-8804

From: Carol Burwell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Burwell
PO Box 98
Port Hadlock, WA 98339-0098
(360) 301-9201

From: Ray Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ray Anderson
1747 Bungalow Way NE
Poulsbo, WA 98370-6414
(360) 930-8577

From: Nancy and John Garing <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

We oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets but it's time to get off the coal standard; burning coal leads to serious environmental damage.

Also the draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

This project is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nancy and John Garing
26291 Pa Ave NE Apt 202
Kingston, WA 98346-7680
(360) 638-2780

From: Christen Bailey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 01:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christen Bailey
3318 Lilly Rd NE # A
Olympia, WA 98506-3063

From: David J Piwonski <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 02:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David J Piwonski
905 3rd Ave E Apt 4
Kalispell, MT 59901-5477

From: Margie Esola <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 02:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margie Esola
7202 56th Avenue Ct NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-7404
(206) 353-8306

From: Holly Randall <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 02:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Sorry, this railroad makes no sense whatsoever! I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Holly Randall
PO Box 1497
Kingston, WA 98346-1497
(505) 424-0722

From: Terri Chambers <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 02:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Terri Chambers
692 Country Ln
Newport, WA 99156-9658
(509) 447-5549

From: Lisa Kelsey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 02:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Kelsey
10746 Tracie Ct SW
Olympia, WA 98512-9386
(360) 943-3822

From: Richard Kenney <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 02:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Kenney
PO Box 885
Kalama, WA 98625-0800
(360) 355-4735

From: Leonard Knoll <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 02:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Leonard Knoll
805 9th St
Benton City, WA 99320-9729
(509) 497-1112

From: Hal Enerson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 03:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Hal Enerson
PO Box 1375
Port Angeles, WA 98362-0255

From: Mollie Marie Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 03:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mollie Marie Smith
1046 Coal Creek Rd
Chehalis, WA 98532-9536
(360) 252-0420

From: Larry Mahlis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 03:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Mahlis
9611 12th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-2205
(206) 522-6012

From: Mollie Marie Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 03:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mollie Marie Smith
1046 Coal Creek Rd
Chehalis, WA 98532-9536
(360) 252-0420

From: Laura Ramon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 03:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Laura Ramon
24126 SE 261st Pl
Maple Valley, WA 98038-8371
(425) 413-4832

From: Celia Kerr <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 03:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Celia Kerr
8910 225th Pl SW
Edmonds, WA 98026-8264
(425) 712-0827

From: Susan Doederlein <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 04:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Susan Doederlein
4146 Cascadia Ave S
Seattle, WA 98118-1331
(206) 697-0364

From: Glenn Carnahan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 04:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glenn Carnahan
1812 12th Ave Apt B301
Seattle, WA 98122-8430

From: Tore Slette <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 04:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tore Slette
4264 Squalicum Lake Rd
Bellingham, WA 98226-7848
(333) 333-3333

From: Steven Davies <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 04:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Davies
811 Woody St
Missoula, MT 59802-3631

From: Y Pena <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 04:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Y Pena
PO Box 482
Whitefish, MT 59937-0482

From: Lawrence Greenberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 04:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lawrence Greenberg
2708 Xanadu Ln
Langley, WA 98260-9213
(503) 260-8362

From: Felicity Devlin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 04:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Felicity Devlin
2417 N Washington St
Tacoma, WA 98406-5839
(503) 221-3042

From: Nate Marino <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 05:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nate Marino
2878 Lazer Ln
Bellingham, WA 98226-1722
(360) 756-6495

From: Debbie Mccoy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 05:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debbie Mccoy
1226 Rucker Ave
Everett, WA 98201-1519
(425) 252-7545

From: George Thornton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 05:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Thornton
79 Overland Rd
Oroville, WA 98844-9329
(509) 557-5738

From: Norman Baker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 05:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Norman Baker
3789 Lost Mountain Rd
Sequim, WA 98382-7924
(360) 683-8046

From: Matt B <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 05:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Matt B
810 Irving St
Bellingham, WA 98225-3660
(360) 746-1684

From: Mara Williams <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 05:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mara Williams
6023 Mink St NW
Olympia, WA 98502-9542
(206) 713-7429

From: Heather Carawan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 06:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heather Carawan
7901 Golden Given Rd E
Tacoma, WA 98404-1723
(253) 536-7899

From: Joe Suligou <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 06:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joe Suligou
5600 Se Somerset Ct
Port Orchard, WA 98366-8610

From: Susan Watterson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 06:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Susan Watterson
919 Jet Dr
Glasgow, MT 59230-1527
(406) 228-2359

From: Linda Gleason <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 06:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Gleason
1137 W 10th St
Port Angeles, WA 98363-5627
(360) 457-8181

From: Eric Strid <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 06:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Strid
PO Box 2028
White Salmon, WA 98672-2028
(503) 332-7507

From: Barbara Wooley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 06:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Wooley
621 S California St
Dillon, MT 59725-2827
(406) 683-6365

From: Christian Cutshaw <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 06:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christian Cutshaw
309 Greenway Ct
Bozeman, MT 59718-1837
(406) 579-1808

From: Barbara Rosenkotter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 07:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Rosenkotter
201 Crest Drive
Deer Harbor, WA 98243-0136
(360) 370-7676

From: Michael Zeigler II <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 07:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Zeigler II
PO Box 65715
Tacoma, WA 98464-1715
(253) 503-6886

From: Sharon Pederslie <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 07:12 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Pederslie
525 14th Ave E Apt 1004
Seattle, WA 98112-4559
(206) 718-5549

From: Suzanne Stoeckel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 07:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Suzanne Stoeckel
6223 Cady Rd
Everett, WA 98203-4584
(425) 419-8907

From: Brian Baltin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 07:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Baltin
500 13th Ave E Apt 107
Seattle, WA 98102-6201
(206) 328-5667

From: James Bradford <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 07:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Bradford
7318 E Manchester Ct
Port Orchard, WA 98366-7145

From: Kathie Daviau <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 07:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathie Daviau
216 Lexington Dr
Billings, MT 59102-5739
(406) 652-9902

From: Michele Sammeth <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 07:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michele Sammeth
520 Mt Logan Dr SW
Issaquah, WA 98027-4022
(206) 784-3044

From: Jennifer Beetem <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 08:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Jennifer Beetem
915 E Harrison St Apt 110
Seattle, WA 98102-5446
(206) 719-2700

From: Diane Weyer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 08:12 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Weyer
12015 Marine Dr # 331
Tulalip, WA 98271-9306
(360) 982-9360

From: Amy Breakwell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 08:12 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Amy Breakwell
835 Steele St NE # A
Olympia, WA 98506-4873

From: Kathryn Carter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 09:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathryn Carter
139 NE 147th St
Shoreline, WA 98155-6835
(206) 364-4946

From: Diane Falk <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 09:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Falk
PO Box 1163
Everett, WA 98206-1163
(425) 308-0304

From: Julie Cwinar <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 09:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Cwinar
10233 Valmay Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98177-5330
(206) 782-0568

From: Gerald And Phyllis Patterson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 09:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Gerald And Phyllis Patterson
4208 Glasgow Way
Anacortes, WA 98221-1111
(360) 299-8832

From: D Dodge <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 10:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Having personally visited this area back in the 70ies, we should preserve the old ranching culture. This RR will bisect many ranches making them uneconomical. I did meet a rancher on the Powder River in that time frame. He had to sell his ranch in Colorado after it was bisected by a Highway as well as a military road. This is the part of the US that was his choice to ranch without external threats. Don

Sincerely,

Mr. D Dodge
2003 Raymond Ave
Missoula, MT 59802-3514

From: Barbara Morkill <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Morkill
12411 N Hope Ln
Spokane, WA 99208-9204
(509) 466-1244

From: Peter Guerrero <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:12 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Guerrero
816 O St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-4024
(510) 421-1071

From: Heidi Mcbride Fritts <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Heidi Mcbride Fritts
1125 B Ave S
Edmonds, WA 98020-6614
(425) 771-3463

From: Lloyd & Elizabeth Taylor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/31/2015 11:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lloyd & Elizabeth Taylor
721 N 138th St
Seattle, WA 98133-7424
(206) 349-1856

From: Delwin Fandrich <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:11 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Delwin Fandrich
6939 Foothill Ct SW
Olympia, WA 98512-2016
(360) 515-0119

From: Barb Andersen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:11 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barb Andersen
7418 5th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-5329

From: Karen Fisher <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:41 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Karen Fisher
2575 Mountain View Rd
Ferndale, WA 98248-9650

From: Morris Williams <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Morris Williams
3526 NE 92nd St
Seattle, WA 98115-3662
(206) 524-7321

From: Anthony Bencivengo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members like me who live down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Communities such as mine would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony Bencivengo
12516 37th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-4655
(206) 363-6347

From: Diana Covington <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Diana Covington
719 S Orchard St
Tacoma, WA 98465-2526
(253) 759-4547

From: Bob And Carolyn Burreson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob And Carolyn Burreson
3048 Fishtrap Loop NE
Olympia, WA 98506-9610
(360) 705-2055

From: Janine Miller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janine Miller
3637 NE 120th St
Seattle, WA 98125-5749

From: Cheryl Bowen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheryl Bowen
9561 Mountain Beaver Rd SW
Port Orchard, WA 98367-9482
(360) 769-5409

From: Ina O'Donnell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 01:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ina O'Donnell
2529 Michigan St
Bellingham, WA 98226-4040
(360) 738-3713

From: Gina Massoni <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 01:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gina Massoni
4734 38th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105-3019

From: Alice Tobias <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 01:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Alice Tobias
3616 NE 42nd St
Seattle, WA 98105-5615
(651) 644-8063

From: Cheryl McDaniel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 02:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cheryl McDaniel
717 E 32nd Ave
Spokane, WA 99203-3111
(509) 294-1574

From: Petra Rathbun <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 02:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Petra Rathbun
3421 Grandview St
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-1208

From: Bill Burke <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 02:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Burke
1807 W 6th Ave Apt 3
Spokane, WA 99204-3523
(509) 624-1844

From: Jean Teach <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 03:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jean Teach
3708 E Evergreen Blvd
Vancouver, WA 98661-5417

From: Dianne Schmitt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 03:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dianne Schmitt
2400 Donovan Ave Trlr 17
Bellingham, WA 98225-7653
(360) 752-3302

From: Spencer Swansen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 04:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Spencer Swansen
808 W Emerson St
Seattle, WA 98119-1417

From: Shari Sutherland <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 08:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Shari Sutherland
505 Iowa St
Belgrade, MT 59714-4118

From: Jill Quick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 09:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jill Quick
752 NE Brookcliff Ln
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3965
(206) 201-3691

From: Shari Bruun <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 09:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shari Bruun
631 NE Garfield St
Camas, WA 98607-2147

From: Patricia Coffey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 10:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Patricia Coffey
2253 Woodbine Rd
Langley, WA 98260-8222

From: Kathleen Stone <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 10:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Stone
5625 Airport Way S
Seattle, WA 98108-2763

From: Nate Marino <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 10:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nate Marino
2878 Lazer Ln
Bellingham, WA 98226-1722
(360) 756-6495

From: Jalaine Spencer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 10:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jalaine Spencer
PO Box 4492
Bozeman, MT 59772-4492
(406) 587-4296

From: Todd Tanner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 10:13 AM
Subject: No Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."

Sincerely,

Mr. Todd Tanner
178 Wolf Creek Ranch Dr
Bigfork, MT 59911-6407
(406) 837-6261

From: Evita Krislock <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 10:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Evita Krislock
14711 E 15th Ave
Spokane Valley, WA 99037-9637
(509) 922-0180

From: Bob Riek <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 10:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

We should not be investing in more fossil fuel and the damage that it does to the environment. Please do not approve Arch's coal strip mine.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Riek
297 Beacon Rd
Bellingham, WA 98229-8906

From: David and Geri Turnoy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 10:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David and Geri Turnoy
PO Box 95
Eastsound, WA 98245-0095
(360) 376-4165

From: Patrick Kelly <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 10:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Patrick Kelly
519 E Front St
Apt 6
Missoula, MT 59802-4731
(505) 550-6390

From: Susan Dawson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 10:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

China is moving away from coal and it may soon be an failed investment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Dawson
17855 W Spring Lake Dr SE
Renton, WA 98058-0612
(425) 432-3879

From: Jeff Freels <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 10:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Freels
1714 Evergreen Park Ct SW
Olympia, WA 98502-5875

From: Alice Royer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 10:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alice Royer
508 NW 43rd St
Seattle, WA 98107-5010

From: Vanassa Lundheim <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 10:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Vanassa Lundheim
5304 Beverly Ln
Everett, WA 98203-3144
(425) 290-9820

From: Denise Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 11:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise Brown
7989 NE Walden Ln
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-2614
(206) 780-0931

From: Peter Holcomb <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 11:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Holcomb
2332 E Hemmi Rd
Bellingham, WA 98226-9536
(360) 966-0176

From: Elizabeth Hartsoch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 11:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Elizabeth Hartsoch
2323 Lynn St
Bellingham, WA 98225-2129

From: James Pahre <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 11:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Pahre
12977 Cottonwood Rd
Bozeman, MT 59718-8976
(406) 585-8208

From: Larry Goehner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 11:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Larry Goehner
PO Box 479
Columbus, MT 59019-0479
(406) 322-4394

From: Terrance Ryan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 11:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Terrance Ryan
1020 Carl Johnson Rd
Quilcene, WA 98376-9702
(360) 765-0792

From: Lindsay Ward <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lindsay Ward
5630 200th St SW
Lynnwood, WA 98036-6200

From: Kay Luzik <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kay Luzik
652 SW Oak Rd
Port Orchard, WA 98367-8115
(253) 857-3144

From: Brian Grad <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Grad
245 Jake Way
Sequim, WA 98382-6970
(360) 620-4036

From: Sean Mcandrews <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sean Mcandrews
605 E 4th St
Laurel, MT 59044-2705
(406) 366-5569

From: Robin Hirsch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Hirsch
PO Box 193
52
Orcas, WA 98280-0193
(206) 245-7353

From: Joan Fraik <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 12:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joan Fraik
637 Willa Ln NE
Bainbridge Is, WA 98110-3901
(206) 535-8491

From: Pamela Hall <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 03:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Hall
PO Box 182
Joliet, MT 59041-0182
(406) 598-2394

From: Kathrine Ziebell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 03:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathrine Ziebell
1051 Thomas St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-2349

From: T J Thompson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 03:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. T J Thompson
PO Box 1178
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-3178

From: Kayla Lester <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 03:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Kayla Lester
3621 Becky Pl
Mount Vernon, WA 98274-8804

From: Bart Rayniak <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 03:44 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bart Rayniak
PO Box 783
Otis Orchards, WA 99027-0783
(509) 951-8857

From: Jennifer Lord <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/01/2015 03:45 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Lord
976 Lummi Drive Fi
Fox Island, WA 98333-9619

From: Joan Bykonen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joan Bykonen
3871 Stikes Dr SE
Lacey, WA 98503-8208

From: "Meryle A. Korn" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Meryle A. Korn
2821 Huron St
Bellingham, WA 98226-4112
(360) 306-5146

From: William Schultz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Schultz
339 4th St W
Whitefish, MT 59937-3028
(406) 210-1763

From: Richard Francisco <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:25 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Francisco
159 Rubley Rd
Greenbank, WA 98253-6222
(360) 678-8877

From: Bethany Lynn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:25 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bethany Lynn
PO Box 501
Concrete, WA 98237-0501

From: Diane Reid <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:25 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Reid
14816 S Goss Rd
Cheney, WA 99004-8550
(509) 209-9151

From: Hanna Petros <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:25 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Hanna Petros
3524 NE 96th St
Seattle, WA 98115-2540

From: Terry Kelly <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:25 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Terry Kelly
2236 Massachusetts Ave
Butte, MT 59701-6043
(406) 490-9736

From: Anna Berentson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:25 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anna Berentson
5116 Ravenna Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105-4119

From: Diane Coghlan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:25 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Diane Coghlan
10607 Cornell Ave S
Seattle, WA 98178-2633
(253) 277-3148

From: Anold Lane <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:27 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anold Lane
4812 106th St NE
Marysville, WA 98270-6300
(206) 658-3959

From: Robert Holtzman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:27 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Holtzman
1018 13th St Apt 35
Snohomish, WA 98290-2053

From: Marci Dawkins Stutsman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:28 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marci Dawkins Stutsman
1275 Carroll Rd
Kelso, WA 98626-9468

From: Forrest O'Reilly <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:28 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Forrest O'Reilly
3702 228th Pl SW
Brier, WA 98036-8253

From: Pamela Borso <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:28 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Borso
PO Box 154
Custer, WA 98240-0154
(360) 319-9004

From: Krishna Mogallapu <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:28 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Krishna Mogallapu
311 Cedar St Apt 1701
Seattle, WA 98121-1588

From: Lisa Thomas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:28 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lisa Thomas
14137 235rd PL SE
Issaquah, WA 98027

From: John Edison <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:28 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Edison
563 Waynes Ridge Cir
Camano Island, WA 98282-7341
(360) 387-7024

From: Darcia Hurst <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:29 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Darcia Hurst
31020 403rd Dr NE
Arlington, WA 98223-5285

From: Timothy Manns <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:29 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I live in a town already being impacted by unit coal trains every day, and I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Timothy Manns
1220 S 11th St
Mount Vernon, WA 98274-5002

From: Erin Libby <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:30 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Erin Libby
PO Box 4473
Bellingham, WA 98227-4473

From: Michael Parks <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:30 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Parks
8903 384th Ave SE
Snoqualmie, WA 98065-9644

From: Linda Kolakosky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:31 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Kolakosky
70 Starry Rd
Sequim, WA 98382-8898
(425) 882-9906

From: Elizabeth Odden <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:33 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Odden
2412 Coal Creek Rd
Longview, WA 98632-9687
(360) 423-4782

From: Teri Dobson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:33 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Teri Dobson
PO Box 1375
Port Angeles, WA 98362-0255
(360) 457-3605

From: Crystal Gartner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:34 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Crystal Gartner
1015 N 42nd St
Seattle, WA 98103-7403
(509) 850-7332

From: Nan McMurry <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:34 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nan McMurry
3401 W Government Way Apt 304
Seattle, WA 98199-1374
(206) 283-6366

From: Elizabeth Waldorf <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:34 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Elizabeth Waldorf
3840 NW Lincoln Ave
Corvallis, OR 97330-2360

From: Charles Beleny <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Charles Beleny
112Horse Show Lane
Dayton, WA 99328
(360) 556-6091

From: Melissa Coolick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Melissa Coolick
5818 S Sheridan Ave
Tacoma, WA 98408-2304

From: Annapoorne Colangelo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Annapoorne Colangelo
7651 Scatchet Head Rd
Clinton, WA 98236-9768

From: Nancy Vandenberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nancy Vandenberg
5021 134th Pl SE
Snohomish, WA 98296-5214
(425) 337-9210

From: Ashley Powell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ashley Powell
2003 26th Avenue Ct SW
Puyallup, WA 98373-1391
(253) 681-8817

From: ALLEN tourangeau <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:37 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. ALLEN tourangeau
1006 Judd Creek Holw
Hamilton, MT 59840-8937

From: ALLEN tourangeau <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:37 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. ALLEN tourangeau
1006 Judd Creek Holw
Hamilton, MT 59840-8937

From: Erin Nelson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Erin Nelson
2628 E Union St
Seattle, WA 98122-3162

From: Daniel Gilman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Gilman
4107 Wallingford Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-8220
(206) 547-2316

From: Jeanette Copeland <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jeanette Copeland
1832 Montana St
Missoula, MT 59801-1404

From: Manasa Rao <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Manasa Rao
1
Bangalore, WA 98101-2214

From: Dan Wilkinson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:40 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Wilkinson
21219 129th Street Ct E
Sumner, WA 98391-7418

From: David Hawkins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:41 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Hawkins
1126 Olympic Ave
Bremerton, WA 98312-3821

From: Sandra Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:41 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sandra Smith
329 17th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-5706

From: Genie Alabidi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:41 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Genie Alabidi
3131 S Cook St
Spokane, WA 99223-3508
(714) 927-8140

From: Mike O'Connell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike O'Connell
PO Box 6368
Bozeman, MT 59771-6368

From: Randall Fletcher <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randall Fletcher
360 W Ewing St
Seattle, WA 98119-1507

From: Ethel Mac Donald <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ethel Mac Donald
316 W Central Ave
Missoula, MT 59801-6816
(406) 549-9722

From: Lawrence Magliola <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lawrence Magliola
108 Hogans Vis
Sequim, WA 98382-9310
(360) 504-2645

From: Judith Fisher <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Fisher
9051 Sunset Ln NW
Seabeck, WA 98380-9531
(360) 830-5332

From: Vincent Feliciano <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Vincent Feliciano
2751 S Red River Rd
Ferndale, WA 98248-8977

From: Kathryn Schetzer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathryn Schetzer
1134 Finnegan Way Apt 305
Bellingham, WA 98225-6653
(360) 733-2764

From: Brett Aniballi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brett Aniballi
56 S Discovery Rd
Port Townsend, WA 98368-8156
(360) 379-3285

From: Keith Parker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Keith Parker
3095 Duncan Dr
Missoula, MT 59802-3273

From: Leslie Fleming <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leslie Fleming
S 170th St
Seatac, WA 98188-3256
(206) 300-7459

From: Robert Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Times have changed it would be in your best interest to view the world with a positive environmental attitude.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Smith
10714 2nd Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98177-4808
(206) 504-3211

From: Rayanne Francis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rayanne Francis
4506 26th Ave SE
Lacey, WA 98503-3218
(360) 491-8559

From: Margret Milici <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margret Milici
2817 Utter St
Bellingham, WA 98225-2307

From: Trina Sherwood <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Trina Sherwood
1281 N Brownstown Rd
Wapato, WA 98951-9140
(509) 480-2109

From: Constance Rodman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Constance Rodman
1920 1st Ave Apt 507
Seattle, WA 98101-1002
(206) 271-9057

From: Alicia Lee <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:45 AM
Subject: Stop the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling.

Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alicia Lee
2126 Rimrock Rd
Billings, MT 59102-0646
(406) 672-7212

From: Vanessa Ruelas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:46 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vanessa Ruelas
8408 S 115th St
Seattle, WA 98178-3925

From: Valerie Davies <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:46 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Valerie Davies
316 Chuckanut Point Rd
Bellingham, WA 98229-8926
(360) 734-2282

From: Rose Vawter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:46 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rose Vawter
8060 83rd Ave SW
Lakewood, WA 98498-6060

From: Kimberly Rigano <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kimberly Rigano
1528 NW Turner Dr
Pullman, WA 99163-3734
(207) 361-4588

From: D'Arlyn Wilde <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss D'Arlyn Wilde
5426 Fauntleroy Way SW
Seattle, WA 98136-1517

From: Andrew Brinkhaus <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Andrew Brinkhaus
20732 NE Inglewood Hill Rd
Sammamish, WA 98074-6606
(425) 401-9725

From: Kris Mcclain <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kris Mcclain
4705 Yorkshire Dr SE
Olympia, WA 98513-4864

From: Kristine Mackenzie <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristine Mackenzie
81 Clancy Creek Road
Clancy, MT 59634

From: Amanda Grondin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amanda Grondin
1450 30th St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-4834
(360) 385-3093

From: Colin O'Neill <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:50 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Colin O'Neill
6306 Ravenna Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-7028
(206) 284-0663

From: Lorie Kaline <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lorie Kaline
5112 Danford Dr
Billings, MT 59106-3832

From: Beth Dannhardt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beth Dannhardt
851 Cutler Way
Zillah, WA 98953-9127
(509) 865-6860

From: Margaret Thomas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:57 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Thomas
1030 Bonnie Brae St
Walla Walla, WA 99362-1331
(509) 529-4314

From: Heather Baughman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 02:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heather Baughman
4955 Patterson Rd
Bozeman, MT 59718-7801
(406) 570-3878

From: Roy Clumpner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Roy Clumpner
4126 Stuart Cir
Ferndale, WA 98248-9001
(360) 384-2824

From: Laurie Guzoto <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laurie Guzoto
2417 Lincoln Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501-2663
(360) 352-4804

From: Amy Mower <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 01:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amy Mower
PO Box 2004
Maple Falls, WA 98266-2004

From: Patricia Brayton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 02:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Brayton
PO Box 1306
Port Townsend, WA 98368-0014
(360) 385-5908

From: Patricia Brayton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 02:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Brayton
PO Box 1306
Port Townsend, WA 98368-0014
(360) 385-5908

From: Carol Sword <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 03:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Sword
2701 Landes St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-7119
(360) 821-1415

From: Lydell Gorski <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 05:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lydell Gorski
1830 E 13th Ave
Spokane, WA 99202-3544
(509) 216-9553

From: David Sokal <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 05:38 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Sokal
346 22nd Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-5326
(206) 325-6021

From: Tom Borst <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 06:35 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Borst
60857 State Route 20
Marblemount, WA 98267-9744
(360) 927-3573

From: Steven Woolpert <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 06:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Woolpert
PO Box 3
Lyle, WA 98635-0001
(509) 365-2318

From: Tara Luna <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 06:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tara Luna
PO Box 447
East Glacier Park, MT 59434-0447

From: Fabiola Vasquez <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 06:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Fabiola Vasquez
525 14th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-4554

From: Amanda Sue Rudisill <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 07:28 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Amanda Sue Rudisill
PO Box 13198
Olympia, WA 98508-3198

From: Kristin Barber <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 08:32 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristin Barber
2306 Henry St
Bellingham, WA 98225-2209
(360) 734-0362

From: Julieann Palumbo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 11:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Julieann Palumbo
5212 California Ave SW Apt 12
Seattle, WA 98136-1239

From: Alice Steijn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/03/2015 11:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Alice Steijn
973 Quartz Dr SW
North Bend, WA 98045-9133

From: Mary Mcdermott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/04/2015 12:01 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Mcdermott
996 Kincaid Rd
Williams, OR 97544-9609

From: Anna L <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/04/2015 02:41 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 4, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anna L
Stone Ave N
Shoreline, WA 98133

From: Sheri Feld <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/04/2015 02:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 4, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheri Feld
9537 Evanston Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-3131

From: Anastacia Crowe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/04/2015 02:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 4, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anastacia Crowe
207 E Sussex Ave
Missoula, MT 59801-6901

From: Claudia Narcisco <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/04/2015 02:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 4, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust. In towns and cities, lower income people living in neighborhoods along the tracks will be most impacted by the increase in coal train traffic, raising environmental justice issues.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Claudia Narcisco
5607 Gharrett Ave
Missoula, MT 59803-3009
(406) 531-3673

From: Tammy Domike <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/04/2015 02:47 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 4, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tammy Domike
823 Bluff Ave
Hoquiam, WA 98550-1422
(360) 342-6543

From: Janet Allison <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/04/2015 03:53 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 4, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Janet Allison
1708 Woods Gulch Rd
Missoula, MT 59802-5335
(406) 543-8415

From: Joann C And George Burke <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/04/2015 01:24 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 4, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joann C And George Burke
1300 Crescent St
Raymond, WA 98577-1001
(360) 875-8094

From: Al Werner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/04/2015 01:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 4, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Al Werner
117 E Louisa St # 274
Seattle, WA 98102-3203

From: Julie Ellison <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/04/2015 06:56 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 4, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Ellison
1423 Dickinson St
Missoula, MT 59802-3409
(505) 325-5392

From: Barbara O'Steen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/04/2015 09:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 4, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara O'Steen
4364 SW Cloverdale St
Seattle, WA 98136-2406
(206) 933-6602

From: Marilyn Overton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/04/2015 11:55 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 4, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marilyn Overton
242 4th Ave S Apt 3
Edmonds, WA 98020-3541
(425) 673-0900

From: Amy McKay <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/05/2015 04:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 5, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Amy McKay
1806 Main St
Lynden, WA 98264-9763
(360) 220-0527

From: Gail and John Richardson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/05/2015 09:55 AM
Subject: No to the destructive Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 5, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

As conservationists and Montanans we oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative and environmentally destructive railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines, including through our community. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. There is no doubt that this is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you for listening.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gail and John Richardson
5263 Cimmeron Dr
Bozeman, MT 59715-8756

From: Test Test <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 05/28/2015 09:02 PM
Subject: Mr. Ken Blodgett, we can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

May 28, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Enter an optional additional message here.

Sincerely,

Ms. Test Test
815 54th St.
Bozeman, MT 59717

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Andrew Martin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:14 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I strongly oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Andrew Martin
15028 245th Ave SE
Issaquah, WA 98027-7355

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Barb Scavezze <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:14 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barb Scavezze
Amhurst
Olympia, WA 98501

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Daniel Brant <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:43 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Brant
310 Willow St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-6417
(360) 385-4881

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Diane Williams <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:43 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Diane Williams
3880 Stikes Dr SE
Lacey, WA 98503-8207
(360) 491-4865

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dianna MacLeod <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:14 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dianna MacLeod
720 Edgecliff Dr
Langley, WA 98260-9600

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of dody h <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:43 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. dody h
PO Box 1201
Jacksonville, OR 97530-1201

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dorothy Baker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:14 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Dorothy Baker
Red Barn Rd
Great Falls, MT 59404
(406) 248-9832

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Franklin Eventoff <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:43 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Franklin Eventoff
16120 Park Pl
Bow, WA 98232-8530

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jamie Donaldson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 2:13 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject:Just say NO to the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jamie Donaldson
1133 13th St Apt A
Bellingham, WA 98225-6620
(360) 306-8777

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Judy & Wayne Avery <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:14 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Judy & Wayne Avery
24319 N Lords Ln
Chattaroy, WA 99003-9732
(509) 238-6665

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Judy & Wayne Avery <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:14 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Judy & Wayne Avery
24319 N Lords Ln
Chattaroy, WA 99003-9732
(509) 238-6665

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lance Powell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 12:46 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lance Powell
10115 Holly Dr
Everett, WA 98204-8717

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Marsha StLouis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 2:44 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marsha StLouis
22522 113th Pl SE
Kent, WA 98031-2650
(206) 407-7171

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of mary belshaw <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 12:46 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. mary belshaw
2111 Rucker Ave
Everett, WA 98201-2719
(425) 258-1527

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Melinda Howard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 2:44 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Melinda Howard
340 Richard Rd
Oak Harbor, WA 98277-9612
(360) 720-2853

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Norm Conrad <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:13 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Norm Conrad
919 N 97th St
Seattle, WA 98103-3209
(206) 523-4735

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Peter Criss <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:43 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Criss
PO Box 258
Vashon, WA 98070-0258
(206) 567-4419

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Randy Ackerman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 2:14 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randy Ackerman
12714 youdontneedmaddress Dr SW
Lakewood, WA 98498

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robin Everett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:43 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Everett
3535 27th Pl W Apt 213
Seattle, WA 98199-2108
(206) 713-5474

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Shari Curtright <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 2:44 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shari Curtright
PO Box 396
Moclips, WA 98562-0396
(360) 276-4347

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Shelby Kuenning <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:43 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Shelby Kuenning
3621 2nd Ave N
Great Falls, MT 59401-3007
(406) 727-4741

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Susan Ehler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 1:44 PM
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Jun 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan Ehler
2310 Baron Pl
Anacortes, WA 98221-3104
(360) 299-4323

From: Susan Andrews <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/05/2015 06:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 5, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Andrews
2643 Queets Ave
Hoquiam, WA 98550-2920

From: O'Neill Louchard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/05/2015 10:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 5, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. O'Neill Louchard
PO Box 1628
Port Townsend, WA 98368-0119
(555) 111-1111

From: Steve Gunderson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/06/2015 12:57 AM
Subject: Expidite the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 5, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I strongly support construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take immediate action to expedite construction." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling and need all the help they can get. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial dire straits, so need our help to bring this project to fruition. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river by environmentalist groups such as the Sierra Club.

The draft EIS correctly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for Otter Creek coal, and correctly assumes that there will be minimal train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could relieve 18-26 additional coal trains per day currently traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would receive greatly enhanced economic value.
The beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company in addition to the public at large. This is most definitely in the public interest. Please choose "immediate affirmative action" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Gunderson
30583 US Highway 2
Libby, MT 59923-3113

From: Bryan Olson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/06/2015 03:57 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 6, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bryan Olson
10710 N Klamath Ct
Spokane, WA 99208-9068
(509) 551-5180

From: Cherie Olson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/06/2015 10:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 6, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cherie Olson
10710 N Klamath Ct
Spokane, WA 99208-9068
(509) 499-1902

From: Doug Morehouse <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/07/2015 01:32 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 7, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Doug Morehouse
437 Bayou Rd
Kalispell, MT 59901-6570
(406) 752-0825

From: Robert Meyer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/07/2015 03:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 7, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Meyer
21 W Lee St Apt 106
Seattle, WA 98119-3382
(206) 284-2871

From: Sandra Fuller Wilson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/07/2015 08:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 7, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Sandra Fuller Wilson
PO Box 2209
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-2209
(360) 378-6732

From: Marianne Carlin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/07/2015 11:33 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 7, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marianne Carlin
21506 55th Ave SE
Woodinville, WA 98072-8306

From: Rick Flegel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/08/2015 02:04 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 8, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rick Flegel
PO Box 63
Lilliwaup, WA 98555-0063

From: Jay Donnaway <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/08/2015 03:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 8, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jay Donnaway
24303 84th Avenue Ct E
Graham, WA 98338-9391
(253) 847-7266

From: Ann King <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/08/2015 04:07 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 8, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann King
PO Box 156
22896 Hwy. 212
Busby, MT 59016-0156
(406) 592-3529

From: Alona Steinke <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/09/2015 06:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 9, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Alona Steinke
PO Box 822393
Vancouver, WA 98682-0052

From: stacy Maddigan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/09/2015 07:44 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 9, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. stacy Maddigan
1612 E 49th Ave
Spokane, WA 99223-6509

From: Mary Giddings <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/09/2015 09:09 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 9, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Giddings
555 6666
Potlatch, ID 83855

From: Shauna Rumsey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/10/2015 01:11 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 10, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest not is it sustainable for the long term. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shauna Rumsey
507 Edison St SE
Olympia, WA 98501-1822
(206) 518-0936

From: Alice Bucknam <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/10/2015 05:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 10, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Alice Bucknam
1634 Highwood Rd
Great Falls, MT 59405-8040
(406) 277-3224

From: Bronwen Evans <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/10/2015 06:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 10, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bronwen Evans
210130 E 15th Ave
Vancouver, WA 981018214
(604) 874-2523

From: das smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/10/2015 10:42 PM
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 10, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. das smith
PO Box 12
Trinidad, CA 95570-0012

From: Trina Sherwood <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/11/2015 12:44 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 11, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Trina Sherwood
PO Box 1
Brownstown, WA 98920-0001
(509) 480-2109

From: Terry Virgona <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/11/2015 05:44 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 11, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Terry Virgona
1 Broadway Unit 418
Tacoma, WA 98402-4118
(253) 310-6701

From: Phoebe Toland <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/12/2015 10:09 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 12, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Phoebe Toland
PO Box 914
Vaughn, WA 98394-0914

From: Felicia Dale <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/12/2015 03:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 12, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Felicia Dale
1920 66th Pl NE
Tulalip, WA 98271-9135
(206) 295-9388

From: Carrie West <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/13/2015 10:29 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 13, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carrie West
PO Box 10359
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-0359

From: laurinda lanza <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/14/2015 12:06 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 13, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. laurinda lanza
432 Seed Path NW
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-5507

From: Keith Milligan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/14/2015 11:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 14, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Milligan
PO Box 1124
Veradale, WA 99037-1124
(208) 818-1803

From: Hugh Zackheim <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/15/2015 12:55 AM
Subject: No on Tongue River RR
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 14, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

The Tongue River Railroad should not be permitted. The project will destroy the livelihoods of Americans whose families have worked the land for over 100 years, paid taxes on their property, and supported their communities. These American do not deserve to suffer the theft and destruction of their land and family heritage. Further, the project will destroy watersheds and wildlife habitat, and pollute the land and water. There is no coal mine/railroad complex in the world that has not had such adverse effects.

The project will essentially trash and land and the lives of rural Montana residents to pad the pocketbooks of speculators and snake-oil salesmen. There are absolutely no long-term markets for this coal, and no established short-term markets.

This project is not in the public interest. Please do you job in a fair manner, and choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad. It should not be constructed.

Sincerely,

Mr. Hugh Zackheim
315 Ming Pl
Helena, MT 59601-6255

From: Thomas Comish <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/15/2015 09:57 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 15, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

I will be watching your actions on this issue closely.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Comish
PO Box 436
Republic, WA 99166-0436
(509) 231-2674

From: Jack Locke <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/15/2015 02:58 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 15, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack Locke
2817 4th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98119-2338
(206) 285-4041

From: Nancy Strand <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/15/2015 07:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 15, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Strand
60377 State Route 20
Marblemount, WA 98267-9728

From: Julie Wood <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/16/2015 06:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 16, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Julie Wood
45 Old Highway 89
Fairfield, MT 59436-9347
(406) 562-3312

From: Debra McVannel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/16/2015 07:31 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 16, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debra McVannel
3647 W Aster Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85029-2110
(602) 439-4631

From: Rebecca Maclean <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/19/2015 10:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rebecca Maclean
10308 62nd Dr NE
Marysville, WA 98270-6613
(360) 350-7311

From: AniMae Chi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 08:46 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. AniMae Chi
405 N Arnaz St
Ojai, CA 93023
(555) 555-5555

From: Anne Miettinen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 08:47 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Miettinen
ilmarink. 32
TRE, None 33500

From: Ljiljana Milic <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 09:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ljiljana Milic
Belgrade
Belgrade, None 11000

From: natasha salgado <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 09:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. natasha salgado
5 maynard ave
toronto, WA 21122

From: Giana Peranio-Paz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 09:47 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Giana Peranio-Paz
150 Tulip Trl
Hendersonville, NC 28792-8220

From: Beverly Morgan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 09:47 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beverly Morgan
100 Pedras Rd
Turlock, CA 95382-1721

From: Barbara Tomlinson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 09:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

LEAVE ALL FOSSIL FUELS IN THE GROUND.
82% of Fossil Fuel Reserves must be LEFT IN THE GROUND - 82%! -
if we are to avert Climate CATASTROPHE due to Global Warming.
NO MORE drilling, coal mining, fracking,
and transporting, leaking and spilling and exploding,
and BURNING and spewing into the Atmosphere.
NO MORE exploration for new sources!
This should be Environmentalists' MANTRA:

LEAVE FOSSIL FUELS IN THE GROUND.
==========
Leave the Oil in the Soil
the COAL IN THE HOLE
the TarSands in the Land.

Eliminate ALL use and extraction of Dirty Fossil Fuels for Energy, NOW!
ASAP

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Tomlinson
408 Bellevue Ave E Apt 106
Seattle, WA 98102-4730
(206) 634-1068

From: Ted Williams <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 10:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ted Williams
PO Box 507
507 Ave. O
Ralls, TX 79357-0507

From: Paulinha Russell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 10:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Paulinha Russell
127 Sunnydale St Apt 405
Jacksonville, TX 75766-3366
(903) 393-1084

From: Ruth Litton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 11:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ruth Litton
32 Shallow Brook Rd
South Yarmouth, MA 02664-4028
(508) 775-3272

From: elaine c <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 11:47 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. elaine c
142 freeman road
didcot, None ox117da

From: Lisa Neste <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 01:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lisa Neste
4437 Garden Club St
High Point, NC 27265-1196
(336) 259-6096

From: "Patrick M. Donovan" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 01:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Patrick M. Donovan
60 Plaza St E
Brooklyn, NY 11238-5025

From: Sheila Dillon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 01:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheila Dillon
1701 5th St SW
Willmar, MN 56201-4179
(320) 555-5555

From: Deborah Voves <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 01:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Voves
13231 Mountain Pl
Anchorage, AK 99516-3150

From: Carol Thompson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Thompson
2874 Amy Dr
South Park, PA 15129-8955

From: Dennis Dougherty <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Dougherty
1000 Bayhills Dr
San Rafael, CA 94903-3095

From: Vercknocke Pascal <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Vercknocke Pascal
9 Rue Des Tilleuls
9
bagnols sur ceze, None 30200
681883747

From: James Brunton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Brunton
12718 Forest Hills Dr
Tampa, FL 33612-4035

From: Ernst Mecke <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Ernst Mecke
Kivenhakkaajankatu 2A 1
Helsinki/Finland, None 00150
(358) 963-3692

From: Alita DeMarco <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alita DeMarco
2906 Washtenaw Rd
Apt 1a
Ypsilanti, MI 48197-1517
(734) 417-6924

From: Ted Fishman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ted Fishman
790 Villa Teresa Way
San Jose, CA 95123-2639

From: rob nobrega <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. rob nobrega
3601 N Military Trl
Boca Raton, FL 33431-5507

From: Peter Scott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Scott
7040 E 400 S
Knox, IN 46534-8375
(574) 772-2360

From: Lori Hammett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lori Hammett
3515 Candlelight Dr NE
Albuquerque, NM 87111-5014

From: "andrea f." <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. andrea f.
39171 N Bernice Ter
Beach Park, IL 60099-3333
(847) 222-2222

From: Anthony Capobianco <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony Capobianco
101 Keystone Ct Ste 203
Bethel Park, PA 15102-4612
(412) 854-4463

From: NORMA LABORIE <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. NORMA LABORIE
1 RUE HENRI FARMAN
PERPIGNAN, None 66000

From: "Steven J. Prince" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 03:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Steven J. Prince
2426 Washington Aly
Eugene, OR 97405-2621

From: michelle lesmond <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 04:17 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. michelle lesmond
boise
boise, ID 83725

From: Jean Cheesman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 04:17 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jean Cheesman
1111 Garcia Rd
Santa Barbara, CA 93103-2127

From: paul cole <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 04:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. paul cole
1519 N J Ter
Lake Worth, FL 33460-1812
(561) 547-7760

From: Dotti Lydon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 04:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dotti Lydon
1100 Minor Ave Apt 323
Seattle, WA 98101-4207

From: jeanette capotorto <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 04:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. jeanette capotorto
16 Plum Tree Ln
Commack, NY 11725-2409

From: Connie Dunn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 04:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Connie Dunn
467 Crattie Dr
Springville, TN 38256-4823

From: anthony montapert <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 04:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. anthony montapert
1375 Ficus Way
Ventura, CA 93004-4867

From: Raymond Bartlett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 04:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Raymond Bartlett
6907 Buffalo Rd
Harborcreek, PA 16421-1106

From: Carol Jurczewski <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 04:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Jurczewski
452 Shenstone Rd
Riverside, IL 60546-2032

From: Stardust Noel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 04:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Stardust Noel
NW 154th Av.
Alachua, FL 32616

From: Anita Coolidge <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 04:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anita Coolidge
1327 Caminito Septimo
Cardiff, CA 92007-1043

From: Patricia Nazzaro <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:17 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Nazzaro
10020 Calava Ct
Union, KY 41091-9086

From: Jeremy Steadman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeremy Steadman
412 Passage Gate Way
Wilmington, NC 28412-3287

From: liaudat claudia <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. liaudat claudia
fruence 41
CHATEL-ST-DENIS, ME 01618
796292056

From: Ana Lopes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Ana Lopes
Sesimbra
Sesimbra, None 02970

From: Barbara Vieira <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Vieira
63 Russek Dr
Staten Island, NY 10312-1627

From: Donald Baumgartner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Baumgartner
1612 S 2nd St W
Missoula, MT 59801-1902

From: Isabel Lopes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Isabel Lopes
Covilhã
Covilhã, None 06200

From: Sharon Bailey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sharon Bailey
1704 Baylor Dr
Richardson, TX 75081-5311

From: rebecca tippens <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. rebecca tippens
68 Van Nuys Rd
Colrain, MA 01340-9633
(413) 624-5140

From: Barbara Vieira <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Vieira
63 Russek Dr
Staten Island, NY 10312-1627

From: Lois Jordan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lois Jordan
9161 E Walnut Tree Dr
Tucson, AZ 85749-9639

From: Heather Little <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heather Little
49 Maclean Rd
Grand Bay-Westfield, NB E5K 3K1

From: Thomaz de Aquino Alves Fonseca <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomaz de Aquino Alves Fonseca
Rua São Miguel 513
Olinda - Pernambuco, PA 053120175

From: Kate Kenner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kate Kenner
31 Woodman St
Jamaica Plain, MA 02130-3801

From: Brian Paradise <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Paradise
13 Arbor Club Dr
Ponte Vedra Beach, FL 32082-2678

From: Gustavo Sandoval <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gustavo Sandoval
505 Los Gatos Way
San Mateo, CA 94403-3618

From: Joyce Frohn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 05:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joyce Frohn
425 Congress Ave
Oshkosh, WI 54901-2967

From: joyce schwartz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 06:17 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. joyce schwartz
486 Northwestern Ave
Altamonte Springs, FL 32714-1335

From: Jane Beattie <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 06:17 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Please oppose the Tongue River Railroad and urge STB to take the "no action alternative."

This railroad's sole purpose is to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling.

Do not sell out to a speculative railroad and mine.

There is no domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
There will be train traffic impacts fromh 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines.
Rail communities in between export terminals will experience slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company.

This railroad is not in the public interest.

Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane Beattie
PO Box 5591
Ketchum, ID 83340-5591
(208) 721-2256

From: Lawrence Crowley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 06:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lawrence Crowley
441 Pheasant Run
Louisville, CO 80027-1141

From: joos branders <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 06:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. joos branders
schransstraat 2
aarschot, None 3200
016 56 50 97

From: mauricio carvajal <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 06:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. mauricio carvajal
viento norte 4018
santiago, None 9291583

From: judith hazelton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 06:49 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. judith hazelton
1617 US Route 7 S
Bennington, VT 05201-9384

From: Joanne Wagner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 07:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joanne Wagner
4601 Windigo Trl
Madison, WI 53711-2730

From: marie therese hanulak <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 07:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss marie therese hanulak
3865 Rue Pare
Saint-Hubert, QC J3Y 4S4

From: Janet Robinson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 07:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Robinson
6391 Toulon Dr
Boca Raton, FL 33433-3801

From: Marguerite Winkel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 07:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marguerite Winkel
2012 W 3rd Ave Apt 4
Spokane, WA 99201-5465
(509) 455-9937

From: Kimberly Wiley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 07:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kimberly Wiley
72 Chimney Hill Rd
Rochester, NY 14612-1640

From: Raymond Zhang <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 07:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Raymond Zhang
8617 Oak St
Vancouver, BC V6P 4B2

From: DEBORAH SMITH <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 07:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

OPPOSE!
I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. DEBORAH SMITH
3044 NW 30th St
Oklahoma City, OK 73112-6908
(405) 942-6953

From: mauricio carvajal <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 07:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. mauricio carvajal
viento norte 4018
santiago, None 9291583

From: Ed Vieira <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 07:49 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ed Vieira
63 Russek Dr
Staten Island, NY 10312-1627

From: Zsanine Alexander <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 07:49 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Zsanine Alexander
2501 N Glenoaks Blvd
Burbank, CA 91504-2702

From: S Jitreun <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 08:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. S Jitreun
S
CH, None 99999

From: David Thieke <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 08:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Thieke
17542 Wheat Fall Dr
Derwood, MD 20855-1148

From: Michelle Hayward <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 08:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Hayward
58 Ditmas Avenue
Brooklyn, NY 11201-1999

From: Janice Banks <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 08:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Janice Banks
14 Maple St
Center Barnstead, NH 03225-3602
(603) 269-3116

From: Kazue Tanaka <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 08:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kazue Tanaka
3-2-39 Konaka-dai Inage-ku
Chiba, None 2630043

From: Victoria Pitchford <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 08:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Victoria Pitchford
1704 Victoria Park Ave
Toronto, ON M1R 1R5

From: Tami Palacky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 08:49 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Tami Palacky
8005 Bethelen Woods Ln
Springfield, VA 22153-2021
(703) 382-3248

From: Julien Kaven Parcou <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 09:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Julien Kaven Parcou
P.O. Box 559, Victoria House, State Hpuse Avenue
Victoria, None 00248

From: Judith Susser <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 09:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Susser
2463 E 26th St
Brooklyn, NY 11235-2403

From: Fran Fulwiler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 09:18 PM
Subject: The Tongue River Railroad: Part of an Environmental Disaster Waiting to Happen
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I am writing in opposition to the construction the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Fran Fulwiler
2124 NE 43rd Ave
Portland, OR 97213-1329

From: Laurel Covington <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 10:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laurel Covington
207 Orange Dr
Lutz, FL 33548-4565
(813) 293-7745

From: Suneet Srivastava <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 10:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Suneet Srivastava
100 Logan Avenue
Toronto, ON M4M 2M8

From: Jeff Creech <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 10:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Creech
1701 Salem Rd Apt C15
Burlington, NJ 08016-8107
(609) 431-1236

From: Patricia Grames <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 10:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patricia Grames
4262 W Camino Pintoresco
Tucson, AZ 85745-9761

From: Sherrie Shown <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 10:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sherrie Shown
2313 Creekwood Dr
Dothan, AL 36301-5921

From: Maureen O'Neal <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 10:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maureen O'Neal
9100 SW 80th Ave
9100
Portland, OR 97223-8981
(503) 334-7364

From: dorinda kelley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 10:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. dorinda kelley
8829 NE Davis St
Portland, OR 97220-5950
(503) 253-3189

From: Dianne Douglas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/22/2015 11:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dianne Douglas
2723 E Valencia Dr
Phoenix, AZ 85042-7082

From: Melanie LeBel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 12:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melanie LeBel
606-150 Queen St
Sarnia, ON N7T 2R3
(519) 344-9165

From: "nando a." <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 12:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

the ignorance of men is the enemy of mama nature

why is it that the most educated people commit the worst crimes against nature and humanity?

men has turn earth into a painful place for all living beings...when you do wrong nothing goes unpunished
Stop the war against the environment by men

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. nando a.
16625 kent des moines rd
des moines, WA 98198

From: Bonnie Lynn MacKinnon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 12:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bonnie Lynn MacKinnon
1603 S Elm St
Georgetown, TX 78626-6930

From: James Mulcare <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 12:18 AM
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Mulcare
1110 Benjamin St
Clarkston, WA 99403-2576
(509) 758-3934

From: Sandra Woodall <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 12:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sandra Woodall
118 Hermine Blvd
San Antonio, TX 78212-1203

From: Linda Jones <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 12:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Jones
1349 Hollowell St
Ontario, CA 91762-2807

From: Patricia Vazquez <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 01:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patricia Vazquez
Taller 791 Ed. 7, apt. 402 - Col. Jardin Balbuena
Del. Venustiano Carranza
Mexico City, None 15900
(552) 456-4919

From: Peter Cummins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 01:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Cummins
13 Sidlaw St
Cairns, None 4878

From: Deborah Dahlgren <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 02:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Dahlgren
96 Silver Ln Apt C2
East Hartford, CT 06118-1007

From: andreas vlasiadis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 02:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. andreas vlasiadis
el.venizelou 53d - tavros
athens-greece, None 17778

From: Margot Loerky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 03:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margot Loerky
via Virgiliana 5
Monfalcone, None 34074

From: Margot Loerky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 03:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margot Loerky
via Virgiliana 5
Monfalcone, None 34074

From: Peter Simon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 03:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Simon
Neue Hochstr. 51
Berlin, None 13347

From: Sandra Ferri <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 03:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sandra Ferri
Waswiesstrasse 9
Bäretswil, None 8344

From: Margot Loerky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 03:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margot Loerky
via Virgiliana 5
Monfalcone, None 34074

From: Donna Mock <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 04:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Mock
106 Crater Lake Ave
Medford, OR 97504-7447

From: Hal Trufan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 04:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Hal Trufan
6808 Old Forge Dr
Charlotte, NC 28226-7645
(704) 557-0001

From: Nora Davidson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 04:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nora Davidson
1181 Corcan Rd
Qualicum Beach, BC V9K 2R6
(250) 752-9738

From: Meryl Pinque <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 05:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Meryl Pinque
Odlin Rd
Bangor, ME 04401

From: Flora Pino García <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 06:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Flora Pino García
calle romero 12
Alameda del Valle, Madrid, España, Europa, None 00000

From: Annie Wei <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 06:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Annie Wei
Queensland
Queensland, UT 48700
(021) 156-6478

From: Christeen Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 07:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christeen Anderson
4609 Top Flight Dr
Crestview, FL 32539-5710
(850) 398-5929

From: "Montserrat Alvarez R." <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 07:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Montserrat Alvarez R.
C/Juan Ramon Jimenez, 9
Madrid, None 28036

From: Lila Parton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 07:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lila Parton
PO Box 243
Neah Bay, WA 98357-0243
(360) 640-8673

From: Unnikrishnan Sasidharan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 08:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Unnikrishnan Sasidharan
Latha Nivas, Irimpanam P.O, Tripunithura, Ernakulam Dist
Opp. Lake mount global public school
Cochin, None 682309

From: Maryse Leroy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 08:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maryse Leroy
26 avenue Adélaïde Richer
Azay le Rideau, None 37190
247727102

From: Gerard van Tol <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 08:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gerard van Tol
Watermolen 90
Ridderkerk, None 2986EV

From: Chantal Buslot <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 08:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Chantal Buslot
Meybroekstraat 46
Hasselt, None 3510

From: Maud van Tol <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 08:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Maud van Tol
Watermolen 90
Ridderkerk, None 2986EV

From: Lorenz Steininger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 09:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lorenz Steininger
Waldstr
hohenwart, None 86558

From: christiane Schneebeli <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 09:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. christiane Schneebeli
avenue des Amazones 6
Geneva, NY 12241

From: Rob Seltzer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 10:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rob Seltzer
18408 Clifftop Way
Malibu, CA 90265-5630
(310) 278-9944

From: Vickey Baker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 10:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Vickey Baker
2407 Roland Ln
Harlan, IA 51537-1571

From: david belcher <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 01:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. david belcher
2926 Harriet Rd
Victoria, BC V9A 1T3

From: Anna Jasiukiewicz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 05:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anna Jasiukiewicz
Wrocawska 30
Ostrów Wlkp., None 63-400

From: doug krause <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 05:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. doug krause
31 battleford bay
fargo, ND 58108

From: Lenore Reeves <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 09:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lenore Reeves
19934 Hickory Stick Ln
Mokena, IL 60448-1368

From: Teresa Horn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 10:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Teresa Horn
1220 Madison Ave
Apt 10
Memphis, TN 38104-2241
(901) 857-7111

From: Jessica Turbide <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 10:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jessica Turbide
625 Detroit Rd
Troy, ME 04987-3118

From: "Donna Carr, M.D." <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 11:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Donna Carr, M.D.
1201 Sidonia St
Encinitas, CA 92024-2240
(760) 436-7836

From: John Pasqua <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/23/2015 11:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Pasqua
843 S Escondido Blvd
Escondido, CA 92025-5005
(760) 484-3741

From: OLIVIER DESPORT <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/24/2015 01:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. OLIVIER DESPORT
200 M NORTH SCHOOL
OJOCHAL, None 60504

From: Robert Soto <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/24/2015 01:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Soto
51685 Avenida Ramirez
La Quinta, CA 92253-6113

From: Jonathan Chu <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/24/2015 01:21 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jonathan Chu
40881 Valero Dr
Fremont, CA 94539-4440
(510) 770-9687

From: Tony Cochrane <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/24/2015 02:21 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tony Cochrane
954 Dingley Dell
Victoria, BC V9A 5R7

From: jeff hopkins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/24/2015 03:21 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. jeff hopkins
69 Amber Ct
Lindenhurst, IL 60046-7912

From: Reynaldo Reyna <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/25/2015 01:41 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 25, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Reynaldo Reyna
501 E La Fragua Ave
Roma, TX 78584-5572

From: Shirley Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/25/2015 03:16 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 25, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shirley Smith
PO Box 9383
Longview, TX 75608-9383

From: Jamie Harris <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/25/2015 11:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 25, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jamie Harris
301 Main St
Broomfield, CO 80020-1901
(303) 478-9720

From: D P <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/26/2015 03:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 26, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. D P
3
F, FL 33301-1173

From: andrea bassett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/26/2015 08:26 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 26, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. andrea bassett
1 feltham road
mitcham
london, None cr4 2jq

From: martyn bassett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/26/2015 09:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 26, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. martyn bassett
1 feltham road
1
mitcham, london, None cr4 2jq

From: Bruce Abbott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/27/2015 04:34 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bruce Abbott
3 Slates End
Newark, DE 19702-2415

From: Martha W D Bushnell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/27/2015 11:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Martha W D Bushnell
502 Ord Dr
Boulder, CO 80303-4732
(303) 554-0827

From: emilia boccagna <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/28/2015 07:05 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 28, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. emilia boccagna
via francesco acri 95
I piano
catanzaro Italy, None 88100
(347) 095-7166

From: Donita Lowrey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/28/2015 08:36 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 28, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donita Lowrey
2423 Emerson Ln
Denton, TX 76209-1529

From: Paul Parker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/30/2015 01:09 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Parker
701 23rd Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-4101

From: Christine Roeffen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 06/30/2015 06:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jun 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Christine Roeffen
Hauptstr. 90
Clausen, None 66978

From: Markus Roeffen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 07/01/2015 01:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jul 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Markus Roeffen
Hauptstr. 90
Clausen, None 66978

From: jeff hopkins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 07/01/2015 06:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jul 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. jeff hopkins
69 Amber Ct
Lindenhurst, IL 60046-7912

From: Raechel Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 07/03/2015 12:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jul 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Raechel Wright
110 E Dunvegan Rd
Shelton, WA 98584-7161
(360) 426-0171

From: Twyla Meyer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 07/03/2015 01:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jul 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Twyla Meyer
244 Hickory Ave
1200
Pomona, CA 91767-1830

From: Judy Moran <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 07/03/2015 06:49 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jul 3, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judy Moran
6109 N Star Dr
Panama City, FL 32404-5312

From: "nando a." <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 07/04/2015 10:55 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jul 4, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

the ignorance of men is the enemy of mama nature

why is it that the most educated people commit the worst crimes against nature and humanity?

men has turn earth into a painful place for all living beings...when you do wrong nothing goes
unpunished Stop the war against the environment by men

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. nando a.
16625 kent des moines rd
des moines, WA 98198

From: Vivian Johnson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 07/06/2015 01:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jul 6, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Vivian Johnson
31110 179th Pl SE
Auburn, WA 98092-6509
(253) 630-6197

From: Melania Padilla <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 07/07/2015 12:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jul 7, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Melania Padilla
CA street D268
Managua, None 15034

From: John Niendorf <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 07/07/2015 06:28 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jul 7, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Niendorf
508 Kelsando Cir
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-9271
(253) 576-8661

From: Tim Hesterberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 07/07/2015 11:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jul 7, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The primary beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue
River Railroad company, not the public. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River
Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Tim Hesterberg
2628 31st Ave W
Seattle, WA 98199-3338
(206) 398-9207

From: Kelsey Severson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 07/12/2015 12:41 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jul 11, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when
coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek
lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve
better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal,
and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could
generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between
the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of
dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion,
safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River
Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kelsey Severson
2012 Donovan Ave Apt B
Bellingham, WA 98225-7558
(425) 308-0722

From: Mindi Tambellini <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: blodgettk@stb.dot.gov
Date: 07/22/2015 03:35 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jul 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mindi Tambellini
133 Pontius Ave N
Seattle, WA 98109-5402

From: John Browne <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 07/25/2015 11:45 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Jul 25, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Why don't government agencies QUIT GIVING AWAY COAL OPTIONS at "bargain basement" prices to these extractors? This stuff should stay in the ground for awhile... until someone REALLY NEEDS IT!

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

THANKS! ^..^

Sincerely,

Mr. John Browne
20929 111th Ave SW
Vashon, WA 98070-6467
(206) 463-9641
ridovem@gmail.com

From: Steven Yellin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/11/2015 03:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 11, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

This project would encourage coal mining and export to China, where it would contribute to climate change. It is therefore in conflict with our national goal of protecting the environment from carbon dioxide atmospheric pollution.

Sincerely,

Dr. Steven Yellin
2140 Santa Cruz Ave Apt D101
Menlo Park, CA 94025-6343
yellin@slac.stanford.edu

From: Lisa Hanckel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/15/2015 01:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 15, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Lisa Hanckel
2890 Dartmouth Ave
Boulder, CO 80305-5220
(720) 310-0032
lisahanckel@yahoo.com

From: Jacob Garrison <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/16/2015 11:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 16, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jacob Garrison
94 Beale Rd
C-8
Arden, NC 28704-8499
jacob.97.garrison@gmail.com

From: Catherine Jensen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:23 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Catherine Jensen
14906 NE 33rd St
Vancouver, WA 98682-8307
(713) 823-0522
beth_jensen@yahoo.com

From: Judy Moore <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:27 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judy Moore
352 Brayton Way
Florence, MT 59833-6851
(406) 777-7120
judy@helpyourdog.com

From: Danne Neill <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:27 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Danne Neill
1313 Broadway St
Bellingham, WA 98225-2920
(360) 303-4428
dannen@muljatgroup.com

From: Naomi Maxey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:27 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Naomi Maxey
18012 4th Ave NW
Shoreline, WA 98177-3510
naomi_maxey@yahoo.com

From: Nancy Dean <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:29 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nancy Dean
8082 Wayne Ave
Stanwood, WA 98292-6112
(360) 652-7181
steveandnancydean@msn.com

From: Jack Jensen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:34 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack Jensen
7422 NE 145th St
Kenmore, WA 98028-8305
(425) 825-5771
jjensen554@msn.com

From: Paul Kaperick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Kaperick
7021 87th St SW
Lakewood, WA 98499-1901
(253) 581-0774
paulkaperick@hotmail.com

From: D Richardson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. D Richardson
5112 S Alaska St
Seattle, WA 98118-1523
(206) 371-1994
david@dbrlaw.com

From: Steve Green <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Green
29008 Outlook Ln
Sedro Woolley, WA 98284-8416
(360) 982-2851
malogatos@yahoo.com

From: Thomas Dorosz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:37 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Dorosz
6207 Madrona Dr NE
Tacoma, WA 98422-1223
(253) 952-3436
5054ba74@opayq.com

From: Roger Field <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roger Field
1811 Eastlake Ave Unit 402
Seattle, WA 98101-1483
(206) 380-9609
mouser562001@yahoo.com

From: Toniann Reading <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine, which climate aware citizen's do not want!

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Toniann Reading
PO Box 372
Sultan, WA 98294-0372
(425) 330-3980
toni.reading@gmail.com

From: Irene Weiler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Irene Weiler
PO Box 213
Lyle, WA 98635-0005
(509) 365-3972
theland@gorge.net

From: Larry Fox <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:51 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Fox
1806 Newman Rd Unit 4
Freeland, WA 98249-9443
(360) 331-1950
larryleefox@yahoo.com

From: Jenny Adams <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:51 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jenny Adams
16416 3rd Ave SE
Bothell, WA 98012-5951
(206) 779-9464
shibori52@hotmail.com

From: Leslie Kari <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leslie Kari
605 S Kentucky Ave Apt 20
Granite Falls, WA 98252-8726
(425) 512-5720
leslie.kari@yahoo.com

From: Christine Armond <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Armond
PO Box 2326
Shelton, WA 98584-5058
(000) 000-0000
christinearmond@riseup.net

From: Jane Jaehning <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:57 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane Jaehning
1511 West Beach Rd
Oak Harbor, WA 98277-8575
(360) 279-1261
janejaehning@yahoo.com

From: Kathyryn Oliver <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathyryn Oliver
345 Bromley Pl NW
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-1710
(206) 451-4975
kfoliver@mac.com

From: Leonard Wainstein <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:01 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Leonard Wainstein
5947 18th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98106-1409
(206) 225-9662
leonardaok@yahoo.com

From: Felicia Bentham <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Felicia Bentham
PO Box 396
Seahurst, WA 98062-0396
fbentham@classmates.com

From: Diann Macrae <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diann Macrae
22622 53rd Ave SE
Bothell, WA 98021-8004
(425) 481-2797
tvulture@gmx.com

From: Nancy Hickman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:05 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Hickman
134 Red Row Rd
Kelso, WA 98626-9765
nhickman@applied.com

From: Andie Mark <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:06 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Andie Mark
PO Box 305
Medical Lake, WA 99022-0305
andie@cheneycable.com

From: Lyn Page <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:06 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lyn Page
10606 NW 21st Ave
Vancouver, WA 98685-4962
(360) 576-5042
kinaca@centurylink.net

From: Dina Monaghan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:06 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dina Monaghan
14034 19th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-3214
(550) 232-0106
dinamonaghan@yahoo.com

From: Kristi Gruel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kristi Gruel
9617 196th St SE
Snohomish, WA 98296-7988
(360) 863-6536
dreamsome1@yahoo.com

From: Toni Christianson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Toni Christianson
17544 Valentine Rd
Mount Vernon, WA 98273-7196
(360) 466-1729
chrisnsy@fidalgo.net

From: Joan Durham <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:21 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joan Durham
402 W Arland Ave
Montesano, WA 98563-4301
joanrhiannon@aol.com

From: Sheri Staley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sheri Staley
6052 E Pickering Rd
Shelton, WA 98584-8848
(360) 427-6303
staleyagate@peoplepc.com

From: Dan Gerhard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:26 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Gerhard
17058 Kokanee Ct
Mount Vernon, WA 98274-7086
(360) 422-5739
revdanger@live.com

From: Jerry Miller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:26 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry Miller
12405 Se. Sunpark Dr.
Vancouver, WA 98683
(360) 828-5255
jermil64@hotmail.com

From: Guy Chan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:27 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Guy Chan
NE Pacific St
Seattle, WA 98195-0001
guychan@uw.edu

From: Anna Hauksdottir <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:33 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anna Hauksdottir
21088 88th Pl W
Edmonds, WA 98026-6908
(425) 776-9518
akhauksdottir@hotmail.com

From: Jennifer Taylor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Taylor
938 26th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-4916
(206) 325-9293
jenfloof@hotmail.com

From: Carol Wessberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:38 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Wessberg
1206 E Jefferson St Unit 306
Seattle, WA 98122-5589
(206) 650-5822
seattlecarol@gmail.com

From: Michael Snow <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Michael Snow
1111 13th St
Bellingham, WA 98225-6620
(937) 964-5044
michael.p.snow63@gmail.com

From: Paul Mcdonald <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Mcdonald
5542 Beverly Ave NE
Tacoma, WA 98422-1403
paulmcd@tiac.net

From: Norman Moldestad <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Norman Moldestad
19017 Broadway Ave
Snohomish, WA 98296-5112
(360) 668-6286
norm.mi@frontier.com

From: Wayne Haegele <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:53 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wayne Haegele
40336 Skunk Bay Rd NE
Hansville, WA 98340-7722
(360) 638-1913
whaegele@centurytel.net

From: Mechthild Rast <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mechthild Rast
5731 NE 57th St
Seattle, WA 98105-2005
(206) 525-2272
mera577@me.com

From: Michael Rosen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Rosen
5980 SE 30th St
Mercer Island, WA 98040-2453
(360) 302-0982
bobbyrass@gmail.com

From: Enrique Jp Medina-Reyes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Enrique Jp Medina-Reyes
5206 E Mill Plain Blvd
Vancouver, WA 98661-7017
(360) 693-7678
donenrique42@gmail.com

From: Andrew Feldman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:09 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Andrew Feldman
1601 13th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-2569
(111) 111-1111
xoom64@hotmail.com

From: Kim Loftness <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kim Loftness
19814 15th Ave NE
Apt 206
Shoreline, WA 98155-1197
(206) 349-4489
klof815@gmail.com

From: Richard Tenney <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:16 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.
please help

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Tenney
308 S Bozeman Ave
Bozeman, MT 59715-4809
(406) 579-7098
rtenney2@hotmail.com

From: Duran Atkins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Duran Atkins
PO Box 253
Clyde Park, MT 59018-0253
duranatk@yahoo.com

From: Lynne Oulman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynne Oulman
816 14th St
Bellingham, WA 98225-6304
(360) 961-5447
lynne.oulman@gmail.com

From: Art Bogie <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Art Bogie
17423 Snee Oosh Rd
La Conner, WA 98257-9338
(360) 840-9092
fidalgo1.art@gmail.com

From: Philip Jurries <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Philip Jurries
11511 NE 92nd St
Vancouver, WA 98662-2301
(360) 771-0063
philipjurries@icloud.com

From: Sara Steil <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Due to the urgent need to move towards the use of 'renewable clean energy' I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sara Steil
6604 Harbour Hill Rd
Pender Island, WA 98852
(250) 629-6885
steils@shaw.ca

From: Darryl Wilson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:23 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Darryl Wilson
22624 NE Alder Crest Ln
Redmond, WA 98053-5820
(425) 836-3330
snoopydancer@msn.com

From: Dave Budnik <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dave Budnik
899 W T St
Washougal, WA 98671-5160
davebud@us.ibm.com

From: Barry Saver <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Barry Saver
5519 35th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105-2311
bsaver@yahoo.com

From: Dave Budnik <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:28 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dave Budnik
899 W T St
Washougal, WA 98671-5160
davebud@us.ibm.com

From: Lisa Karas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:29 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lisa Karas
28019 153rd Ave SE
Kent, WA 98042-4425
karas_l@hotmail.com

From: Bill Frenger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:29 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Frenger
828 E Broad Ave
Spokane, WA 99207-3205
(509) 482-0439
mrbill2.bf@gmail.com

From: Dennis Olson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:33 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Olson
23333 14th Ave S
Des Moines, WA 98198-7420
(206) 355-4687
dolson40@hotmail.com

From: Bruce Williamson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bruce Williamson
125 Merced Dr
Kelso, WA 98626-1867
bruce7105@yahoo.com

From: Maureen Startin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:38 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Maureen Startin
23700 42nd Ave SE Apt 50g
Bothell, WA 98021-7786
(425) 949-5813
mstartin@gmail.com

From: Gloria Lionz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:38 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gloria Lionz
3415 P Cir
Washougal, WA 98671-5196
(360) 335-9797
lionz@sbcglobal.net

From: Stan Taylor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stan Taylor
66 Sprandel Ln
Miles City, MT 59301-5527
(406) 853-5410
taylors@milescc.edu

From: Desdra Dawning <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Desdra Dawning
434 Cushing St NW
Olympia, WA 98502-4842
(928) 707-1738
desdradawning@yahoo.com

From: Eva Ryder <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:49 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Eva Ryder
17443 160th St SE
Monroe, WA 98272-1973
ear6248@hotmail.com

From: Edgar Paski <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:49 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Edgar Paski
PO Box 3337
Blaine, WA 98231-3337
ed@edpaski.com

From: Nick Taylor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:53 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nick Taylor
2927 33rd Ln NW
Olympia, WA 98502-3215
greenybaabaa@yahoo.com

From: Mary Jeffrey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Jeffrey
PO Box 763
Woodinville, WA 98072-0763
snowbanks2@yahoo.com

From: Anne Pope <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:55 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Pope
2239 NE 19th Ave
Portland, OR 97212-4616
(503) 284-0730
kulufarm@rockisland.com

From: Judae Bost'N <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 12:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Judae Bost'N
4805 Cushman Rd NE Spc 41
Olympia, WA 98506-1875
(360) 915-9811
jbostn@me.com

From: Sandra Ciske <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Ciske
1717 Sunset Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116-1943
(206) 601-2377
sciske@drizzle.com

From: Roger Wechsler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roger Wechsler
15153 Colony Rd
Bow, WA 98232-8558
(360) 766-6858
roger@samishbaycheese.com

From: Richard Ress <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Ress
19428 Aurora Ave N Unit 424
Shoreline, WA 98133-3966
(425) 329-9810
rnress@yahoo.com

From: Jennifer Gerber <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:10 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Jennifer Gerber
405 Killion Ct NW Apt 9
Yelm, WA 98597-9411
(360) 458-1207
jennibird76@aol.com

From: Cesia Kearns <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:12 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I live in a community in which people I know on the rail route and special places I enjoy would be impacted the increased transport and export of coal. The Tongue River Railroad would directly facilitate the mining and transport of coal for export, and I believe communities from mine to rail port to plant should be protected from the impacts of mining and transporting coal. I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cesia Kearns
2120 N Saratoga St
Portland, OR 97217-5361
(503) 238-0442
cesia.kearns@sierraclub.org

From: David Pate <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Pate
5066 Bayview Rd
Langley, WA 98260-9775
chumu@whidbey.net

From: Jennifer Perret <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jennifer Perret
2397 Sand Dollar Rd W
Bremerton, WA 98312-5612
anotherjennypwn@gmail.com

From: Keith Larson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Larson
13009 10th Ave NW
Tulalip, WA 98271-7038
keith.l@frontier.com

From: Millie Magner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Millie Magner
4228 28th Pl W
Seattle, WA 98199-1441
(206) 283-3451
milliemagner@gmail.com

From: Brad Curran <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:40 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brad Curran
321 Boylston Ave E Apt 105
Seattle, WA 98102-5345
bradfully@yahoo.com

From: Lynn Patrick Doyle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Otter Creek valley is irreplaceable and beyond price.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest.

PLEASE choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynn Patrick Doyle
512 W Clark St
Livingston, MT 59047-3029
(406) 222-5169
lpd@mac.com

From: Amanda Witte <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amanda Witte
303 N 43rd St
Seattle, WA 98103-7013
amanda_witte@yahoo.com

From: Priscilla Lauris <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:56 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust. And then there is Amtrak and their schedule - already greatly disrupted by an increase of oil and coal trains.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Priscilla Lauris
940 W Emerson St
Apt A
Seattle, WA 98119-1465
(206) 283-2810
plauris@q.com

From: Peter Murphy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 01:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Murphy
6109 Roosevelt Way NE
Seattle, WA 98115-6612
ptmrph11@gmail.com

From: John Bremer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Unfairly to them, our children must struggle in the short term against atrocious abuse by the dying generations of fossil fuel parasites and their sycophantic legions living hand-to-mouth off of our children's legacy, For our children, there is no long term. Act now.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Bremer
2604 Kentucky St
Bellingham, WA 98229-4058
(360) 527-3503
johnpaulbremer@gmail.com

From: Thom Peters <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:10 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thom Peters
7725 Riverview Rd
Snohomish, WA 98290-5884
(425) 239-6948
voice4wild@aol.com

From: Gladys Chase <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:23 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gladys Chase
14118 81st Pl NE
Kirkland, WA 98034-5077
(425) 820-1253
gypsychase@juno.com

From: Adele Peters-Johnson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

It's time to end the greed-driven efforts of detrimental energy industry interests. We've destroyed enough of our land and air, wildlife habitat, quality of life and sacred trusts. Please stop these efforts of Arch Coal and it's partners before they irreversibly damage the lives, livelihood, and habitats of all beings - human and otherwise - along this proposed, and unnecessary railway. Thank you for responsibly considering the future of the Pacific Northwest region.

Sincerely,

Ms. Adele Peters-Johnson
518 NE 182nd Ct
Shoreline, WA 98155-3646
(206) 368-0874

adelepj@yahoo.com

From: Ken Bennet <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:25 PM
Subject: I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Bennet
4496 NE North Tolo Rd
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3496
(206) 293-2805
ken@outerplanetradio.com

From: Mj Romero <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:31 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mj Romero
8008 Stroud Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-4531
(206) 588-2248
maxjayde@yahoo.com

From: Antoinette Bonsignore <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:32 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Antoinette Bonsignore
12411 NE Totem Lk Way Unit 102
Kirkland, WA 98034-7521
(425) 881-0948
antbonsignore@gmail.com

From: Kayeri Akweks <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:32 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kayeri Akweks
PO Box 2132
Olympia, WA 98507-2132
kayeriakweks@yahoo.com

From: Bob Johnston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:32 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Johnston
PO Box 1126
Cooke City, MT 59020-1126
(530) 757-1940
bison1bob@aol.com

From: Nicholas Wolejsza <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:40 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nicholas Wolejsza
10351 NE 10th St Apt 2205
Bellevue, WA 98004-4658
(425) 898-3608
nmwole@gmail.com

From: Geary Lewis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:40 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Geary Lewis
2128 W 2nd Ave
Spokane, WA 99201-5416
(509) 993-8258
petition@wegowireless.com

From: Anita Mcnamara <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:40 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anita Mcnamara
1307 Woodbine Pl
Missoula, MT 59803-3406
(407) 325-6397
agmcnamara@msn.com

From: Richard Johnson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line which could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Johnson
PO Box 3138
Bellingham, WA 98227-3138
(360) 543-5689
jazzpacnw@yahoo.com

From: Rebecca Castilleja <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:44 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

OUR PEOPLE AND OUR PLANET CANNOT TOLERATE MORE DIRTY COAL polluting our lungs our water our air and our

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rebecca Castilleja
2318 Westmont Way W
Seattle, WA 98199-3820
(206) 283-9411
hapropllc@yahoo.com

From: Marc Benedict <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:44 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marc Benedict
19223 Denmark St SW
Rochester, WA 98579-8610
(360) 273-9710
benedict@thurston.com

From: Judith Coheh <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:44 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Coheh
1608 E Republican St
Seattle, WA 98112-4606
(206) 333-4444
jctcohen@yahoo.com

From: Margaret Eckert <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:45 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Eckert
5229 38th Ave SW
Unit B
Seattle, WA 98126-2870
marloreck@gmail.com

From: Janna Jennings <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:45 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

J.A. Jennings
2324 NE 28th St.
Renton, WA 98056-2220
UNITED STATES

Sincerely,

Ms. Janna Jennings
2324 NE 28th St
Renton, WA 98056-2220
jajnamalie@hotmail.com

From: Jeannine Boone <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jeannine Boone
6115 NE 182nd St
Kenmore, WA 98028-9417
(425) 488-0235
jeannine@delphena.com

From: Kristen Rue <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristen Rue
4415 Latona Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105-6120
kirstenrue@gmail.com

From: Ted Korolak <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 02:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ted Korolak
26195 21st Pl S
Des Moines, WA 98198-3100
ted@korolak.com

From: Peggy Fly <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:06 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Peggy Fly
13820 NE 65th St Apt 542
Redmond, WA 98052-9545
(425) 922-8537
peggyafly@aol.com

From: Stephen & Kathleen Hulick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen & Kathleen Hulick
16607 NE 197th Ave
Brush Prairie, WA 98606-6600
(360) 535-9503
kaweah50@gmail.com

From: Barbara Robbins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:09 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Robbins
504 Armstrong Rd
Pullman, WA 99163-9667
(509) 334-3423
barb.robbins@wildblue.net

From: Michael Mcconaghy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Mcconaghy
11004 201st St SE
Snohomish, WA 98296-5029
(206) 227-9000
michael.mcconaghy@gmail.com

From: Carol Knechtle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:33 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Knechtle
298 Westcott Dr
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-8109
(360) 378-5537
andros@rockisland.com

From: Mary Anne Rangel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:36 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Anne Rangel
251 S Garden St
Bellingham, WA 98225-5819
(360) 734-2004
mrangelg@gmail.com

From: Barbara Rusmore <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:45 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I expect that the STB will take the "No Action Alternative' and deny the development of the Tongue River railroad. I oppose this railroad because it's sole purpose is to export coal from Otter Creek when coal markets are falling and will continue to for some time. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial trouble and cannot be counted on. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members along the rail line -- like me and my family in Bozeman -- know this will be a speculative disaster and oppose it.

There is no domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal. The 'improvements' on the rail line will divide our city and cause lots o economic and social problems. None of which the coal company will pay for -- we will. This rail line will lead to 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling through my community. All our rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust. For what purpose? So that the executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company can make a big profit at the expense of the country, communities and the landscapes and add lots more carbon emissions to climate change. Why send our coal to China and other export markets? The climate needs us to keep the coal in the ground and so does out long term energy future.

Please be smart and brave -- choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Rusmore
1429 S Grand Ave
Bozeman, MT 59715-5609
brusmore@gmail.com

From: Rosemarie Bisiar <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:46 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rosemarie Bisiar
1505 S Barker Rd
Greenacres, WA 99016-9762
(509) 926-9906
hlbrb88@gmail.com

From: Valentina Mazza <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:46 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Valentina Mazza
4704 NE 49th St
Vancouver, WA 98661-2638
vmmazza@yahoo.com

From: Mike Wilkinson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Wilkinson
3023 NW 69th St
Seattle, WA 98117-6219
(206) 789-2534
mikewilkinson@centurylink.net

From: Linda Rullman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Rullman
715 2nd Ave Apt 1701
Seattle, WA 98104-1735
(206) 735-7879
fiji5064@aol.com

From: James Young <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Young
5514 Valley Ave E
Fife, WA 98424-2050
selsilem@hotmail.com

From: Claire Morency <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Claire Morency
4918 NE 144th Ct
Vancouver, WA 98682-6300
(503) 253-3904
morencyclr@aol.com

From: Paul & Farley Bartelmes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:58 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul & Farley Bartelmes
12810 Holiday Dr NE
Kirkland, WA 98034-5730
(425) 821-6857
evinrude131@frontier.com

From: Debra Vandegrift <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 03:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debra Vandegrift
3908 Interlake Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-8132
(206) 545-7136
dp.vandegrift@gmail.com

From: Sarah Collmer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 04:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sarah Collmer
704 W 20th St
Vancouver, WA 98660-2420
(360) 635-2153
sicollmer@gmail.com

From: David Karlsgodt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 04:10 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Karlsgodt
515 NE 92nd St
Seattle, WA 98115-2820
(206) 925-3772
dkarlsgodt@gmail.com

From: David Stetler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 04:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Stetler
13052 101st Ln NE
Apt 1
Kirkland, WA 98034-2894
davidhstetler@gmail.com

From: Daniel Holmberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 04:15 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Holmberg
8015 12th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-4322
(360) 320-1681
danielholmberg@gmail.com

From: Karline Bird <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 04:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Karline Bird
5118 Nettot Ct NW
Olympia, WA 98502-9543
shanghaied_birds@yahoo.com

From: Ronald Free <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 04:22 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Free
3041 Mabana Heights Rd
Camano Island, WA 98282-7035
rdfree05@mac.com

From: Carol Thomas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 04:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Thomas
1918 S 5th St W # A
Missoula, MT 59801-2214
(406) 212-6903
caroltmt@yahoo.com

From: Virjeana Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 04:34 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Virjeana Brown
720 Northern Pacific Ave
Belgrade, MT 59714-4033
(406) 388-1833
jbrown89531@live.com

From: Glen Gleaves <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 04:34 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glen Gleaves
26 Tanager Ln
East Helena, MT 59635-3480
(406) 223-9624
donna0220@gmail.com

From: Raul de la Rosa <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 04:39 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Dear Mr. Ken Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

History does not lie. For centuries coal has proven to be unkind to the environment and lethal to the health of mankind. It is time to let this sleeping dog lie.

Sincerely,
Raul de la Rosa
1312 11th Ct SW
Olympia, WA 98502-5807
UNITED STATES

Sincerely,

Mr. Raul de la Rosa
1312 11th Ct SW
Olympia, WA 98502-5807
(360) 333-4444
dlrraul@msn.com

From: Melissa Weissman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 04:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melissa Weissman
9406 232nd St SW Unit B
Edmonds, WA 98020-5088
(206) 542-0394
chloeweiss@msn.com

From: Karin Porrini <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 04:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Karin Porrini
4138 Agate Rd
Bellingham, WA 98226-8745
(360) 752-1717
karinftc@msn.com

From: Nancy Evans-Jones <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 04:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Evans-Jones
11130 NE Tulin Rd
Kingston, WA 98346-9257
(360) 297-2501
nancy@evans-jones.org

From: Mary Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Brown
13311 433rd Ct SE
North Bend, WA 98045-9697
(425) 445-0763
marykarenbrown524@gmail.com

From: Louann Ballew <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Louann Ballew
16541 Redmond Way PMB 207c
Redmond, WA 98052-4492
louannballew@yahoo.com

From: Robin Moore-Slater <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:09 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Coal is over for the time being. We cannot afford to burn any more coal until we have succeeded in turning back global warming. The ash poisons our earth and water, burning the coal increases global warming.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Moore-Slater
4127 Burke Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-8319
(206) 633-3723
rtm945@hotmail.com

From: Craig Mitchell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:09 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Craig Mitchell
5200 23rd Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98106-1368
cem@pobox.com

From: Elaine Anthonise <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:12 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elaine Anthonise
PO Box 1851
Issaquah, WA 98027-0076
alamedagroupllcaz@gmail.com

From: Jim Grant <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:23 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Grant
15005 W Silver Lake Rd
Medical Lake, WA 99022-9555
(509) 299-3128
jgrant006@centurytel.net

From: Barbara Phinney <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:29 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Phinney
12248 Phinney Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133-8136
(206) 367-8785
bphinney@mac.com

From: Victoria Grayland <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:35 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Victoria Grayland
20308 73rd Ave NE
Kenmore, WA 98028-2010
(206) 650-1003
vgrayland@earthlink.net

From: Nolen Scott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nolen Scott
3606 Woodland Park Ave N Apt 410
Seattle, WA 98103-7984
(512) 775-7771
nolenscott@gmail.com

From: Robert Willis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Willis
129 Arnold Palmer Pkwy
Sequim, WA 98382-9356
(360) 797-1251
rjwinct@aol.com

From: Chris Guillory <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chris Guillory
420 S Laurel St Apt 5
Port Angeles, WA 98362-2803
chris_no51@yahoo.com

From: Jeffrey Juel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeffrey Juel
1747 W 11th Ave
Spokane, WA 99204-4160
(509) 688-5956
jeffjuel@wildrockies.org

From: Robert Holman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:53 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Holman
2912 E 14th Ave
Spokane, WA 99202-4335
rlholman@gmail.com

From: Leonard Hearne <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:55 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Leonard Hearne
600 S State St Apt 408
Bellingham, WA 98225-6147
hearnel@missouri.edu

From: Marilyn Boyd <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marilyn Boyd
1620 Hoyt Ave
Everett, WA 98201-2012
(425) 339-1158
bubbie50@yahoo.com

From: Lori Nelson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 05:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lori Nelson
5311 NE 69th Cir
Vancouver, WA 98661-1480
(360) 601-5348
lori_nelson2000@yahoo.com

From: Matthew Wolpoe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Matthew Wolpoe
4087 Cedarwood Ln
Billings, MT 59106-9682
(406) 371-5034
matpoe72@gmail.com

From: Kristen Adamson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:09 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristen Adamson
1400 35th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144-4004
(206) 299-4471
krisztinastar@hotmail.com

From: Jennifer Hickman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Hickman
949 Aberdeen Ave NE Apt D311
Renton, WA 98056-2879
(206) 375-2629
hick4934@yahoo.com

From: "F. Owen DeWolfe" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. F. Owen DeWolfe
PO Box 292
Emigrant, MT 59027-0292
(614) 560-0803
owennlisa@hotmail.com

From: Gary Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

We don't need another railroad to take coal out of the USA to pollute our air and kill the world. Please don't be a part of killing the planet just for others to make money.
Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Brown
2411 Kid Curry Dr
Bozeman, MT 59718-9333
2browndogs@earthlink.net

From: Margaret Woll <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:33 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Margaret Woll
208 Highland Dr
Bellingham, WA 98225-5414
(360) 734-8427
mhildeb408@aol.com

From: Marilee Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:34 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

We don't need another railroad to take coal out of the USA to pollute our air and kill the world. Please don't be a part of killing the planet just for others to make money.
Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marilee Brown
2411 Kid Curry Dr
Bozeman, MT 59718-9333
2browndogs@earthlink.net

From: Antonia Wood <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:38 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Antonia Wood
PO Box 777
Rainier, WA 98576-0777
(360) 446-0605
antoniawd@yahoo.com

From: Annamaria Garcia <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:44 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Annamaria Garcia
808 S 80th St
Tacoma, WA 98408-4105
(253) 298-8637
agarcia@piercecountyaids.org

From: Leonard Houghtaling <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:46 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Leonard Houghtaling
2643 Queets Ave
Hoquiam, WA 98550-2920
360402736
john24308@hotmail.com

From: Carin Christy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carin Christy
1652 Yew Ave NE
Olympia, WA 98506-4461
(360) 786-0749
carinchristy@icloud.com

From: Carol Foort <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Foort
9005 Eastview Ave
Everett, WA 98208-3066
ex_teach@hotmail.com

From: Charles Hughes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Hughes
4423 SW 313th St
Federal Way, WA 98023-2146
rickhughes33@msn.com

From: Chester Lusk <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:55 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chester Lusk
2142 8th Ave N
Seattle, WA 98109-2492
(206) 283-6134
chet_lusk@hotmail.com

From: Linda Campbell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Campbell
2305 S M St
Tacoma, WA 98405-3449
(253) 267-5693
saranmull@sbcglobal.net

From: Brandie Deal <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Brandie Deal
301 225th St SW
Bothell, WA 98021-8353
(425) 821-9717
laughsalot0579@yahoo.com

From: Leslie Mix <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 06:58 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leslie Mix
PO Box 2456
Kirkland, WA 98083-2456
leslie@academiclink.us

From: Karen Andres <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 07:07 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Karen Andres
1152 8th Ave S
Edmonds, WA 98020-6636
(425) 778-4356
andresfive@gmail.com

From: Linda Kinney <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 07:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Kinney
12345 Langworthy Rd SW
Rochester, WA 98579-9026
lmkinney@gmail.com

From: Cabell Westbrook <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 07:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Cabell Westbrook
3214 NE 111th Cir
Vancouver, WA 98686-4391
(804) 438-6649
cabell.westbrook@yahoo.com

From: Marilee Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 07:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Please don't be a part of the demise of our planet. We will remember you.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marilee Brown
2411 Kid Curry Dr
Bozeman, MT 59718-9333
2browndogs@earthlink.net

From: Janet Bird <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 07:52 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust. Being a cancer survivor, coal dust is of particular concern.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Bird
3310 221st Ave SE
Sammamish, WA 98075-9240
(425) 427-5218
birdandcat@aol.com

From: Duane & Bert White <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 07:52 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Duane & Bert White
12104 103rd Ave NE
Kirkland, WA 98034-3841
(425) 823-9423
oldguy1934@gmail.com

From: Tim Burns <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 07:55 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tim Burns
32117 42nd Pl SW
Federal Way, WA 98023-2405
(253) 874-6292
tab48@aol.com

From: Sharon Sawhill <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 07:58 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Sawhill
PO Box 95
Selah, WA 98942-0095
(360) 825-2091
sharonlas@msn.com

From: Luann Berman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 07:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Luann Berman
16219 NE 165th St
Woodinville, WA 98072-8177
(425) 483-8327
luann_berman@hotmail.com

From: Bob Murphy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 07:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Murphy
1823 W Cannon Place Ln
Spokane, WA 99204-5000
(509) 954-1772
runmurphresolve@gmail.com

From: Richard Haskin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Haskin
12034 15th Ave NE Unit 304
Seattle, WA 98125-5099
(206) 364-6635
richard.haskin@gmail.com

From: Sandra Petrarca <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Petrarca
323 N 83rd St
Seattle, WA 98103-4217
(206) 784-7219
smpetrac@gmail.com

From: Corinne Mcgrady <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Corinne Mcgrady
PO Box 27
Lilliwaup, WA 98555-0027
(360) 877-5896
corinne@hctc.com

From: Ellen Zarter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ellen Zarter
18319 NE 19th Pl
Bellevue, WA 98008-3323
(425) 644-6054
ellenzarter@gmail.com

From: Terry Wiederhold <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Terry Wiederhold
1405 Edwards St
Bellingham, WA 98229-5714
(509) 493-2367
jwiederhold47@gmail.com

From: Sara Price <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:24 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sara Price
1910 Lombard Ave
Everett, WA 98201-2328
pricesaa@hotmail.com

From: Bernard Walter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

I am an atmospheric scientist who has carried out extensive studies of transport processes in the atmospheric boundary layer. I am also a resident in downtown Seattle very near BNSF tracks and am very concerned about the additional pollution that increasing numbers of coal trains will be adding to our already polluted air.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Bernard Walter
1107 1st Ave Apt 1703
Seattle, WA 98101-2947
(206) 295-4724

bwalter@condointernet.net

From: David Scheer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:36 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I DEFINITELY OPPOSE CONSTRUCTION of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative"!! This railroad's SOLE PURPOSE is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when COAL MARKETS ARE CRUMBLING! ARCH COAL, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is IN FINANCIAL RUINS. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a "speculative" railroad and mine!!

The draft EIS WRONGLY ASSUMES that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and WRONGLY ASSUMES that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 ADDITIONAL COAL TRAINS PER DAY traveling on existing rail lines. Good grief!! Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would SUFFER the consequences of dramatically INCREASED coal train traffic, including SLASHED property values, INCREASED traffic congestion, SAFETY HAZARDS, and AIR POLLUTION from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The ONLY BENEFICIARIES of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is NOT in the public interest!! Please choose the "NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE" for the Tongue River Railroad!!

Sincerely,

Dr. David Scheer
2715 Cody Cir Apt 102
Bellingham, WA 98225-8280
(360) 933-4913
scheerdc@outlook.com

From: Karen Swoope <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:40 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Karen Swoope
625 N Perkins Ave
Colfax, WA 99111-2038
(509) 595-5708
karenswoope@yahoo.com

From: Richard Grassl <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Grassl
907 W Henry St
Pasco, WA 99301-4121
(206) 227-9009
solid4evr@yahoo.com

From: Doris Loeschen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:44 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Doris Loeschen
PO Box 1803
Port Townsend, WA 98368-0210
(360) 379-0620
doris@olympus.net

From: K Schaetzel-Hill <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:49 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. K Schaetzel-Hill
5740 78th Ave NE
Olympia, WA 98516-9514
(360) 923-0819
kbshill@earthlink.net

From: John Marshall <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Marshall
PO Box 1161
Winthrop, WA 98862-1161
(970) 923-3979
johnmarshallauthor@gmail.com

From: Niels H Andersen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Niels H Andersen
6529 Greenwood Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-5223
(206) 781-1964
andersen@chem.washington.edu

From: Karen Erlander <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Karen Erlander
6850 Woodlawn Ave NE #302
Seattle, WA 98115-6555
karenerlander@gmail.com

From: Heather Tillman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 08:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heather Tillman
1291 E Marrowstone Rd
Nordland, WA 98358-9678
(360) 379-1434
heatherseawa@yahoo.com

From: Gary Thomasson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 09:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Thomasson
1406 W Simpson Ave
Montesano, WA 98563-1400
thehorseman360@yahoo.com

From: Michael Jaeger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 09:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Jaeger
1209 NW Sluman Rd
Vancouver, WA 98665-7220
(503) 799-4911
mfjaegersr@yahoo.com

From: Lola Schiefelbein <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 09:07 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lola Schiefelbein
611 Cottonwood Dr
Richland, WA 99352-3641
(509) 946-3240
lls7474@gmail.com

From: Karelina Resnick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 09:15 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karelina Resnick
203 Orchard Ave N
Eatonville, WA 98328-8048
(360) 832-1333
karelina@gmx.com

From: Robert Jensen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 09:23 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Jensen
4728b Lakeshore Ln SE
Lacey, WA 98513-4589
(360) 456-2228
rvmijensen@hotmail.com

From: Dirk Singletary <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 09:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dirk Singletary
22510 82nd Ave W
Edmonds, WA 98026-8207
dirkr@avvanta.com

From: Marion Huxtable <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 09:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marion Huxtable
704 Lawrence St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-5514
(360) 379-9527
mhuxtable@olympus.net

From: Nathan Gibson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 09:38 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nathan Gibson
3033 21st Ave W Unit B
Seattle, WA 98199-2912
(206) 790-6403
kasaialma@me.com

From: Steve Hersch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 09:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Hersch
4401 80th St NE Unit 16
Marysville, WA 98270-3472
(360) 657-7615
sphhps@fastmail.fm

From: N Tascano <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 09:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. N Tascano
11911 Hilltop Dr
Anchorage, WA 99151
e6576c93@opayq.com

From: "James L. Rowland, Ed.D." <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 09:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. James L. Rowland, Ed.D.
1210 NW Nye St
Pullman, WA 99163-3433
jamiefso2@hotmail.com

From: Cynthia Hills <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad. Do not allow big coal to destroy the pristine Otter Creek Valley.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cynthia Hills
PO Box 62
Gardiner, MT 59030-0062
(406) 224-3176
chills1953@mail.com

From: Marilyn Fuller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:07 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marilyn Fuller
702 E 1st Ave Apt C3
Ellensburg, WA 98926-3465
(509) 306-1012
lynfuller02@yahoo.com

From: James Keeley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:09 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Keeley
7300 NE Vancouver Mall Dr
Vancouver, WA 98662-6749
(360) 225-3422
jkeeley36@earthlink.net

From: George Dilg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:15 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Dilg
9909 NE 1st St Apt 401
Bellevue, WA 98004-5651
(425) 990-8477
plgrd@yahoo.com

From: Julie Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:16 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Smith
PO Box 952
Vancouver, WA 98666-0952
jboardsen@hotmail.com

From: Michael Nuara <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Michael Nuara
1122 Broadway E Unit 301
Seattle, WA 98102-4389
(505) 750-3292
mnuara1@yahoo.com

From: Beckie Lindley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:30 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beckie Lindley
1501 Pike Pl
Ste 413
Seattle, WA 98101-1542
(206) 623-4142
dogalley@qwest.net

From: Derrick Wayne <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:30 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Derrick Wayne
2308 E 57th Ave
Spokane, WA 99223-6628
(509) 714-4081
sierraclub@4assistance.com

From: Barbara Atcheson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:33 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Barbara Atcheson
8415 Orcas Loop NE
Lacey, WA 98516-6649
(360) 456-0938
batcheson@q.com

From: Timothy Keeler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:36 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Timothy Keeler
16723 74th Ave NE
Kenmore, WA 98028-4228
(425) 486-7131
timwsiy@gmail.com

From: Monica Robbins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Monica Robbins
3817 W Mercer Way
Mercer Island, WA 98040-3317
(206) 232-5838
4waterdawgs@msn.com

From: Judyth Weaver <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:38 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Judyth Weaver
10 Ave Nw
Seattle, WA 98177
(206) 361-4124
judyth@judythweaver.com

From: Marianne Gordon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marianne Gordon
600 S Chestnut St
Ellensburg, WA 98926-3856
(509) 929-4628
marianne@elltel.net

From: mike wind <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. mike wind
979 Ceres Hill Rd
Chehalis, WA 98532-9226
mikejwind@gmail.com

From: Justas Vilgalys <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Justas Vilgalys
18320 NE 204th Ct
Woodinville, WA 98077-6602
(425) 788-8832
justasvilgalys@hotmail.com

From: Melissa Medick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:46 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melissa Medick
719 Mill Ave
Snohomish, WA 98290-2537
melissamedick@yahoo.com

From: Scott Eliot <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Eliot
29034 118th Ave SE
Auburn, WA 98092-4085
(206) 465-0481
scott.d.eliot@gmail.com

From: Eldon Leuning <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eldon Leuning
211 Kirkland Ave Apt 302
Kirkland, WA 98033-6579
(206) 355-9973
eleuning@gmail.com

From: Nellie Korn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nellie Korn
2821 Huron St
Bellingham, WA 98226-4112
(360) 603-4166
xathras2000@yahoo.com

From: Sue Moon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:52 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sue Moon
1300 Lake Washington Blvd S
Seattle, WA 98144-4018
(206) 322-2177
sueamoon@gmail.com

From: Roger Delmar <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roger Delmar
293 Boardwalk Ave
Port Townsend, WA 98368-9553
(360) 379-1482
roger.delmar@gmail.com

From: Bob Boland <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 10:56 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Boland
310 7th St N
Great Falls, MT 59401-2434
(406) 788-6565
bboland79@yahoo.com

From: Tom Foolery <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Foolery
Ravin Raven Road
Dillon, MT 59725
lazytf@gmail.com

From: Eileen Lamar <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and respectfully urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Coal is dirty from start to finish - even as coming back to haunt is with polluted air.

Alternatives green energies are a much safer and more forward thinking for the world's future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Eileen Lamar
8320 Camano Loop NE
Lacey, WA 98516-6256
(360) 413-1211
eflamar@q.com

From: Karen Nolan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:07 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Nolan
1518 McDonald Ave
Dupont, WA 98327-9727
fraukln@yahoo.com

From: Linnea Forseth <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linnea Forseth
1056 Picador Way
Billings, MT 59105-5438
(406) 672-0177
lc4seth@gmail.com

From: Jim Rutherford <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:12 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Rutherford
1520 W Indiana Ave
Spokane, WA 99205-4366
(509) 951-8687
jmrthrfrd@gmail.com

From: John Hill <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Hill
22523 9th Dr SE
Bothell, WA 98021-8287
(425) 736-7976
hillja@gmail.com

From: Mike Standerfer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:23 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Standerfer
4810 S 2nd Ave
Everett, WA 98203-2510
soggybottom4810@hotmail.com

From: Gary Kruger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Kruger
4211 157th Pl SE
Bothell, WA 98012-4707
(425) 948-6653
gkruger42@gmail.com

From: Deborah Gandolfo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Gandolfo
127 10th Ave
Kirkland, WA 98033-5522
(425) 202-7654
deborah.gandolfo@hotmail.com

From: Pearl Gilman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Pearl Gilman
6520 35th Ave SW Apt 208
Seattle, WA 98126-3057
(206) 420-2817
missprg@outlook.com

From: Steve Trick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Trick
9396 Moran Rd NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-1952
(206) 842-4662
strick@bainbridge.net

From: Lee Musgrave <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:38 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lee Musgrave
60 Stacker Butte Rd
Lyle, WA 98635-9464
lee@leemusgrave.com

From: John Griffith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:44 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Griffith
735 Evans Rd
Sequim, WA 98382-8795
(360) 683-4677
jpgriff54@gmail.com

From: David Todnem <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:46 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Todnem
6509 S Mount Angeles Rd
Port Angeles, WA 98362-8930
dormtodnem@gmail.com

From: Stephen Morrissey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:53 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Morrissey
15204 103rd Ave NE
Bothell, WA 98011-7232
dubunin1@yahoo.com

From: Ursula Mass <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/18/2015 11:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ursula Mass
15797 Snee Oosh Rd
La Conner, WA 98257-8927
(360) 466-3257
ursmas919@gmail.com

From: Lloyd Johnston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:10 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lloyd Johnston
13421 26th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-4307
(206) 367-4841
lajceoigthi@gmail.com

From: Gary Mclaughlin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:16 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Gary Mclaughlin
2015 NW 17th Ave
Camas, WA 98607-4100
sacgwm@mac.com

From: Jerry Parkison <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:16 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry Parkison
18405 SE 162nd St
Renton, WA 98058-0940
(206) 713-9888
jwparkison@msn.com

From: Gail Tate <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:23 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Coal hurts us on so many levels - moving it, processing it, burning it. Please protect the citizens of Montana, Idaho and Washington by denying this action.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gail Tate
765 Diamond Vista Dr
Port Angeles, WA 98363-9343
(360) 452-3034
tailgate2@msn.com

From: Anton Grambihler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

ALL United States Coal exported MUST be counted as United States Carbon Emissions.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anton Grambihler
2008 Davison Ave
Richland, WA 99354-2015
(509) 946-7837
ajgrambihler@msn.com

From: Derek Heeley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Derek Heeley
7010 164th St SW
Edmonds, WA 98026-4924
(425) 773-2838
derekheeley@yahoo.com

From: Amy Heyneman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:53 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 18, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Amy Heyneman
10579 NE Manor Ln
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-4189
amyheyneman@gmail.com

From: Timothy Ross <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:01 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Timothy Ross
294 Gish Rd
Onalaska, WA 98570-9510
doggopotamus@hotmail.com

From: James Schlachter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:02 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Schlachter
19349 Willet Ln NE
Poulsbo, WA 98370-8050
(360) 626-1694
jim.schlachter@gmail.com

From: Mieko Krell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:11 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mieko Krell
547 NE 127th St
Seattle, WA 98125-3926
(206) 371-6966
jjthe201@gmail.com

From: Peter & Alix Van Zant <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter & Alix Van Zant
13350 SE 26th St Apt 106
Bellevue, WA 98005-4265
(425) 283-8306
krunkles@aol.com

From: Craig Feyk <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:14 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Feyk
9705 236th Pl SW
Edmonds, WA 98020-5643
cfeyk@outlook.com

From: Dianne Kocer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:15 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dianne Kocer
17818 NE 201st Ct
Brush Prairie, WA 98606-8825
(360) 891-1466
diannekmx@gmail.com

From: Sandy Lodge <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:15 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandy Lodge
2403 E Glass Ave
Spokane, WA 99207-5780
(509) 443-4941
wolflodge@nativeweb.net

From: Michael Walling <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Walling
3515 N Union Ave
Tacoma, WA 98407-6136
mikewalling56@gmail.com

From: Gregory Severson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregory Severson
15414 35th Ave W Unit 6
Lynnwood, WA 98087-5030
(425) 835-0055
gmseverson@yahoo.com

From: Gary Peniston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:23 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Peniston
11224 17th Avenue Ct NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98332-9318
(206) 851-8348
ghpghp@earthlink.net

From: Susan Evans <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Evans
1101 Appleland Dr
Wenatchee, WA 98801-3208
susanwenatchee@gmail.com

From: Lona Sepessy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:28 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lona Sepessy
20121 17th Ave NW
Shoreline, WA 98177-2256
(206) 546-6143
lsepessy@gmail.com

From: Jane Sarmiento Schwab <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:40 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jane Sarmiento Schwab
3600 Whitman Ave N Apt 202
Seattle, WA 98103-8769
(509) 966-0386
janeschwab76@hotmail.com

From: Nancy Shimeall <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling.

The construction of a rail line could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Shimeall
6634 159th Ave NE
Redmond, WA 98052-4813
(360) 708-4014
nshimeall@gmail.com

From: David Gregg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Gregg
10504 115th Pl NE
Kirkland, WA 98033-5016
(425) 298-8020
dg98033@frontier.com

From: Martina Abba-Richard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Martina Abba-Richard
1505 Hancock St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-8526
(360) 379-8779
gabba3abba@gmail.com

From: Jim Rutherford <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:46 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Rutherford
1520 W Indiana Ave
Spokane, WA 99205-4366
(509) 951-8687
jmrthrfrd@gmail.com

From: Bill Gilbert <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Gilbert
811 Boyer Ave
Walla Walla, WA 99362-2311
otto4x@gmail.com

From: Leah Froemsdorf <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Leah Froemsdorf
1217 Boone St SE Apt 6
Lacey, WA 98503-2239
(415) 730-3709
leahlynell@yahoo.com

From: William Ledbetter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:02 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Ledbetter
1108 S 220th St
Des Moines, WA 98198-4503
(425) 824-1929
billyboy4198@aol.com

From: Ginny Haugen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ginny Haugen
9836 American Ave SW
Lakewood, WA 98498-1109
(253) 584-0380
iverginhaugen@msn.com

From: Callie Levina <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:08 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Callie Levina
2305 1st Ave Apt 404
Seattle, WA 98121-1639
(206) 735-8471
mindofunity@gmail.com

From: Bianca Reich <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:09 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Bianca Reich
Rua Barao Do Fla
222200888
Rio, WA 98203
biareich@gmail.com

From: Gordon Wood <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:09 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gordon Wood
906 Lake Washington Blvd S
Seattle, WA 98144-3314
(206) 851-0141
transhuman@earthlink.net

From: Shelley Barber <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:22 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shelley Barber
4250 Aurora Ave N Apt A203
Seattle, WA 98103-7397
(865) 805-0425
shelley.m.barber@gmail.com

From: Bruce Schleicher <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:25 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bruce Schleicher
1010 E Half Mile Rd
Port Angeles, WA 98362-8928
(360) 457-1115
woodchuck61@q.com

From: Mark Lucianna <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:28 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Mark Lucianna
703 316th St NE
Stanwood, WA 98292-9658
(425) 327-7918
mark.lucianna@gmail.com

From: Roger Chemel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:29 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roger Chemel
9533 62nd Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98513-9245
rogche@comcast.net

From: Steven Fox-Middleton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:31 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Fox-Middleton
PO Box 822335
Vancouver, WA 98682-0051
(360) 513-0077
sfoxkmm@teleport.com

From: Colby Chester <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:38 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Colby Chester
1220 Boren Ave
Seattle, WA 98101-2794
colby4@w-link.net

From: Heather Davidson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Heather Davidson
3024 NW 59th St
Seattle, WA 98107-2555
(206) 783-0930
sunnyhnd_2005@yahoo.com

From: Judy Greene <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judy Greene
3226 NW 69th St
Seattle, WA 98117-6127
(206) 452-9589
magkukla@hotmail.com

From: Stephen Randels <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:53 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Randels
2443 Lorentz Pl N
Seattle, WA 98109-2033
(206) 284-2459
steve.randels@gmail.com

From: Olga Kachook <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Olga Kachook
4228 174th Pl SW
Lynnwood, WA 98037-7400
olgakachook@gmail.com

From: Micky Forbes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:02 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Micky Forbes
505 Cass St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-8113
(360) 385-3188
micky_forbes@hotmail.com

From: Steven Rubenstein <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:05 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Rubenstein
504 224th Pl SE
Bothell, WA 98021-8289
(425) 486-4959
rubenstein.steven@gmail.com

From: Diane Rose <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:07 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Rose
4415 145th Ave NE Apt H2
Bellevue, WA 98007-7111
(206) 829-2950
ddrose@earthlink.net

From: Martha Defoe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:10 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Martha Defoe
619 Clay St Apt 308
Port Townsend, WA 98368-5804
(505) 989-9882
martha.defoe@gmail.com

From: Tara Basile <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:11 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Tara Basile
19735 183rd Way SE
Renton, WA 98058-9722
(425) 996-7179
thbasile@yahoo.com

From: Nancy Easterberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Easterberg
10550b 39th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-7913
(206) 362-2914
nancyjeaneast@gmail.com

From: Randal Jeter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:14 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randal Jeter
4235 S Dawson St
Seattle, WA 98118-2344
(206) 721-5865
randaljeter@gmail.com

From: Daniel Lowell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Lowell
17 Shorewood Dr
Bellingham, WA 98225-7753
(360) 756-6502
djlowell46@gmail.com

From: Heidi Shuler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:21 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heidi Shuler
16004 NE 85th St
Vancouver, WA 98682-9544
(360) 213-4990
shulerh60@yahoo.com

From: Kerry Lux <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:22 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kerry Lux
PO Box 272
Carlsborg, WA 98324-0272
(360) 582-9589
kerrylux@olypen.com

From: Jordan Awasthi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:29 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jordan Awasthi
2020 Grant Ave S
Renton, WA 98055-3669
jordan.awasthi@gmail.com

From: E Ellis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:34 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. E Ellis
PO Box 1701
Aberdeen, WA 98520-0280
ictrees4u@yahoo.com

From: Megan DeSantis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:34 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Megan DeSantis
19145 NE 151st St
Woodinville, WA 98072-9346
(425) 861-8045
megan.desantis@gmail.com

From: Laura Boss <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:34 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Laura Boss
905 SW 96th Pl
Seattle, WA 98106-2958
(206) 935-3375
lvduncan@spacefox.org

From: Debra Harlow <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debra Harlow
104 21st Ave Apt 103
Seattle, WA 98122-5901
debraharlow@gmail.com

From: Angie Luengen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:36 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Angie Luengen
23015 NE 27th St
Sammamish, WA 98074-8940
amluengen@hotmail.com

From: Christian Bookter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:36 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christian Bookter
707 Boss Rd
Goldendale, WA 98620-2304
(509) 773-5117
hoka1949@qnect.net

From: Peter Brazitis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:37 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Brazitis
PO Box 101
Hansville, WA 98340-0101
p.f.brazitis@gmail.com

From: Corky Luster <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Corky Luster
7330 Mary Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-5329
corkyluster@comcast.net

From: David Penhallegon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:51 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Penhallegon
223 NW 87th St
Seattle, WA 98117-3051
(206) 790-1912
viggo1@hotmail.com

From: Jean McBean <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jean McBean
708 Poplar Dr Apt 10
Bellingham, WA 98226-4458
(360) 656-6229
jmcbean@gmail.com

From: Nancy Boddy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:57 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Boddy
14026 Densmore Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133-7132
(206) 365-2779
nancyb206@comcast.net

From: Wayne White <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:00 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wayne White
7808 N Morton St Apt 731
Spokane, WA 99208-4105
(509) 466-0157
kewlbuwl@gmail.com

From: Ronald DiGiacomo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:00 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald DiGiacomo
2307 22nd Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-2604
(206) 322-2987
mrdigiacomo@q.com

From: Charlene Canonica <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:06 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I live in WA state and my daughter lives next to the railroad going over the locks. The coal dust is already noticed at times and this new railroad will make air pollution worse. We need to convert to renewable energy and so does China. We now know we are getting pollution from China via our winds. We need to leave coal in the ground instead of destroying our earth for our kids and grandkids.
I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charlene Canonica
11806 Marine View Dr SW
Burien, WA 98146-2445
(206) 242-8888
canonicac@aol.com

From: Susan Arnold <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:09 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Arnold
480 NE Alder St
Issaquah, WA 98027-3421
(650) 450-3826
arnold.susan480@gmail.com

From: Tom Harding <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:11 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Harding
151 S Adams St
Spokane, WA 99201-5096
tlh509@yahoo.com

From: Lyssa Danehy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lyssa Danehy
5745 Blakely Ave NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-2281
(505) 463-2685
lyssadanehy@gmail.com

From: Dana Loughj <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Dana Loughj
4546 45th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116-4155
(206) 403-1484
dclough61@hotmail.com

From: Alex & Pauline Nakamura <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:30 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alex & Pauline Nakamura
2012 130th Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98005-3954
(425) 641-6279
sam.panaka@gmail.com

From: Georgia Vincent <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:34 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Georgia Vincent
4290 Rockaway Beach Rd NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3152
gvinc67566@aol.com

From: Doug Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Doug Brown
2531 Cherry St
Bellingham, WA 98225-2003
(360) 714-1475
bblackcat@comcast.net

From: Elizabeth Carol Edwards <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:38 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Carol Edwards
109 1st St
Pe Ell, WA 98572-9705
edwaca123@gmail.com

From: William Nerin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:40 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Nerin
11221 35th Avenue Ct NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98332-8917
(253) 851-8888
bnerin@centurylink.net

From: David Dahlstrom <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:41 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Dahlstrom
26404 SE 160th St
Issaquah, WA 98027-6909
(425) 677-8106
dldahlstrom@gmail.com

From: Sherman Lewis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:47 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sherman Lewis
8322 25th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-4443
sherman@csuhayward.us

From: Pamela Bonaventura <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Bonaventura
Via Otto Geleng
Taormina, WA 98039
limoncello.tao@gmail.com

From: Lisa Messinger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:51 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Messinger
817 NW 50th St
Seattle, WA 98107-3617
lmseattle@earthlink.net

From: Louis Poncz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Louis Poncz
25435 NE 52nd Pl
Redmond, WA 98053-8517
(425) 868-9483
louisponcz@gmail.com

From: Bruce Von Borstel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bruce Von Borstel
2922 W Sequim Bay Rd
Sequim, WA 98382-8419
(360) 681-0564
brucevb@olypen.com

From: Jeff Powers <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jeff Powers
4054 W Blakely Ave NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-2200
jeff.powers@philips.com

From: Christopher Marrs <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:14 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad. It is time to work for the American People .End the Corporate give away

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Marrs
157 Haada Laas Rd
Port Townsend, WA 98368-9237
(360) 379-8942
chrismarrs157@gmail.com

From: Karen Howard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Howard
8447 Moonglow Ct
Blaine, WA 98230-6502
(360) 371-3127
howard.k.newton@gmail.com

From: Becky Dubois <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Becky Dubois
24202 31st Avenue Ct E
Spanaway, WA 98387-9374
beckydboboe@gmail.com

From: Timothy Vandergoore <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:25 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Timothy Vandergoore
2528 E Marshall Ave
Spokane, WA 99207-5413
tvandergoore@yahoo.com

From: Joanne Kellabrew <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joanne Kellabrew
4902 N Pasadena Ln Apt B3
Spokane, WA 99212-4703
mystihawk@poetic.com

From: Sheila Maseda-Gille <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:40 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sheila Maseda-Gille
16003 2nd Pl NE
Duvall, WA 98019-8494
(425) 381-4098
sheilamg@broadstripe.net

From: Deb Fischer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I find it horrifying and sad that you would even consider approving the construction of the Tongue River Railroad (TRR). Arch Coal is a company that has done harm to our environment on a huge scale. It is failing financially due to the low cost of coal.

We as a country are not using coal at the pace of the twentieth century. We know coal is the worst fossil fuel for the environment. Even China is moving away from coal. It is time to stop expanding coal mining and start phasing it out.

Ranchers have been in that valley for generations and should be able to continue to ranch undisturbed by Polluting coal trains. The current plans cuts off some ranchers from the river - something crucial to the ranching business. Why should Arch Coal and other TRR investors be able to gain money at the risk of ruining other peoples land?

This unreasonable plan to build the Tongue River Railroad is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deb Fischer
7022 SE 66th Ave
Portland, OR 97206-7453
debfischer9@gmail.com

From: Robert Wilbur <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Wilbur
118 Keystone Ave
Coupeville, WA 98239-9719
(360) 678-4850
bbwilbur@frontier.com

From: Jaz Klinski <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jaz Klinski
1716 Glass Ave NE
Olympia, WA 98506-4510
jazklinski@earthlink.net

From: Craig Canine <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:54 AM
Subject: Stop the special-interest Tongue River Railroad
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative, short-sighted railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch Coal and the Tongue River Railroad company. Meanwhile, the property owners along the railroad will be cheated out of their land values and, in some cases, their livelihood. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Canine
178 Pinneo Rd
Eastsound, WA 98245-9376
(360) 376-3107
craig@ccanine.com

From: Laura Patterson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:57 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I, Laura Patterson oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Laura Patterson
5416 N McKinzie Rd
Otis Orchards, WA 99027-9285
lauracanhelpu@gmail.com

From: Lolita Kalastian-Young <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lolita Kalastian-Young
10915 NE 41st Dr
Kirkland, WA 98033-7714
lkylolita@comcast.net

From: "Karen L. Lew" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:33 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen L. Lew
15302 40th Ave W, Unit #1-202
Lynnwood, WA 98087-8972
(425) 787-3337
karen@karenllew.com

From: Patricia A Lenzen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:34 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia A Lenzen
12800 NE 4th St Apt 57
Vancouver, WA 98684-5064
(360) 607-1316
patlenzen@yahoo.com

From: Janaki Torrenec <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:36 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janaki Torrenec
6016 29th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-7202
(206) 402-7357
janaki.m.torrence@gmail.com

From: Ramona Provost <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ramona Provost
4693 SE Arcadia Rd
Shelton, WA 98584-9361
provost.ramona@gmail.com

From: Dan Goehring <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Goehring
725 Wolf Creek Dr
Bigfork, MT 59911-6430
(406) 837-1171
mtgrizzly@centurytel.net

From: Rex Rhodes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:48 AM
Subject: railroad issue--
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I have been aware of this issue for decades and I support ranchers and farmers and I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad. Thank you!!

Sincerely,

Mr. Rex Rhodes
601 Birchwood Ct
Kalispell, MT 59901-5956
mrhodes50@gmail.com

From: Charles Bertsch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:50 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Bertsch
35679 Memory Ln
Polson, MT 59860-7161
(406) 883-2177
cwbertsch@gmail.com

From: Lynda Cunningham <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:51 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynda Cunningham
900 SE Park Crest Ave Apt R191
Vancouver, WA 98683-8360
(360) 521-9891
lyndeee2@gmail.com

From: Isabel Gendusa <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:53 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Isabel Gendusa
6012 S Windstar St
Spokane, WA 99224-5029
(509) 990-0641
irgendusa@gmail.com

From: Irene And Robert Embrey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Irene And Robert Embrey
4409 E Oregon St
Bellingham, WA 98226-8872
(360) 733-3940
rdembrey@yahoo.com

From: Cedric Reuter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:57 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Cedric Reuter
8812 20th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-3279
cedric.reuter@yahoo.com

From: "M.D. Sazonov-Robinson" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:00 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. M.D. Sazonov-Robinson
900 Meridian E
Milton, WA 98354-7001
(253) 288-0516
mauriasazonov@hotmail.com

From: Gina Schneider <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:00 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gina Schneider
1311 Taft Rd
Freeland, WA 98249-8736
(949) 493-3983
lunapoet@sbcglobal.net

From: June Mac Arthur <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:22 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. June Mac Arthur
1045 Hillandale Dr E
Port Orchard, WA 98366-3830
portmacarthur@msn.com

From: Kat Thomas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kat Thomas
1007 E Alder St
Seattle, WA 98122-5367
kathomas206@yahoo.com

From: Debra Wolfley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:29 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debra Wolfley
7303 Fern Vista Pl SW
Port Orchard, WA 98367-7524
(360) 710-0328
ironwolf4321@yahoo.com

From: Amy Mcmaster <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:30 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amy Mcmaster
4744 41st Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116-4570
amcmaster1@gmail.com

From: Valerie Catton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:31 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Valerie Catton
1644 22nd Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-3408
valerie.catton@gmail.com

From: Kelly Fine <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:36 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kelly Fine
15812 SE 12th Pl
Bellevue, WA 98008-5015
(651) 295-2874
kellykinneyfine@gmail.com

From: Barry Kelman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:36 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Barry Kelman
9607 172nd Ave NE
Redmond, WA 98052-3228
(425) 269-9872
barry@kelman.net

From: Nathalie Martin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nathalie Martin
2557 E Madison St
Seattle, WA 98112-4710
nmm209@gmail.com

From: Monoj Gupta <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Monoj Gupta
2526 204th St SW
Lynnwood, WA 98036-6945
(972) 234-1634
mgupta@sbcglobal.net

From: Tamara Pokorny <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tamara Pokorny
1340 Dabob Rd
Quilcene, WA 98376-9727
valleyrockfarm@gmail.com

From: Maryann Mason <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maryann Mason
19021 20th Ave NW
Shoreline, WA 98177-2803
(206) 629-5088
seattlemason@msn.com

From: Katherine Rickman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Katherine Rickman
1320 5th Ave SW
Olympia, WA 98502-5307
(724) 809-1255
katierickman8@gmail.com

From: Jann Campbell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:47 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jann Campbell
3520 E State Route 302
Belfair, WA 98528-9371
wccampbell@wavecable.com

From: Sheryl Engel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:52 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheryl Engel
1209 E 12th Ave
Spokane, WA 99202-2515
(509) 535-6033
sheryl.engel@gmail.com

From: Joy LaClaire <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joy LaClaire
3018 W Villard St Apt B
Bozeman, MT 59718-3658
(406) 422-0330
forthrightradio@gmail.com

From: Rebecca Wolfe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:14 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Rebecca Wolfe
1124 2nd Ave S
Edmonds, WA 98020-4102
(425) 774-6546
rebeccawolfe@vermontlaw.edu

From: K Crewe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:14 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. K Crewe
12527 NE 32nd St
Bellevue, WA 98005-1611
karenjcrewe@gmail.com

From: Sherry Mcann <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:16 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sherry Mcann
23415 105th St SE
Monroe, WA 98272-7707
sherry@awesomecorgis.com

From: Albert Teter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:20 AM
Subject: No! No Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Albert Teter
PO Box 698
Edmonds, WA 98020-0698
changeorg@dorg.org

From: B Metzelaar <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I'm heartily against construction of the Tongue River Railroad and plead the STB to take the "no action alternative." Haven't you sufficiently wrecked a once beautiful landscape? Look to Butte, the butt of the state, for a peek at the future. Disgraceful.

Sincerely,

Ms. B Metzelaar
8855 39th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98136-2511
bpmetzelaar@comcast.net

From: Barbara Zeff <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:23 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Zeff
810 202nd Pl SW
Lynnwood, WA 98036-7181
barbzeff@gmail.com

From: Kevin Chiu <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:23 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Chiu
10110 NE 38th Ct
Kirkland, WA 98033-7881
(425) 803-3936
kevinchiupetit@gmail.com

From: Marco de la Rosa <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:29 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I am writing to OPPOSE construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial RUIN. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for dirty high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and WRONGLY assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company(NOT the public). This is NOT in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Marco de la Rosa
12700 NE 124th St
Kirkland, WA 98034-8316
marco_de_la_rosa@hotmail.com

From: Paul Adler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:31 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Adler
18028 Wallingford Ave N
Shoreline, WA 98133-4637
paul-adler@frontier.com

From: Christina Scheuer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christina Scheuer
705 N 50th St
Seattle, WA 98103-6039
(206) 476-7334
cscheuer@gmail.com

From: George Keefe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:40 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Keefe
960 5th Ave S Unit 203
Edmonds, WA 98020-4037
(425) 582-7146
georgewanc@gmail.com

From: Deborah Harrison <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:41 AM
Subject: Tongue River Railroad: not in the public interest!
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Its high time we put a stop to these propositions that are destroying our sacred earth for the profit of a few corporation people.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Harrison
250 Brittany Ln
Sequim, WA 98382-8138
(360) 477-4547
deborahharrison524@gmail.com

From: Nancy Cubbage <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nancy Cubbage
12955 22nd Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-4204
nan808@speakeasy.net

From: Kay Kite <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kay Kite
7012 150th Pl SW
Edmonds, WA 98026-4020
mkk45@icloud.com

From: Mark Rhodes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Rhodes
311 E 26th Ave
Spokane, WA 99203-2423
(509) 624-6373
mwrhodeswork@yahoo.com

From: K Otto <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. K Otto
18823 4th Ave SW
Normandy Park, WA 98166-3925
treedance.kdo@gmail.com

From: Dawna Miller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:05 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dawna Miller
1325 Maple St Apt D314
Wenatchee, WA 98801-7558
(509) 264-2382
dawnasmailbox@gmail.com

From: William Gregory <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:10 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Gregory
816 Thurmond Ln
Sedro Woolley, WA 98284-4389
(360) 856-9052
trailseekerwrg@yahoo.com

From: Pauline Marsden <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:23 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pauline Marsden
12477 SE 299th Pl
Auburn, WA 98092-2185
(253) 833-2667
pminauburn@gmail.com

From: Jeanne Miller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:33 AM
Subject: NO Tongue River Railroad! Keep coal trains OUT of Washington
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jeanne Miller
1916 Arietta Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501-3753
(360) 456-3857
jamiller_studio@hotmail.com

From: Rita Nicholson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rita Nicholson
5215 Kagy St SE
Olympia, WA 98513-4604
rm.nicholson003@gmail.com

From: Sharon Miuea <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:06 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Miuea
1501 NE 89th Ct
Vancouver, WA 98664-6413
(360) 944-0109
smilertoo@aol.com

From: Lyn Valentino-Perigueux <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:06 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lyn Valentino-Perigueux
16500 SE 1st St Unit 130
Vancouver, WA 98684-9591
old.stone.fountain@gmail.com

From: Heather Mcfarlane <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust. Having been a childhood asthmatic I fear for the health of railroad communities, especially school age children and the elderly who have little options to avoid contact with the noxious coat dust coming from the proposed trains.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heather Mcfarlane
PO Box 541
Burley, WA 98322-0541
heatherrmc76@gmail.com

From: Toni Reineke <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:31 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Toni Reineke
10455 42nd Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98146-1113
tonireineke@comcast.net

From: Robert Crowder <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:52 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Crowder
PO Box 489
5624 NW 304th Street
Ridgefield, WA 98642-0489
(360) 887-4915
pioagape@gmail.com

From: Lynn Blackwell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:53 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lynn Blackwell
115 S Garden Ter
Bellingham, WA 98225-5425
(360) 676-0401
lynn.gb230@gmail.com

From: Rosa Gomez <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:00 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rosa Gomez
1723 E 18th Ave
Spokane, WA 99203-3707
gomezrosa@yahoo.com

From: Allison Ostrer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:00 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

NO COAL TRAINS!

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Allison Ostrer
8814 15th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98106-2448
aostrer@hotmail.com

From: Cassandra Wolle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:01 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cassandra Wolle
Sedgwick rd
Port Orchard, WA 98366
emeralddagger@yahoo.com

From: Joan Poor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:01 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joan Poor
1002 10th Ave N
Edmonds, WA 98020-2608
(425) 478-2183
paperboats@aol.com

From: Lori Stefano <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lori Stefano
22440 Vale Ct SE
Yelm, WA 98597-9086
(360) 894-1813
lorilstefano@gmail.com

From: Debra Turnquist <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:22 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debra Turnquist
2872 Blackbird Dr
Bozeman, MT 59718-8949
(406) 586-4695
dturnquist7@gmail.com

From: Darla Austerman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:31 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Darla Austerman
8414 N Aubrey L White Pkwy
Nine Mile Falls, WA 99026-9248
darla.austerman@gmail.com

From: K Keiser <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:34 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. K Keiser
1930a Mulberry Ln
Wenatchee, WA 98801-1217
ktk@genext.net

From: Geoffrey Borrcker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Geoffrey Borrcker
1050 Larrabee Ave Ste 104
Bellingham, WA 98225-7367
geoffreyboercker@yahoo.com

From: Andrea Simmons <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:47 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Andrea Simmons
588 High Haro Dr
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-8843
(360) 378-9541
as4444@centurytel.net

From: Wm Jones <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:50 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wm Jones
2002 Virginia Ave
Everett, WA 98201-2445
bill_032336@yahoo.com

From: Ilse Burch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ilse Burch
21601 SE 24th St
Sammamish, WA 98075-9578
alottagarden@gmail.com

From: Susan Moore <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan Moore
35615 NE Beaver Brook Rd
Yacolt, WA 98675-4110
(360) 686-1175
artifact@centurylink.net

From: Jim Wingate <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Wingate
22809 E Country Vs Dr Apt 182
Liberty Lake, WA 99019-7550
(719) 930-4282
james.wingate@ymail.com

From: Sebastian Stevenson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sebastian Stevenson
8519 Skinner Rd
Granite Falls, WA 98252-9752
stevenson.sebastian@gmail.com

From: L Parker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:28 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. L Parker
11527 Highway 99
Everett, WA 98204-7862
parklmp@yahoo.com

From: John Hagen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Hagen
7780 Beaver Vly. Rd.
Chimacum, WA 98325
(360) 732-4841
treeman1@centurylink.net

From: Maureen Burns <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:39 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maureen Burns
28229 241st Ave SE
Maple Valley, WA 98038-5151
maureen4718@aol.com

From: David Lamb <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Lamb
16724 Cobblestone Dr Apt 102
Lynnwood, WA 98037-6981
(425) 743-6418
quagmirefav@yahoo.com

From: Pat Pearson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 12:49 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Pat Pearson
1201 Ludlow Bay Rd
Port Ludlow, WA 98365-8734
(360) 437-9647
patpears@gmail.com

From: Shelley Minden <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shelley Minden
711 Belmont Pl E Apt 105
Seattle, WA 98102-4445
(206) 329-5276
shelleyminden@msn.com

From: Jonny Hahn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jonny Hahn
1916 Pike Pl Ste 12
Seattle, WA 98101-1056
(296) 781-5264
jonnyhhahn@yahoo.com

From: Karen Richter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:07 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Richter
4115 SW Arroyo Dr
Seattle, WA 98146-1662
(206) 679-3295
kerichter100@gmail.com

From: Deborah Engelmeyer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Engelmeyer
3829 NE 155th St
Lake Forest Park, WA 98155-7737
dengelmeyer@icloud.com

From: Randall and Sarah Hart <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:10 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Randall and Sarah Hart
11129 47th Ave SE
Everett, WA 98208-9685
(425) 312-5720
rchskh73@gmail.com

From: George King <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:16 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. George King
9508 NE 56th Ct
Vancouver, WA 98665-8253
(360) 487-6718
grgkng099@gmail.com

From: Gayle Peterson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gayle Peterson
PO Box 246
Ilwaco, WA 98624-0246
gmpete@willapabay.org

From: Julie Grobelny <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:29 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Julie Grobelny
2506 E 28th St
Vancouver, WA 98661-3927
(503) 804-6082
juliegrobelny@mac.com

From: Marianne Cartwright <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:30 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marianne Cartwright
21833 93rd Ave S
Kent, WA 98031-1878
catlover33868177@aol.com

From: Duncan Macrae <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:30 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Duncan Macrae
17766 NE 90th St Apt O373
Redmond, WA 98052-6914
(425) 941-3782
mountainyeti7@gmail.com

From: Howard Pellett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:36 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Howard Pellett
5293 Guemes Island Rd
Anacortes, WA 98221-9041
(360) 293-8128
howardp71@gmail.com

From: Sarah Dougherty <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:47 PM
Subject: Re: Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sarah Dougherty
6125 125th Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98006-3930
svanmater@yahoo.com

From: Karen Renne <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:52 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Renne
PO Box 6519
Bozeman, MT 59771-6519
(406) 570-8146
renne.karen@gmail.com

From: Moira Ausems <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Moira Ausems
1111 W 17th Ave
Spokane, WA 99203-1108
(509) 838-2404
moiraausems@aol.com

From: Duane Niatum <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Duane Niatum
2826 NW 62nd St
Seattle, WA 98107-2512
(206) 782-0110
niatumd@hotmail.com

From: Joseph Michael Pinc <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:55 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Michael Pinc
6201 NE 80th Ct
Vancouver, WA 98662-1729
jmpinc@yahoo.com

From: Ken Zontek <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 01:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Ken Zontek
4701 Modesto Way
Yakima, WA 98908-2580
(509) 662-4149
kzontek@hotmail.com

From: Craig Britton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Britton
PO Box 583
Port Townsend, WA 98368-0583
(360) 344-2484
cbhoptoad@gmail.com

From: David Nelson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:07 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. David Nelson
109 E 3rd Ave
Ritzville, WA 99169-1550
(509) 659-4248
nelsondave290@gmail.com

From: Susan Kane <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:10 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

When will you understand that there are more important priorities than money? Our country need to protect our amazing lands, not ruin them with coal mines and cut them up with railroads!!!

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Kane
200 S Kent Pl
East Wenatchee, WA 98802-5554
(509) 630-3594
susan_kane1@msn.com

From: Martin Stallings <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:15 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Martin Stallings
14806 107th Way SW
Vashon, WA 98070-5236
classicalsculptor@msn.com

From: Robert Chang <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:15 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Chang
275 W Roy St Apt 405
Seattle, WA 98119-4456
(206) 310-6845
rowerrob@gmail.com

From: Marta Bush <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marta Bush
607 W Lamme St
Bozeman, MT 59715-3434
bushmarta246@gmail.com

From: Nicolette O'Connor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:46 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I am a home owner and resident of Vancouver Washington. Coal is a dirty, dying 20th Century commodity.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling.

Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines.

Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nicolette O'Connor
4613 E 18th St Apt 25
Vancouver, WA 98661-6283
(817) 366-9105
nicolette.oconnor@yahoo.com

From: Mike Hightower <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:46 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Hightower
612 NE Oak St
Camas, WA 98607-1107
(360) 402-7679
mike.hightower@me.com

From: Marilyn Hoban <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marilyn Hoban
PO Box 2170
Poulsbo, WA 98370-0951
(360) 697-5909
marilynhoban@embarqmail.com

From: "D. Kent Moline" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. D. Kent Moline
4018 S Hatch St
Spokane, WA 99203-2932
(509) 838-0891
kent.moline@gmail.com

From: Nancy Forsthoefel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Forsthoefel
1404 Home Ave
Walla Walla, WA 99362-9061
(509) 527-5019
forsthn@whitman.edu

From: Diane Shisk <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 02:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Shisk
1800 Taylor Ave N Apt 211
Seattle, WA 98109-2657
(206) 276-0759
dshisk@earthlink.net

From: George Summers <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Summers
1311 S Massachusetts St
Seattle, WA 98144-3463
(206) 329-9091
cloastr1@avvantamail.com

From: Mike Alexander <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:06 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Alexander
6525 83rd Ave SE
Snohomish, WA 98290-5804
(425) 760-3801
mikejalexander@msn.com

From: Sharon Durga <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Durga
5420 NE Gamblewood Rd
Kingston, WA 98346-9553
(360) 385-5236
simplysharon2@gmail.com

From: Karen Wylde <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Wylde
27612 94th Ave SW
Vashon, WA 98070-8646
karen_wylde@yahoo.com

From: Stephanie Mathisen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Stephanie Mathisen
11753 Sunrise Dr NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-4349
(206) 842-5154
edared@msn.com

From: Mary Neptune <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Neptune
14005 SE 38th St
Vancouver, WA 98683-3908
(360) 256-9028
seagoddess75@hotmail.com

From: John Eddy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Eddy
622 N Fairview Dr
Tacoma, WA 98406-1015
(253) 564-4351
woodymcfarley@yahoo.com

From: Julie Kongs <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Kongs
412 Jefferson St
Mount Vernon, WA 98274-3965
juliekongs@gmail.com

From: Gail Maciejewski <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Gail Maciejewski
74 Haada Laas Rd
Port Townsend, WA 98368-9237
(360) 460-9219
gailemac@yahoo.com

From: Toni Howard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:36 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Toni Howard
12841 SE 175th Ct
Renton, WA 98058-6710
(206) 412-8664
thwd1@aol.com

From: Melissa Eriksen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 03:52 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melissa Eriksen
316 N 76th St
Seattle, WA 98103-4614
(206) 949-8092
melissa.eriksen@gmail.com

From: Cameron McElroy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Cameron McElroy
6328 119th St E
98373
Puyallup, WA 98373-4583
(253) 848-2439
bubblebuddyfan@me.com

From: Martha Hyde <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Martha Hyde
109 Snake River Dr
Burbank, WA 99323-9670
(509) 521-3104
frauhydemh@gmail.com

From: Holger Mathews <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:16 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Holger Mathews
3100 Airport Way S # 48
Seattle, WA 98134-2116
ginja69@gmail.com

From: Holger Mathews <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Holger Mathews
3100 Airport Way S # 48
Seattle, WA 98134-2116
ginja69@gmail.com

From: Tina Danzig <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:28 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tina Danzig
720 E 26th Ave
Spokane, WA 99203-3333
(509) 290-6165
tjdanzig@hotmail.com

From: Grace Oliver <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:34 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Grace Oliver
15618 Ne 5th Way
Redmond, WA 98052
grace.ollie@frontier.com

From: Jim Hulse <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:34 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jim Hulse
4703 73rd Avenue Ct W
University Place, WA 98466-4244
jimhulse@hotmail.com

From: Jennifer Calvert <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Calvert
1318 S Mica Park Dr
Spokane Valley, WA 99206-3122
(509) 536-3839
jennifercalvert@comcast.net

From: Douglas and Mrs Donna Greathouse <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 04:49 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Douglas and Mrs Donna Greathouse
42910 SE 173rd St
North Bend, WA 98045-9304
(425) 888-4373
dragonsrest2@comcast.net

From: Norman Mundhenk <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Norman Mundhenk
175 NE Lost Lake Way
Poulsbo, WA 98370-8970
(360) 930-1160
nmundhenk@hotmail.com

From: Karen Copp <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Copp
338 Wyatt Way NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-1842
copp.karen@gmail.com

From: Carolyn Finch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:29 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Finch
3636 Stone Way N Apt 420
Seattle, WA 98103-8004
(678) 517-4493
cfinch74@gmail.com

From: Eric Chesebro <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:32 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Eric Chesebro
505 Plymouth St
Missoula, MT 59801-4130
(512) 983-7166
ebcheese@gmail.com

From: Philip Bebbington <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:32 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Philip Bebbington
312 N 39th St Apt B304
Seattle, WA 98103-8589
pbebbington3@gmail.com

From: Cassie Schmitz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cassie Schmitz
923 6th Ave N
Seattle, WA 98109-3916
schmitzc@gmail.com

From: Jerry Wheeler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry Wheeler
13356 35th Ave S
Tukwila, WA 98168-3906
(206) 242-9344
born2hike@hotmail.com

From: Robert Ralph <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:38 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Ralph
21002 36th Ave W
Lynnwood, WA 98036-6820
(425) 301-6235
robb_324@yahoo.com

From: Kristin Boyer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristin Boyer
2230 NW 59th St Apt 405
Seattle, WA 98107-3145
(206) 772-5473
kristinboyer@me.com

From: Lewis Tiffany <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:44 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lewis Tiffany
10456 6th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98146-1522
greg@calyxlandscape.com

From: Karen Ervin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 05:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Karen Ervin
3615 Bennett Dr
Apt H232
Bellingham, WA 98225-1078
(530) 318-3889
drumskickass12@yahoo.com

From: Renetta Van Diest <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Renetta Van Diest
15 Winter Creek Pl
Bellingham, WA 98229-7674
(360) 671-0232
nettakeith@gmail.com

From: Jeanette Redmond <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jeanette Redmond
1908 Piper Cir
Anacortes, WA 98221-3124
(360) 293-9258
jbredmond48@gmail.com

From: Barbara Powers <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Powers
6035 18th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98106-1411
powersbjean@yahoo.com

From: Alden And Carol Quimby <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Alden And Carol Quimby
4101 Samish Way
Bellingham, WA 98229-3495
(360) 671-9228
cquimby4@q.com

From: Jeanne Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:32 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jeanne Brown
5341 221st Ave NE
Redmond, WA 98053-8249
(425) 836-0172
djbrown5341@frontier.com

From: Sherrie Marston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:34 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sherrie Marston
14630 28th Dr SE
Mill Creek, WA 98012-5708
sherriemarston@yahoo.com

From: Amelia Bahl <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:40 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amelia Bahl
8102 NE 211th Ave
Vancouver, WA 98682-9565
ameliaj.bahl@gmail.com

From: Dan Sullivan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Sullivan
611 W Callender St
Livingston, MT 59047-2523
(406) 223-6413
danvil@live.com

From: Jorge Maupin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 06:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Coal is an obsolete fuel source. Leave it in the ground.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jorge Maupin
28260 Hansville Rd NE
Kingston, WA 98346-8626
(360) 297-4655
grps@silverlink.net

From: Angela Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela Smith
126 SW 148th St
C100-422
Burien, WA 98166-1984
(425) 412-3643
enlitened@earthlink.net

From: James Sweaney <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:06 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Sweaney
PO Box 613
Gardiner, MT 59030-0613
jns@wispwest.net

From: Margie Goulden <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:09 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margie Goulden
4710 129th Ave E
Edgewood, WA 98372-9200
(253) 863-3265
selbr8life@comcast.net

From: Jeanne Guess <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jeanne Guess
1726 Peggio Ln Unit 1
Missoula, MT 59802-3630
(419) 460-8050
jcguess71@gmail.com

From: Airdrie Thomsen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:16 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Airdrie Thomsen
19222 Olympic View Dr
Edmonds, WA 98020-2560
(425) 771-7137
airdrie1@frontier.com

From: Alice Poinier <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:16 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alice Poinier
7450 86th Ave SE
Mercer Island, WA 98040-5739
(206) 232-4382
alice.poinier@gmail.com

From: Ella Elman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:17 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ella Elman
19711 NE 58th Pl
Redmond, WA 98053-4800
eelman@u.washington.edu

From: Sandra Wolf <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:29 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Wolf
PO Box 1684
Snohomish, WA 98291-1684
(425) 248-7482
sandywolf@hotmail.com

From: Sharon Price <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:34 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Sharon Price
3624 22nd Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98106-1119
(206) 935-1532
jasprice@isomedia.com

From: Debra Payne <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:35 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debra Payne
31721 Little Boston Rd NE
Kingston, WA 98346-9733
d.payne129@gmail.com

From: Bill Stansbery <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Stansbery
101 N Ruby St
Ellensburg, WA 98926-3336
(509) 925-5537
billstansbery@gmail.com

From: Ilse Kluge <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ilse Kluge
1550 NW 195th St Unit 105
Shoreline, WA 98177-2855
(206) 546-1127
ivkluge@gmail.com

From: Ronald Stenkamp <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." Leave this coal in the ground. Fight global climate change.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Stenkamp
2445 E Lake Washington Blvd
Seattle, WA 98112-2265
(206) 325-9163
ronald.stenkamp@gmail.com

From: Diane Adam <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:52 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Adam
7120 Woodlawn Ave NE
N507
Seattle, WA 98115-1428
(206) 522-0925
sfdadam@comcast.net

From: Karyn Mckinney <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:53 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karyn Mckinney
2884 Leeward Way
Bellingham, WA 98226-8610
(360) 758-4163
karyn1208@q.com

From: Barbara Micheel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:53 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Micheel
3300 NE 164th St Apt E3
Ridgefield, WA 98642-8930
(360) 574-5506
b3micheel@comcast.net

From: Rafe Dimmitt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:56 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rafe Dimmitt
2642 W Plymouth St
Seattle, WA 98199-4125
(206) 963-4379
rafe_m31@yahoo.com

From: Keith Cowan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 07:58 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Cowan
3709 SW Trenton St
Seattle, WA 98126-3642
(206) 932-9064
leftymanu@comcast.net

From: Lynnette Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynnette Anderson
504 W Smith St
Seattle, WA 98119-2537
(206) 669-4716
lianderso@hotmail.com

From: Mara Price <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mara Price
10509 76th Dr NE
Marysville, WA 98270-7935
(360) 722-5752
pricemara@hotmail.com

From: Carol Stanley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:07 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Stanley
8629 137th Ave NE
Redmond, WA 98052-1974
(425) 883-8229
carol_stanley@frontier.com

From: Richard Dauphin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Dauphin
2627 E 40th Ave
Spokane, WA 99223-4407
(509) 590-5186
richdauph@comcast.net

From: Susan Mikkelsen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Mikkelsen
4710 Burke Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-6820
(206) 545-4856
semikkelsen@me.com

From: Toni Schwellinger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Toni Schwellinger
4465 Victory Pl SW
Port Orchard, WA 98367-7440
(360) 674-2520
tschwell@yahoo.com

From: Linda Norlin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Norlin
10008 NW 27th Ave
Vancouver, WA 98685-4824
(360) 852-8299
lindarun@comcast.net

From: Galen Donovan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Galen Donovan
898W.X St.
Washougal
Vancouver, WA 98671
(360) 210-7330
galdonov@aol.com

From: James Stevens <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Stevens
19030 Lenton Pl SE
Monroe, WA 98272-1353
gj2567696@hotmail.com

From: melody mayer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:30 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. melody mayer
6321 Swayne Dr NE
Olympia, WA 98516-9146
(360) 438-6750
cedarrvr@me.com

From: Bill Russell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:31 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Russell
Dewey Ave.
Eureka, MT 59917
bill@ekology.net

From: melody mayer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:32 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. melody mayer
6321 Swayne Dr NE
Olympia, WA 98516-9146
(360) 438-6750
cedarrvr@me.com

From: Frances Blair <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Frances Blair
115 Montgomery St
Steilacoom, WA 98388-1028
(253) 588-8402
fblair39@centurylink.net

From: David Gloger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Gloger
2114 N 122nd St
Seattle, WA 98133-8524
(206) 361-0470
dgloger@comcast.net

From: Mary Adams <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 08:53 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Adams
2307 Westlake Dr SE
Lacey, WA 98503-6943
meheimel@gmail.com

From: Susan Mikkelsen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Mikkelsen
4710 Burke Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-6820
(206) 545-4856
semikkelsen@me.com

From: A Leon Guerrero <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. A Leon Guerrero
7220 99th Ave SW
Lakewood, WA 98498-3352
(253) 278-1026
bri4an32@gmail.com

From: Robert Lindberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Lindberg
10903 NE 102nd St
Vancouver, WA 98662-3328
(360) 771-1669
buddhaseeker3@yahoo.com

From: Barbara Wight <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Wight
10425 170th Ave NE
Redmond, WA 98052-2740
(425) 556-9376
bawight@frontier.com

From: Don Stutheit <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:06 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Stutheit
10425 170th Ave NE
Redmond, WA 98052-2740
(425) 556-9376
dstutheit@frontier.com

From: Susan Lasalle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:10 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Lasalle
7708 Dibble Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-3253
(206) 612-0078
susanlasalle@hotmail.com

From: Jim Mynar <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. . Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Mynar
7301 Turquoise Dr SW
Lakewood, WA 98498-6440
(253) 588-7730
james.mynar@comcast.net

From: Nancy Martin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Martin
14036 25th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-3412
(206) 362-5879
irisnsofie@yahoo.com

From: Neil Bauman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:17 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Neil Bauman
212 Whispering Pine Pl
Sultan, WA 98294-7652
(425) 487-3920
ntbauman@comcast.net

From: Bob Nyberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Nyberg
2809 164th Pl SE
Bothell, WA 98012-6032
bobnyberg@msn.com

From: Alisha Leviten <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alisha Leviten
shoreline, wa
Shoreline, WA 98155
dalishious@gmail.com

From: Robert Hayden <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Hayden
14802 Bothell Way NE
Lake Forest Park, WA 98155-7654
(206) 370-4014
rehayden2000@aim.com

From: Gregory Rusch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:24 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregory Rusch
1415 NE 52nd St Apt 204
Seattle, WA 98105-4371
(206) 527-9727
ghrusch@gmail.com

From: Kevin McMahon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin McMahon
18832 244th Ave SE
Maple Valley, WA 98038-7310
kevinmcm12@gmail.com

From: Michael Felber <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Felber
5413 State Route 20
Port Townsend, WA 98368-8746
(360) 385-0202
michaelfelber@olympus.net

From: Niele Gillooly <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:29 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Niele Gillooly
4006 Greenwood Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-7051
(512) 576-3641
surfnguida@yahoo.com

From: Thomas Kress <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:30 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Kress
Park Rd
Seattle, WA 98105-2422
feathy@hotmail.com

From: Mike Ellison <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust. I live in one of those communities and will experience these unhealthy impacts.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Ellison
4303 NE 14th Ave
Vancouver, WA 98663-3606
(360) 696-4840
3heartmike@centurylink.net

From: Anita Bryant <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anita Bryant
Park Rd
Seattle, WA 98105-2422
feathy@hotmail.com

From: Jennifer Keller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:52 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Keller
115 146th Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98007-5139
(425) 614-0652
jankeller3@gmail.com

From: Stephen Cooper <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:53 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Cooper
4727 48th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105-3825
sccooper4@comcast.net

From: Mark Dix <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad because coal and all actions associated with it are bad for humanity and the planet. It is time to switch to renewable resources and efficiency.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Dix
10900 Forest Ave S
Seattle, WA 98178-3205
(206) 772-0123
dixmj@lundeng.com

From: James Roach <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 09:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust. The draft EIS analysis of climate impacts also seems misleading. To say that opening a new mine the size of Otter Creek will have no effect on global climate is misleading and naive.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Roach
4720 Humble Rd
Missoula, MT 59804-7150
(406) 721-7282
jimbobmt@gmail.com

From: Deborah Parker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Parker
55 Windward Dr
Bellingham, WA 98229-7949
(360) 610-9214
firstplanetarian@hotmail.com

From: Bruce Kerslake <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:06 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bruce Kerslake
14301 NE 73rd St
Vancouver, WA 98682-5011
(360) 254-1461
brucekerslake@gmail.com

From: Tim Horan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:15 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tim Horan
17448 N Meadowview Ln
Nine Mile Falls, WA 99026-9348
thoraniii@gmail.com

From: Srijan Chakraborty <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:16 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Srijan Chakraborty
11614 NE 87th Ln
Kirkland, WA 98033-5768
(425) 890-8515
srijan@gmail.com

From: Anne Middleton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Middleton
12694 Josh Wilson Rd
Mount Vernon, WA 98273-8296
(360) 757-6960
anne.jackm@gmail.com

From: Teresa Bessette <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I strongly oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Teresa Bessette
PO Box 99
Soap Lake, WA 98851-0099
(509) 246-0132
imonmyway50@hotmail.com

From: Carolyn And Robert Fletcher <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:23 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carolyn And Robert Fletcher
150 Mt Olympus Dr NW
Issaquah, WA 98027-3019
(425) 392-6674
cef150@comcast.net

From: Sandra Herndon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Herndon
449 E Pointes Dr E
Shelton, WA 98584-8850
(360) 426-8667
herndon@ithaca.edu

From: Ryan Carrasco <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:30 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ryan Carrasco
PO Box 395
Peshastin, WA 98847-0395
ryanncarrasco@gmail.com

From: Christine Cranston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:31 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Cranston
3270 Walnut Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116-3441
(206) 932-5319
cpcranston@gmail.com

From: Christopher Dynega <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:33 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Dynega
3006 NW Market St
Seattle, WA 98107-4222
cdynega@hotmail.com

From: Neatha Lefevre <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:49 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Neatha Lefevre
3804 E McLoughlin Blvd
Vancouver, WA 98661-5452
(360) 694-4804
nelefotrsi@yahoo.com

From: Diane Hooper <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:55 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Hooper
PO Box 11708
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-5708
(206) 842-6464
dlaweeze@gmail.com

From: Barbara Derwinski <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 10:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Derwinski
3105 Copper Ridge Pl
Billings, MT 59106-2258
(406) 656-9017
purple422@gmail.com

From: Sally Janover <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sally Janover
570 Grand Dr
Bigfork, MT 59911-3615
(406) 471-7443
aduzi@earthlink.net

From: Price Chenault <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:07 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Price Chenault
1324 Bel Aire Ave
Aberdeen, WA 98520-1825
(360) 533-0383
price@pchenault.com

From: Rick Barrett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rick Barrett
1711 N 122nd St
Seattle, WA 98133-7714
(206) 362-4884
rickbarrett@gmail.com

From: Charles Lopresti <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the Surface Transportation Board to take the "no action alternative."
This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest.

It might not be possible to save this company financially anyway. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Lopresti
1626 Davison Ave
Richland, WA 99354-2622
clopresti@owt.com

From: Victoria Bower <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Victoria Bower
13713 69th Avenue Ct E
Puyallup, WA 98373-8718
(253) 537-1955
vbower1984@gmail.com

From: "Dr. Joel W. Schaaf" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:28 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Dr. Joel W. Schaaf
100 Crescent Dr
Kelso, WA 98626-5307
(360) 577-0668
coschaaf@teleport.com

From: Pamela Waller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:44 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Waller
10311 Albertson Rd NE
Bainbridge Is, WA 98110-4374
waller103@comcast.net

From: Erika Lindsley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:45 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Erika Lindsley
7548 35th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-4811
erika.lindsley@gmail.com

From: John Soelling <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/19/2015 11:46 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. John Soelling
100 E 33rd St
Vancouver, WA 98663-2776
jsoelling@aol.com

From: T C <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:00 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. T C
234
Sammamish, WA 98075
tmbdeau@yahoo.com

From: Mary Kay <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:02 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Kay
11324 Ogle Rd NE
Poulsbo, WA 98370-7915
(360) 698-6085
mhk888@gmail.com

From: Keith Neal <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Neal
6735 Beach Dr SW
Seattle, WA 98136-1760
windrider4002@yahoo.com

From: Michael Harvey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:27 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Harvey
8709 W Imnaha Ave
Kennewick, WA 99336-8148
(509) 736-5361
kennewickmike@gmail.com

From: Jo Yount <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:33 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jo Yount
717 25th St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-7102
(360) 385-0456
jowarm@olypen.com

From: Teri Scheuer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Teri Scheuer
31825 NE 190th Pl
Duvall, WA 98019-7912
(425) 788-1315
terischeuer@gmail.com

From: Douglas Barnes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:40 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Douglas Barnes
PO Box 625
Columbia Falls, MT 59912-0625
(740) 969-4287
dcsy@aol.com

From: Anna Wiancko-Chasman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Anna Wiancko-Chasman
2532 N Washougal River Rd
Washougal, WA 98671-8390
(360) 477-5381
annawc@olypen.com

From: Deborah Capwell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:50 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Capwell
7020 18th Ave SW Unit G16
Seattle, WA 98106-1833
(206) 634-1472
deborahflora@gmail.com

From: Christopher Bieker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:51 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Bieker
5606 McKinley Pl N
Seattle, WA 98103-5934
(206) 718-0841
cw_bieker@hotmail.com

From: Jordan Westerholm <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not remotely in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jordan Westerholm
1723 NE 90th St
Seattle, WA 98115-3249
jawesterholm@comcast.net

From: Ruth King <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 19, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ruth King
6945 Mill Ct SE
Olympia, WA 98503-3025
(360) 413-7083
ruthnk@comcast.net

From: Jerald Boger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:05 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerald Boger
4407 S Skipworth Rd
Spokane Valley, WA 99206-9435
(509) 534-0486
motaki22@gmail.com

From: Ellen Bentz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:05 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ellen Bentz
21314 100th Ave SE
Kent, WA 98031-2067
amyb1334@hotmail.com

From: Lauren Baker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:05 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lauren Baker
2500 Geo. Wash.Way
Richland, VT 99354-2371
lrnbaker618@gmail.com

From: Ken Rose <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:10 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Rose
850 Cape Ave
Bozeman, MT 59715-9312
(406) 599-1993
stemcellsheal@gmail.com

From: Diann Sheldon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:28 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Diann Sheldon
311 Champion St
Steilacoom, WA 98388-1107
(253) 777-8226
diannshe@gmail.com

From: Kevin Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:30 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Anderson
PO Box 1118
Omak, WA 98841-1118
(509) 723-0944
klanderson@email.com

From: Eric Fosburgh <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:46 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Fosburgh
1415 E Republican St Apt 203
Seattle, WA 98112-4551
ericfosburgh@gmail.com

From: Mark Polakoff <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:50 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Polakoff
PO Box 1474
Red Lodge, MT 59068-1474
markpolakoff@yahoo.com

From: James Groff <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:53 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Groff
12305 NE 27th St
Vancouver, WA 98684-5529
(360) 256-8550
groffprod1@msn.com

From: Lynne Bannerman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lynne Bannerman
4800 Fremont Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-6565
(206) 420-3327
lynneabann@gmail.com

From: Katherine Graubard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Katherine Graubard
725 9th Ave Apt 2605
Seattle, WA 98104-2086
(206) 328-1192
graubard@williamcalvin.com

From: Margaret Snell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:58 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Snell
14222 108th Ave NE
Kirkland, WA 98034-4475
(425) 814-5698
masnell@comcast.net

From: Shiraz Cupala <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Shiraz Cupala
18323 120th Ave SE
Snohomish, WA 98296-5009
shiraz@cupala.com

From: Lena Glaskova <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:07 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Lena Glaskova
16532 21st Ave NE
Shoreline, WA 98155-6105
(206) 420-1995
boydlena@earthlink.net

From: Shaunita Felder <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:07 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Shaunita Felder
PO Box 45043
Tacoma, WA 98448-5043
shaunitad@hotmail.com

From: David Holt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:09 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Holt
20708 107th Ave SW
Vashon, WA 98070-6501
blackdragonsake@yahoo.com

From: Richard Mortensen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:10 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Mortensen
12915 NE 37th Ct
Vancouver, WA 98686-4906
(360) 574-3009
jrtcm1@gmail.com

From: Erika Stewart <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Erika Stewart
2030 E Phillips Lake Loop Rd
Shelton, WA 98584-8859
(360) 427-8850
akirgarden@hotmail.com

From: Lisa Agard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:16 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Agard
1311 S 13th St
Mount Vernon, WA 98274-5103
(206) 406-0027
l_agard@msn.com

From: Cheryl Speer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheryl Speer
410 SW Park St
Camas, WA 98607-2633
(360) 833-1512
cherylaspeer@gmail.com

From: Sirena Lucchinetti <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:33 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sirena Lucchinetti
7370 Thomas Rd
Bow, WA 98232-9140
slucchinetti8822@mysvc.skagit.edu

From: Katherine & Dean Carr <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:34 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

The bottom line is that coal needs to remain in the ground. It is time to focus on renewable energy and stop using fossils fuels and coal has got to be the first to go.

Sincerely,

Dr. Katherine & Dean Carr
902 17th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-3924
(206) 323-8968
carr.dean@icloud.com

From: Hans Mortelmans <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Hans Mortelmans
13509 48th Pl W
Edmonds, WA 98026-3415
(425) 745-3695
hansm74@comcast.net

From: Patrick Carr <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Patrick Carr
8801 Latona Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-2970
(206) 915-3777
seattler50@live.com

From: Kalanit Barson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kalanit Barson
150 4th St Apt I1
Langley, WA 98260-8419
(360) 221-3389
bkalanit@hotmail.com

From: Shirlee Tan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Shirlee Tan
3001 23rd Ave W
Seattle, WA 98199-2922
shirleetan@gmail.com

From: John Walenta <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:53 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Walenta
1742 NW 58th St Apt 201
Seattle, WA 98107-3078
(206) 547-1415
jwalenta@alumni.washington.edu

From: Stephen & Kathleen Hulick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:53 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen & Kathleen Hulick
16607 NE 197th Ave
Brush Prairie, WA 98606-6600
(360) 535-9503
kaweah50@gmail.com

From: Mary Paterson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:54 AM
Subject: No Coal on the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Dear Destroyer,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." Here is my reasoned opposition, though I doubt you listen to reason, so caught in a trap of demonic destructiveness are you and most of the corporate interests around the globe.

This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Mary Paterson
11532 Alton Ave NE # W
Seattle, WA 98125-5827
marycpaterson@gmail.com

From: Deborah Jean Turner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Jean Turner
361 Towne Rd
Sequim, WA 98382-8863
(360) 670-3513
debrasharmer@mac.com

From: Maggie Walker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maggie Walker
1221 Minor Ave Apt 705
Seattle, WA 98101-2810
(206) 623-5913
maggiewalks@msn.com

From: Robert Vargas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Coal is so old tech. How about some source of power that makes sense today.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Vargas
22413 18th Ave SE
Bothell, WA 98021-8452
(425) 483-6417
robbie_rv@yahoo.com

From: Julie Schalka <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:04 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Schalka
2618 NW 201st St
Shoreline, WA 98177-2465
jschalka@yahoo.com

From: Margaret Rinaldi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Rinaldi
8509 Midvale Ave N Apt B
Seattle, WA 98103-2125
(205) 403-8154
megrinaldi@gmail.com

From: Glenn GWA <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glenn GWA
745 Doane Rd
Bozeman, MT 59718-8899
(406) 586-1729
glhockett@bresnan.net

From: Sandra Bush <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Bush
PO Box 1832
Vancouver, WA 98668-1832
(360) 258-1397
sandyandcats2@yahoo.com

From: Cornelia Teed <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cornelia Teed
6258 Argyle St
Ferndale, WA 98248-8995
(360) 312-1325
joteed2000@yahoo.com

From: Cornelia Teed <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cornelia Teed
6258 Argyle St
Ferndale, WA 98248-8995
(360) 312-1325
joteed2000@yahoo.com

From: Ellie Perkins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ellie Perkins
37735 Vista Key Dr NE
Hansville, WA 98340-9730
(360) 881-0497
eleanor.ruth.perkins@gmail.com

From: James MacRae <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:21 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James MacRae
10919 NE 344th St
La Center, WA 98629-3543
(360) 263-4504
jmacraecsw@hotmail.com

From: Elyette Weinstein <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:26 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Elyette Weinstein
5000 Orvas Ct SE
Olympia, WA 98501-4765
(360) 705-8388
elyette_w@yahoo.com

From: Nikole Novikova <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:28 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Nikole Novikova
1055 Blaine Ave NE
Renton, WA 98056-8725
nikolen@live.com

From: Charlotte Vandyke <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:37 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charlotte Vandyke
4415 SW Oregon St Apt 203
Seattle, WA 98116-4919
(206) 619-4419
charlotte.vandyke@yahoo.com

From: Pam Koeller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:37 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pam Koeller
1508 Perkins Ave
Richland, WA 99354-2806
(509) 539-0848
map3wind@gmail.com

From: Laura Clarson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:38 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Clarson
320 W 25th St
Vancouver, WA 98660-2546
(360) 993-1095
pilgrims@comcast.net

From: Christine Gourley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Gourley
200 E Curtis St
Aberdeen, WA 98520-7805
christinemgourley@gmail.com

From: Erin Jeffries <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

We can do better than coal.Railroads should be used to transport people and necessary goods. We can do better than coal, which is abundantly bad for our health.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Erin Jeffries
120 State Ave NE PMB 1483
Olympia, WA 98501-1131
chikfender@gmail.com

From: Jennifer Bentzel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:52 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jennifer Bentzel
5401 Park Place Loop SE
Lacey, WA 98503-4300
(717) 632-4584
lhasangel@yahoo.com

From: Joyce Lewis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:53 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

4,000 Chinese die every year from breathing coal pollution. Soon they will not be a market for U.S. Coal. Just end it now.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joyce Lewis
3084 Galena Dr
Camano Island, WA 98282-8226
(425) 338-0487
islandgryl@gmail.com

From: Deborah Rudnick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Deborah Rudnick
9213 NE Ruys Ln
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3692
(206) 855-4634
debrudnick@gmail.com

From: Donald Stimson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Stimson
9027 143rd Ave SE
Newcastle, WA 98059-3466
dkstimson@hotmail.com

From: Susan Durnell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Durnell
3087 Daisy Mine Rd
Rice, WA 99167-9745
(509) 738-6101
sdurnell@centurytel.net

From: Marc Ladd <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:57 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Marc Ladd
6235 146th Pl SE
Bellevue, WA 98006-4337
(425) 643-2152
marc.ladd@comcast.net

From: mary walker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:02 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. mary walker
PO Box 389
Vashon, WA 98070-0389
ellenmary@hotmail.com

From: Lisa Reager <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:05 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Reager
4106 55th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116-3917
lisareager@yahoo.com

From: Ravinder Bajwa <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:07 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ravinder Bajwa
6910 Old Redmond Rd
Redmond, WA 98052-6841
bajwa679@yahoo.co.uk

From: Helga&Fred Jaques <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:07 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Helga&Fred Jaques
13114 158th Ave SE
Renton, WA 98059-8531
(425) 226-4383
helga_j@comcast.net

From: Somsaron Pheth <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:08 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Somsaron Pheth
8212 S Sheridan Ave
Tacoma, WA 98408-1917
(253) 306-9604
pheth122@yahoo.com

From: Carrie Heron <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:09 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carrie Heron
5993b Rainier Ave S
Seattle, WA 98118-2763
(575) 224-2273
carrieroche42@gmail.com

From: Karen Hattman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:10 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Hattman
18 Robin Ln
Lopez Island, WA 98261-8034
(302) 455-9193
karenquacks@gmail.com

From: Kathlene Croasdale <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:14 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Kathlene Croasdale
8019 145th Ave NE
Redmond, WA 98052-3406
(425) 820-9483
kthln_croasdale@yahoo.com

From: Kamol & Pawadee Lohavanichbutr <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:14 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kamol & Pawadee Lohavanichbutr
26710 2nd Ave NE
Arlington, WA 98223-2609
(360) 708-7382
lokamol@yahoo.com

From: Janis Whitcomb <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janis Whitcomb
35855 207th Pl SE
Auburn, WA 98092-9008
(253) 735-4993
whitcombj@hughes.net

From: Merle Hooley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Merle Hooley
310 142nd Ave NE
Bellevue, WA 98007-6918
(425) 000-0000
emerlehooley@hotmail.com

From: David Goldsmith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:22 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Goldsmith
3208 Meander Ln NW
Olympia, WA 98502-3960
(360) 481-3800
d.l.goldsmith@gmail.com

From: Steven Muskovits <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Muskovits
2108 S 10th St
Tacoma, WA 98405-3059
(253) 341-9079
smuskovits@gmail.com

From: Beth Reprince <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:26 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beth Reprince
2303 14th Ave NW
Puyallup, WA 98371-3452
(253) 848-3668
bdreeps@msn.com

From: Jim Puckett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:26 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Puckett
3843 NE Campus Ln
Bremerton, WA 98311-9424
(360) 698-6433
zardozjim@comcast.net

From: Karen Yellman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:29 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Karen Yellman
11614 SE 49th St
Bellevue, WA 98006-2714
(425) 746-2978
karenandtedyellman@gmail.com

From: Neal Umphred <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:30 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Just do the RIGHT thing!

Sincerely,

Mr. Neal Umphred
9301 Avondale Rd NE
Redmond, WA 98052-3381
(425) 376-1073
nealumphred@hotmail.com

From: William McPherson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:30 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. William McPherson
2728 Fairview Ave E Apt 303
Seattle, WA 98102-3137
(206) 218-8987
wrmcpherson@gmail.com

From: Linda Kroeger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:36 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Kroeger
9223 Cyrus Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-2646
(206) 782-6983
cyrusnw@comcast.net

From: Richard Switzer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:36 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Switzer
801 Oak St
Castlegar, WA 99114
(250) 365-7382
theswitzers@telus.net

From: Richard Voget <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:36 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Voget
1615 N 41st St
Seattle, WA 98103-8211
(206) 478-1748
rvoget@w-link.net

From: Andrea Higgins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Andrea Higgins
24212 4th Pl W
Bothell, WA 98021-8641
(425) 379-5779
andrea.higgins1973@gmail.com

From: Alfred Colter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alfred Colter
1005 S 12th Ave
Yakima, WA 98902-4390
(509) 469-4552
eagle72@q.com

From: Yolanda Maclean <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Yolanda Maclean
PO Box 494
Chehalis, WA 98532-0494
(407) 280-8941
yoma@spu.edu

From: Kevin Filocamo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Filocamo
956 10th Ave E Apt 1
Seattle, WA 98102-4557
bodymystic@yahoo.com

From: David Arntson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Arntson
1615 208th St SE Unit 3
Bothell, WA 98012-7787
(425) 681-9548
dchristiemusic@hotmail.com

From: Ellen Punyon <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ellen Punyon
5425 57th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98118-2518
(206) 723-9465
epunyon@yahoo.com

From: Linda Ellsworth <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Ellsworth
61 Rosehip Rd
Eastsound, WA 98245-8966
(360) 376-2154
sandboa51@msn.com

From: Peter von Christierson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter von Christierson
1229 - 29thSt.
Port Townsend, WA 98368-6119
pvonc@olypen.com

From: Kay Carlson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kay Carlson
844 Yellowstone Ave
Billings, MT 59101-1627
(406) 702-5151
starrymontananight@hotmail.com

From: Alex Mock <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alex Mock
9242 Woodlawn Ave N Apt B
Seattle, WA 98103-3542
(206) 913-8484
machone1@mac.com

From: Howard Lazzarini <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:46 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Howard Lazzarini
12105 51st Ave SE
Everett, WA 98208-9648
(425) 337-1705
hglazz@comcast.net

From: Sarah Hafer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:46 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Hafer
12111 NE 4th St
Vancouver, WA 98684-5913
(916) 384-9551
sarah.hafer@gmail.com

From: David Szilagyi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:46 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Szilagyi
1004 NE 72nd St
Vancouver, WA 98665-0549
(503) 286-4929
dgtszilagyi@gmail.com

From: Mike Conaboy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:47 AM
Subject: I support the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Unlike the multitude of brain-dead loonies you'll undoubtedly be hearing from, I enjoy having all the modern conveniences like steady, reliable electricity. As coal is our must abundant fossil fuel, it's essential that it remains a key element in this nation's power grid for many years to come. If these enviro-extremists had their way, we'd be stuck in our cold, dark houses as rolling brownouts became the norm. And of course these same naive morons would be the loudest complainers.

I also understand that there is no inherent danger in transporting coal via rail. I challenge these mental midgets to point out the trail of dead people, livestock, and crops along this nations extensive rail network. They can't, of course, but that won't stop them from continuing to make blatantly false claims about "harmful toxic coal dust."

In closing, Mr. Blodgett, I encourage you to truly examine the issues at hand and quit letting a very vocal, but inherently wrong minority determine the future of this country solely because they've become so adept at controlling the media.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Conaboy
5501 Ken Jan Ct SE
Lacey, WA 98503-5502
(360) 866-2043
glacials@comcast.net

From: Cassie Gleckler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cassie Gleckler
5149 New Sweden Rd NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3119
(206) 842-2735
cjgleckler@me.com

From: Stephanie Develle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:50 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Stephanie Develle
12815 76th Ave NE
Kirkland, WA 98034-5713
(425) 814-9873
stephanie.develle@gmail.com

From: Mary McGovern <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:50 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary McGovern
3030 Steilacoom Blvd Apt 3
Steilacoom, WA 98388-1697
(253) 584-1654
mfm008@aol.com

From: John Teegarden <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:50 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Teegarden
1111 N 49th St
Seattle, WA 98103-6630
(206) 548-1004
johnt@drizzle.com

From: Cathy Bangerter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Cathy Bangerter
19404 2nd Dr SE Unit A
Mill Creek, WA 98012-7083
hiachiro@aol.com

From: Emma Ruggiero <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:00 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Emma Ruggiero
PO Box 135
Greenbank, WA 98253-0135
(360) 678-6957
poultrypalace@yahoo.com

From: Caroline Poulas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:01 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Caroline Poulas
17430 Ambaum Blvd S Apt 56
Burien, WA 98148-1781
(206) 248-3840
caroline.poulas@gmail.com

From: Ryann Medlin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ryann Medlin
145 NW Roosevelt St
Stevenson, WA 98648-4006
(509) 427-8349
rlmedlin@hotmail.com

From: George & Lila Girvin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. George & Lila Girvin
4203 S Perry St
Spokane, WA 99203-4334
(509) 624-0314
girvingw@comcast.net

From: Alena Novakova <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:07 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alena Novakova
9427 225th Way NE
Redmond, WA 98053-2028
(408) 507-1110
a.novakova40@gmail.com

From: Rhonda Sigman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:07 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rhonda Sigman
149 Karr Ave
Hoquiam, WA 98550-1726
rksigman@hotmail.com

From: Anne Schreibe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Schreibe
14623 9th Ave S W
Burien, WA 98166
(206) 241-4457
anneschreibe@yahoo.com

From: Sharon Levine <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Levine
1509 Queen Anne Ave N
Seattle, WA 98109-3160
sweetumsseattle@yahoo.com

From: Sally Newell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling and my state of Washington is BURNING UP!

In the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area where I live, we are regularly subjected to wildfires sparked by BNSF trains. The traffic is picking up, and projected to pick up much more when terminals to export coal, LNG and Bakken crude are built. Will Congress pull the "Scenic," from the Act?

The increased coal trains put this special place at risk on many different levels: safety, air pollution, economic disruption, traffic congestion and fire, fire, fire! The Gorge is a major water-based recreation mecca, but the rails run along both sides of the river and create a barrier to access. We lose a few tourists to the trains every so often. It stands to reason that if there are more trains, we'll lose more folks.

Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would ALL suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and HIGHLY FLAMABLE fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"

for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sally Newell
142 Dona Rd
Underwood, WA 98651-9107
(509) 493-3624
scoop@embarqmail.com

From: Kinga Csepreghy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kinga Csepreghy
2050 156th Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98007-6300
kcsepreg@hotmail.com

From: Margaret Hiatt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Hiatt
16621 E Indiana Ave Apt B104
Spokane Valley, WA 99216-2600
(509) 910-3905
margaret.hiatt@yahoo.com

From: Patti Weizel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Patti Weizel
80 Wild Currant Way
Port Angeles, WA 98362-7001
(360) 452-9155
litestamper@gmail.com

From: Phyllis Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Phyllis Brown
16600 25th Ave NE Unit 24
Marysville, WA 98271-4734
(360) 386-9234
phyllismbrown@hotmail.com

From: Susan Gillespie <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:40 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Gillespie
26231 188th Ave SE
Covington, WA 98042-8436
(253) 630-7044
archielev@msn.com

From: Sue Wood <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sue Wood
15808 62nd Pl NE
Kenmore, WA 98028-4316
(425) 485-6415
starsuewood@gmail.com

From: Mark Lopez <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Lopez
18505 NE 234th St
Battle Ground, WA 98604-4827
(360) 687-4022
mark@cravepdx.com

From: Danielle Marceaux <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:51 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Danielle Marceaux
33 14th 96 St #106
Lakewood, WA 98499
danielle_c2003@yahoo.com

From: Sharon Allbright <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:53 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Allbright
4824 Fowler Ave
Everett, WA 98203-3214
(425) 259-3988
sharon@lowcarbsweets.net

From: Richard Frith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Frith
3011 NW 94th St
Seattle, WA 98117-2944
(206) 789-2567
richardsfrith@yahoo.com

From: Scott Duncan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Duncan
126 SW 144th St
Burien, WA 98166-1540
scott@dunthor.com

From: James Eagan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Eagan
8627 Ravenna Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-3338
(206) 209-6530
gems4jim@aol.com

From: Davida Kagen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:06 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Davida Kagen
PO Box 82531
Kenmore, WA 98028-0531
dlkagen@pacaccess.com

From: Robin Boynton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:06 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Boynton
PO Box 1265
Carnation, WA 98014-1265
robin2200@centurytel.net

From: Eugene Ciesla <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eugene Ciesla
6836 St Andrews Dr
Mukilteo, WA 98275-4845
cieslag@yahoo.com

From: Larry Carter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:14 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Carter
PO Box 56
Fairfield, MT 59436-0056
(406) 590-7616
birder43@yahoo.com

From: Allison Barr <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Allison Barr
12721 10th Dr SE
Everett, WA 98208-6504
(425) 379-5208
allisondbarr@gmail.com

From: Tonya Cahoj <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tonya Cahoj
15837 NE 98th Way
Redmond, WA 98052-2599
(425) 868-7769
tonyacahoj@yahoo.com

From: Bruce Miesel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bruce Miesel
3723 E 15th St Apt A
Vancouver, WA 98661-5348
(360) 993-5073
bruce1089@centurylink.net

From: Davida Kagen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Davida Kagen
PO Box 82531
Kenmore, WA 98028-0531
dlkagen@pacaccess.com

From: Emily Radulescu <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Emily Radulescu
100 Byrd Dr
Steilacoom, WA 98388-1685
emily.radulescu@gmail.com

From: Virginia Brownfield <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:32 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

NO MORE COAL. STOP IT AT ANY COST. It may provide $ to a few but death to thousands and thousands all over this world.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Virginia Brownfield
3820 Fielding Ave
Bellingham, WA 98229-2924
(360) 738-8899
bethbrownf@aol.com

From: Dan Loucks <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:34 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Loucks
PO Box 822522
Vancouver, WA 98682-0055
(808) 226-4769
dsloucks@hotmail.com

From: Joseph Rowa <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Rowa
405 102nd Ave SE Apt L
Bellevue, WA 98004-6169
(425) 455-9594
joe5243@live.com

From: Lisa Read <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:51 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Read
23 W Galer St Apt 306
Seattle, WA 98119-3364
(206) 856-0708
writelread@aol.com

From: Jenny SjÃkvist <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:51 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jenny SjÃkvist
N Vallgatan 4
Lund, CA 44000
jennysjok@hotmail.com

From: Cami Cameron <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:52 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Cami Cameron
1521 X St
Vancouver, WA 98661-4036
dragon78923@yahoo.com

From: Janice Vakili <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Janice Vakili
12715 NE 7th Ave
Vancouver, WA 98685-3018
vakilimom@gmail.com

From: Jillian Gallery <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:57 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jillian Gallery
310 Palm St Apt 3
Bellingham, WA 98225-5827
(360) 738-9080
jilliangallery@gmail.com

From: Remy Goglio <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:00 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Remy Goglio
1685 134th Ave SE Apt I103
Bellevue, WA 98005-8038
(650) 533-8667
remy_goglio@hotmail.com

From: Sylvia Salget <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:02 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sylvia Salget
339 NE 59th St
Seattle, WA 98105-2718
(206) 524-7328
ssalget@comcast.net

From: Joseph & Diane Williams <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:08 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

We oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

This is unacceptable and only puts our environment, our communities, our very lives at risk from all that this entails.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Thank you for accepting our comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph & Diane Williams
3880 Stikes Dr SE
Lacey, WA 98503-8207
dwilliams3880@aol.com

From: Nancy Salovich <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Salovich
3707 78th Avenue Ct W
Apt T202
University Place, WA 98466-3208
salovich@outlook.com

From: Noel Orr <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Noel Orr
1610 NE 192nd St
Shoreline, WA 98155-2334
tepeefortwo@comcast.net

From: Katherine Nelson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Katherine Nelson
9445 S 232nd St
Kent, WA 98031-3166
(206) 941-3917
nicoeli3@yahoo.com

From: Sierra Jones <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:50 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Sierra Jones
1611 W Admty Hts Ln Apt H203
Bremerton, WA 98312-5996
(252) 218-9380
sierrachanelle1@gmail.com

From: Dorthea King <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:52 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Dorthea King
402 NE 92nd St
Seattle, WA 98115-2723
(206) 729-7079
dorthea3k@yahoo.com

From: Kayla Lester <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 08:06 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Kayla Lester
3621 Becky Pl
Mount Vernon, WA 98274-8804
littlemonster1022@hotmail.com

From: Karen And Bruce Roberts <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 08:07 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Karen And Bruce Roberts
PO Box 2537
Bellingham, WA 98227-2537
karenlroberts2011@gmail.com

From: Patti Rader <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 08:07 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patti Rader
120 S 295th Pl
Federal Way, WA 98003-3659
(253) 839-5075
pjrader@aol.com

From: Jill Bremer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 08:08 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jill Bremer
3505 Central St SE Apt 2
Olympia, WA 98501-3680
(360) 748-3043
nanabremer@gmail.com

From: Eric Eggen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:04 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Eggen
6231 W US Highway 12
Helena, MT 59601-9672
(406) 443-0678
eeggen64@gmail.com

From: Zoran Banjanin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:08 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Zoran Banjanin
5827 149th Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98006-4608
(425) 227-8026
banjanin@yahoo.com

From: Dan Freeman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:08 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

If we are to survive as a species we must transition away from dirty energy sources as soon as possible. This expensive project is counter productive.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Freeman
4395 Rollinghill Rd
Clinton, WA 98236-8439
(360) 341-2345
dan@elementalcorp.com

From: Anne Dittmer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Dittmer
402 SW 14th St
Battle Ground, WA 98604-2870
(360) 314-8009
anne.dittmer@yahoo.com

From: Anna Hill <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:14 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anna Hill
2711 NE 105th St
Seattle, WA 98125-7721
(206) 527-8303
anna.hill.206@gmail.com

From: Jordan Clark <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jordan Clark
1810 Ashwood Downs Ln SE Apt 112
Olympia, WA 98501-7666
jordansoma1210@comcast.net

From: Janelle Witter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:26 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janelle Witter
3136 Studio Ln Apt 19
Bellingham, WA 98226
janellewitter@yahoo.com

From: Susan Alter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:40 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Alter
204 N 195th St
Shoreline, WA 98133-3404
(206) 542-5976
salter9835@comcast.net

From: Susan Alter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:40 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Alter
204 N 195th St
Shoreline, WA 98133-3404
salter9835@comcast.net

From: Janet Triplet <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:46 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Triplet
PO Box 146
Baring, WA 98224-0146
(360) 677-2200
janet@skycabins.com

From: Katie Poinier <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:46 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Katie Poinier
8521 5th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-2917
kpoinier@gmail.com

From: Nora Miller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:50 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nora Miller
266 Lower Meadow Rd
Bozeman, MT 59715-9119
bumrun451@gmail.com

From: Carolyn Rasch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:57 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carolyn Rasch
12555 42nd Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-4620
(206) 363-1321
carolyn12555@gmail.com

From: Rod Jude <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rod Jude
130 Old Main Rd
N Falmouth, MA 02556-2701
(406) 579-7627
rjjude@gmail.com

From: Christian Black <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:06 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christian Black
2428 Creekwood Dr
Bozeman, MT 59715-2137
blackak@gmail.com

From: Peg Zetler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:08 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

This is dark ages thinking and a waist of life. Montana and all resource states have more than dirty coal to offer. The rail system is a joke and should be innovated (already available) and completely redone to move most materials and people. And no, no coal is needed nor fossil fuels to run new trains. STOP BEING IGNORANT by supporting profit damage and insanity. Create the new please.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Peg Zetler
250a N Colorado St
Dillon, MT 59725-3613
(406) 660-0006
pzetler@gmail.com

From: Thomas Swoffer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Swoffer
32607 SE 341st St
Ravensdale, WA 98051-8921
(360) 886-2086
swofftr@q.com

From: Susan Bechtholt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Bechtholt
5290 Banner Rd SE
Port Orchard, WA 98367-9764
(360) 871-6994
kaliel@juno.com

From: Craig Knowles <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:23 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Craig Knowles
914 Ridge Rd
Townsend, MT 59644-9580
(406) 202-2377
faunawest@gmail.com

From: Lura Irish <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:26 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lura Irish
PO Box 578
Lakebay, WA 98349-0578
(253) 884-4160
lbirish@earthlink.net

From: John Kus <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Kus
19 W Entiat Pl
Kennewick, WA 99336-3619
(509) 396-7335
kustomizer9@gmail.com

From: Robert Humphreys <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:38 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Humphreys
10528 60th Street Ct E
Puyallup, WA 98372-2775
professor.bob@live.com

From: Phyllis Robinson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Phyllis Robinson
318 N Hodges Rd
Spokane Valley, WA 99016-9674
plrobinson55@yahoo.com

From: Marilynn Taylor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:40 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marilynn Taylor
239 Chief Looking Glass Rd
Florence, MT 59833-6622
mrtaylor@q.com

From: Suzanna McDougal <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Suzanna McDougal
PO Box 1335
Hamilton, MT 59840-1335
(406) 381-5032
sumac99@icloud.com

From: Mariska Voronovszky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:50 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Mariska Voronovszky
4806 NE 316th Ct
Camas, WA 98607-9656
m.voronovszky@gmail.com

From: Scott Lee <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Lee
17323 Trombley Rd
Snohomish, WA 98290-6329
(360) 481-3066
scottlee@fairpoint.net

From: Anne Phillips <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:01 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Phillips
2111 S G St
Tacoma, WA 98405-4339
(360) 943-8239
kindness.w.heart@gmail.com

From: Barbara Paulson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Paulson
430 NW Larry St
Pullman, WA 99163-3525
(509) 332-7443
peppertiger1@gmail.com

From: Peter Altmann <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Altmann
3111 6th Ave
Tacoma, WA 98406-6216
peter@cga.net

From: Brian Mason <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:22 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Mason
8615 238th St SW Apt H102
Edmonds, WA 98026-8957
(206) 355-0650
mason.b@ghc.org

From: Scott Merrell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:22 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Merrell
PO Box 2153
Thompson Falls, MT 59873-2153
ssmdeep@hotmail.com

From: Matgaret Bird <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:23 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Matgaret Bird
1711 65th Ave NE
Tacoma, WA 98422-3606
margaret@yosemite.net

From: John Senseney <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:23 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Senseney
4278 Stemilt Creek Rd
Wenatchee, WA 98801-8935
(509) 667-1681
jwsen@aol.com

From: Gary Evans <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Evans
PO Box 134
Silver Creek, WA 98585-0134
(360) 985-2478
evansmoses57@aol.com

From: Laurie Sandvoss <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laurie Sandvoss
5422 E 27th Ave
Spokane, WA 99223-2327
(509) 536-7292
ilovebacksurgery@hotmail.com

From: Christopher King <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher King
7611 NW 16th Ave
Vancouver, WA 98665-7113
3pugdaddy@gmail.com

From: John Lambert <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Lambert
13008 276th Way NE
Duvall, WA 98019-6417
(206) 255-4326
jslambert@frontier.com

From: Mark Whitaker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Whitaker
14537 NE 40th St Apt H201
Bellevue, WA 98007-3379
kd7kun@yahoo.com

From: Laurie Tucker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:46 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Laurie Tucker
10718 SW 216th St
Vashon, WA 98070-6536
(206) 463-9812
lauriejm.tucker@gmail.com

From: Carlton Cross <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:46 AM
Subject: Tongue River Railroad
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Please say "no action" for the Tongue River Railroad. The negative impact for affected communities will be greater than the benefits to Arch Coal. No coal operation should be privileged to profit when the local communities must suffer. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better treatment.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Carlton Cross
1143 W Whitman Dr
College Place, WA 99324-1040
cross@bmi.net

From: Norine Grace <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Norine Grace
PO Box 476
Vashon, WA 98070-0476
(206) 567-4661
bernorvi3@comcast.net

From: Terry Saxe <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Terry Saxe
677 Middle Satsop Rd
Montesano, WA 98563-9773
(360) 249-2217
wraith39@centurylink.net

From: Michael Meacham <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 11:59 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Meacham
15313 NE 12th St
Vancouver, WA 98684-3638
(360) 314-2852
mikemeacham1@gmail.com

From: Denise Ciarlo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:10 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise Ciarlo
2563 Prestwick Dr
Richland, WA 99354-2182
(509) 942-4756
denisecn12@hotmail.com

From: Margarette Grant <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margarette Grant
341 S Forest St
Bellingham, WA 98225-5813
bikeskimag@gmail.com

From: Suzanne Hamer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Suzanne Hamer
17227 NE 195th St
Woodinville, WA 98072-6611
(206) 427-4531
tedsuza@gmail.com

From: David Porter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Porter
4471 139th Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98006-2211
(425) 644-2572
dnporter@hotmail.com

From: Sharon Miller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:24 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Miller
1501 NE 89th Ct
Vancouver, WA 98664-6413
smilertoo@aol.com

From: Forrest Nichols <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Forrest Nichols
PO Box 317
Indianola, WA 98342-0317
forrestdnichols@gmail.com

From: Selim Uzuner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Selim Uzuner
PO Box 750
Carnation, WA 98014-0750
uzunerselim@hotmail.com

From: Patt Doyle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patt Doyle
PO Box 93
Heisson, WA 98622-0093
(360) 687-4305
patt@olypen.com

From: Diane Frank <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:35 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Frank
PO Box 412
Rainier, WA 98576-0412
(360) 446-6113
1alaska@fairpoint.net

From: Marilyn Subala <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marilyn Subala
18119 NE 100th Ct
Redmond, WA 98052-3261
(425) 883-0599
aspenlb@msn.com

From: Kathy Lyle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:45 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathy Lyle
PO Box 1982
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-3982
dklyle@centurytel.net

From: E Hoppin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. E Hoppin
1616 15th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-4050
echoppin@yahoo.com

From: Diane Sullivan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Sullivan
1231 SW Kalama Loop
Oak Harbor, WA 98277-4556
(360) 679-4869
dianealida@mac.com

From: Rayanne Francis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rayanne Francis
4506 26th Ave SE
Lacey, WA 98503-3218
(360) 491-8559
rfrancis@southsoundradiology.com

From: Lynn Rabenstein <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lynn Rabenstein
201 N Section St
Burlington, WA 98233-2126
(360) 755-1286
lynnrabenstein@hotmail.com

From: Elizabeth Scranton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:55 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Elizabeth Scranton
4559 Center Rd
Lopez Island, WA 98261-8013
(360) 468-4383
cwf@rockisland.com

From: Paul Hernandez <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Hernandez
721 N 203rd St
Shoreline, WA 98133-3110
paulwh@earthlink.net

From: Carol Totten <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Carol Totten
3215 Bennett Dr
Bellingham, WA 98225-1113
(360) 676-1242
cftotten@gmail.com

From: Carol Totten <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Carol Totten
3215 Bennett Dr
Bellingham, WA 98225-1113
(360) 676-1242
cftotten@gmail.com

From: Terrance Ryan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 12:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Terrance Ryan
1020 Carl Johnson Rd
Quilcene, WA 98376-9702
(360) 765-0792
tpat376@yahoo.com

From: Sally Sheck <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:01 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sally Sheck
316 W Barrett St Unit A
Seattle, WA 98119-1956
(415) 235-6368
sallydmander@yahoo.com

From: Leo and Elisabet Van Swam <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Leo and Elisabet Van Swam
1974 Forest Ave
Richland, WA 99354-2156
stop
vanswam@charter.net

From: Terry Froggatt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Terry Froggatt
7031 17th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-5551
(206) 789-1808
tafroggatt@yahoo.com

From: John Rowland <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:06 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Rowland
22701 Lake Rd
Rocky River, OH 44116-1058
(425) 451-1309
john.rowland@cox.net

From: Brie Gyncild <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Brie Gyncild
1407 15th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-4117
(206) 325-3743
briegyncild@gmail.com

From: David Luxem <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Luxem
1903 SW Hillcrest Rd
Burien, WA 98166-3321
(206) 932-4439
dave.luxem@zones.com

From: John Farrell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Farrell
22417 111th Ave SW
Vashon, WA 98070-6920
(206) 463-2160
farrell650@hotmail.com

From: Leonard Parks <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Leonard Parks
2717 E 18th Ave
Spokane, WA 99223-5139
lparks.nidaho@outlook.com

From: Anand Parikh <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:22 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anand Parikh
19512 80th Ave W Apt B
Edmonds, WA 98026-6237
(425) 673-1888
aaptwo@yahoo.com

From: Rachel Banks <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:23 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rachel Banks
15a NE Torval Canyon Rd
Poulsbo, WA 98370-7627
enchantedwinter@gmail.com

From: Mark Johnston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Johnston
10404 Eagle Creek Rd
Leavenworth, WA 98826-9114
(509) 548-5766
s697striata@frontier.com

From: Amber Curtis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:30 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amber Curtis
2780 Utopian Way
Camano Island, WA 98282-7023
amberlynnecurtis@gmail.com

From: Constance Bain <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:32 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Constance Bain
2056 23rd Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-2936
(206) 323-6869
conbain@comcast.net

From: Valerie Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:33 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Valerie Anderson
1018 SE 140th Ct
Vancouver, WA 98683-7056
(503) 282-9422
mainsorter@yahoo.com

From: Peter Asprey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:43 PM
Subject: Coal Shipment Offshore is NOT the Answer
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Asprey
1210 Northwind Cir
Bellingham, WA 98226-8065
(360) 543-5633
optionzz@gmail.com

From: Phyllis Villeneuve <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:45 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Phyllis Villeneuve
5312 Fadling Rd SW
Olympia, WA 98512-9445
(360) 352-9101
lepsville@gmail.com

From: Lynne Roberson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynne Roberson
351 Sandhagen Rd
Port Angeles, WA 98363-9776
foxches@excite.com

From: Lynn O'Connor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynn O'Connor
6 Mink Creek Rd
Kettle Falls, WA 99141-9463
(509) 680-2065
gungalin@yahoo.com

From: Marie Weis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:52 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marie Weis
248 Shorewood Court Fi
Fox Island, WA 98333-9725
(253) 549-2600
marieweis@yahoo.com

From: Carol Olson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:53 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Olson
42577 Rolling Rd
Ronan, MT 59864-8970
(406) 676-3002
c.olson@gmx.us

From: Melanie Sharbono <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:53 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melanie Sharbono
1334 N 183rd St
Shoreline, WA 98133-4505
(206) 542-8136
cookie36389@mypacks.net

From: "Dr. Jenifer Preston" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 01:55 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Dr. Jenifer Preston
PO Box 1257
Morton, WA 98356-0090
(360) 264-2270
naturalvet@lugosoft.net

From: Marlene Yallup <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:12 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marlene Yallup
PO Box 325
Wapato, WA 98951-0325
(509) 895-3110
marlene@yakama.com

From: Aaron Kirby <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Aaron Kirby
9621 W Maple Dr
Pasco, WA 99301-6578
(150) 998-7475
akirby16@yahoo.com

From: Marianne Eddington <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marianne Eddington
20520 NE 221st Cir
Battle Ground, WA 98604-4922
(360) 666-6204
marniebg@gmail.com

From: Kathleen Thomas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Thomas
544 Front St S Apt 2
Issaquah, WA 98027-4212
kathleen.hike@gmail.com

From: Tami Meredith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tami Meredith
706 Pacific Ave S
Kelso, WA 98626-1608
(360) 270-4353
tamimeredith78@gmail.com

From: Lorene Hofstrand <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:31 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lorene Hofstrand
3450 Pinehurst Ct
Bellingham, WA 98226-4170
(360) 756-6957
lhofstrand@comcast.net

From: Christine Connolly <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:34 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Connolly
pob
White Salmon, WA 98672
bcon@gorge.net

From: Jerrold Long <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:35 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerrold Long
4100 NE 49th St
Vancouver, WA 98661-2613
(360) 909-9032
jerroldlong@gmail.com

From: David Peacey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Peacey
1105 Spring St Apt 805
Seattle, WA 98104-3516
(206) 329-2251
cilantropc@gmail.com

From: Aaron Kunkle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:40 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

Looking at the course of technological development, it should be clear that coal is becoming obsolete (solar is already competitive in several high-sunlight areas). We don't need to endanger natural habitats for the sake of a resource that harms the climate when used - especially when superior alternatives are right around the corner.

Sincerely,

Mr. Aaron Kunkle
3302 Humphrey St SE
Olympia, WA 98501-3939
tohron@hotmail.com

From: Philip Naro <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Philip Naro
21 Crescent Point Rd
Bozeman, MT 59715-2120
p.naro@wispwest.net

From: Quincy Maret <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Quincy Maret
70002 State Route 410 E
Enumclaw, WA 98022-8086
(928) 273-4535
quincymaret@gmail.com

From: Deborah Reilly <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Reilly
PO Box 365
Vashon, WA 98070-0365
reilly.deborah1@gmail.com

From: Jannis Conselyea <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jannis Conselyea
6070 N Slope Rd
Helena, MT 59602-8829
(406) 458-4711
jconselyea@gmsail.com

From: Steven Flynn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Flynn
4010 Fremont Avenue N
Seattle, WA 98103-7725
(206) 632-2353
sflynn8888@yahoo.com

From: Richard Jacke <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:52 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Jacke
9411 177th Pl NE Unit 1
Redmond, WA 98052-0830
(425) 869-8306
dickjacke@aol.com

From: Michele Beven <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:52 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michele Beven
17232 Bear Creek Rd
Bellingham, WA 98229-9397
(360) 724-3089
mbeven@fidalgo.net

From: Charles Fornia <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:53 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Fornia
12220 E Gibson Rd Apt 3
Everett, WA 98204-8698
cmfornia@yahoo.com

From: Dawn Skindziel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:56 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dawn Skindziel
PO Box 12116
Mill Creek, WA 98082-0116
(425) 760-4977
dawn.skindziel@forrent.com

From: Gerald Eller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:56 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gerald Eller
9305 NE 191st St
Bothell, WA 98011-2249
(425) 485-9195
geraldeller@comcast.net

From: Virginia White <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 02:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Virginia White
1229 - 29th St.
Port Townsend, WA 98368-6119
pvonc@olypen.com

From: Sandra Perkins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Perkins
13226 42nd Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-4627
(206) 000-0000
sandraperkins@seanet.com

From: Michelle Dean <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Michelle Dean
2325 N Castle Way
Brier, WA 98036-8329
lilithinred@gmail.com

From: Julie Lawell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Lawell
7312 Fauntleroy Way SW
Seattle, WA 98136-2011
(206) 935-5853
jlawell@uw.edu

From: Lois Bancroft <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:22 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Why do you, Arch Coal, along with many other companies believe in the light of global warming & living in the 21st century when we have other means available for power, continue to insist on destroying pristine environments,pollute our rivers & our lungs with your coal dust? I suppose you too are sending it to Asia where they will do the polluting, right? I thought so. Your vision, if you have any at all, is abhorrently short sighted.
Lois Bancroft
50 Moore Rd
White Salmon, WA 98672

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lois Bancroft

50 Moore Rd
White Salmon, WA 98672-8213
(509) 493-1080
loisbanc@gorge.net

From: Lois Bancroft <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:22 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Why do you, Arch Coal, along with many other companies believe in the light of global warming & living in the 21st century when we have other means available for power, continue to insist on destroying pristine environments,pollute our rivers & our lungs with your coal dust? I suppose you too are sending it to Asia where they will do the polluting, right? I thought so. Your vision, if you have any at all, is abhorrently short sighted.
Lois Bancroft
50 Moore Rd
White Salmon, WA 98672

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lois Bancroft

50 Moore Rd
White Salmon, WA 98672-8213
(509) 493-1080
loisbanc@gorge.net

From: David Covenant <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Covenant
131 Boodry St
Wenatchee, WA 98801-3804
planetar777@gmail.com

From: Jeriann Schriner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jeriann Schriner
600 Frederick St SE
Olympia, WA 98501-1925
rubystarlight@msn.com

From: Susan Evans <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:28 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Evans
28430 37th Ave S
Auburn, WA 98001-1001
(253) 854-6258
susan.evans2@comcast.net

From: James Boorn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:39 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Boorn
3229 141st St SE
Mill Creek, WA 98012-4678
(316) 773-7494
boornj@gmail.com

From: Shannon Sorem <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shannon Sorem
9412 25th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-3415
ssorem@costco.com

From: Mike Conlan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Mike Conlan
6421 139th Pl NE Apt 52
Redmond, WA 98052-4588
(425) 881-2593
mickconlan@hotmail.com

From: Kathy Forgrave <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathy Forgrave
10925 128th Pl NE
Kirkland, WA 98033-4754
(425) 827-7344
k2b2@forgrave.net

From: Beverly Viau <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beverly Viau
16214 21st Ave SW
Burien, WA 98166-2634
(206) 246-5709
beaverviau@msn.com

From: Paula Vail <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:54 PM
Subject: This is not the answer
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Please think about what you're doing. I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Paula Vail
PO Box 99452
Lakewood, WA 98496-0452
(253) 732-2550
pvailster@gmail.com

From: Karen Hedwig Backman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:54 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Hedwig Backman
31010 18th Ave S Apt 4
Federal Way, WA 98003-4934
(253) 946-3472
madmaker13@comcast.net

From: Tobi Braverman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:55 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Tobi Braverman
4343 26th Ave NE
Olympia, WA 98516-3005
n/a
bravermant@centurylink.net

From: Katherine Iosif <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I vehemently oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Katherine Iosif
1134 Finnegan Way Apt 202
Bellingham, WA 98225-6653
(360) 922-0233
kiosif@yahoo.com

From: Christine Sellers <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 03:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Sellers
19212 94th Ave W
Edmonds, WA 98020-2552
(425) 774-9410
sellersabroad@yahoo.com

From: Alan Hunt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:06 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

I have met members of the Northern Cheyenne tribe and shared with them in their plight a few years ago when their sacred lands were devastated for fracking. Please stop the further devastation of their tribal lands!!

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Alan Hunt
8701 W Skagit Ave
Kennewick, WA 99336-8158
vahunt6063@charter.net

From: Donald Campbell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Campbell
19460 NE Redmond Rd
Redmond, WA 98053-2939
dojca@msn.com

From: Ruth Darden <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ruth Darden
900 University St Apt 401
Seattle, WA 98101-2724
(206) 748-7395
dseattlered@seanet.com

From: Dean And Marilyn Webb <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:22 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Dean And Marilyn Webb
4522 36th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98199-1154
(206) 285-3672
dm_webb@live.com

From: Sheryl Sparling <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Why build a railroad to support a failing, polluting industry. Let put our money and efforts toward renewals!!

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheryl Sparling
1832 Pine Cir
Lynden, WA 98264-9121
(360) 318-9108
ssparling47@mac.com

From: Tj Morris <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tj Morris
PO Box 1664
Woodinville, WA 98072-1664
(425) 483-0171
tj@deepwellstudio.com

From: Leeann Cogert <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Leeann Cogert
8786 Wood Duck Way
Blaine, WA 98230-5703
cogerla@gmail.com

From: Craig Wilson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:39 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Wilson
582 W Lookout Ridge Dr Apt 201
Washougal, WA 98671-8014
c.r.wilson453@gmail.com

From: Eycke Strickland <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:39 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Eycke Strickland
613 Cedar Park Dr
Port Angeles, WA 98362-8427
eycke@olypen.com

From: peggy fly <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:48 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. peggy fly
13820 NE 65th St Apt 542
Redmond, WA 98052-9545
peggyafly@aol.com

From: Angela Mueller <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela Mueller
PO Box 31
Virginia City, MT 59755-0031
(406) 843-5219
abodieme@gmail.com

From: Sada Showell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:53 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sada Showell
620 W 7th St
Cheney, WA 99004-2469
(209) 603-7871
belldandy2579@hotmail.com

From: Diane Shaughnessy <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 04:58 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Shaughnessy
7308 N Skyview Pl Apt A208
Tacoma, WA 98406-1379
(253) 282-8485
dshau1@aol.com

From: Sharon Tate <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:09 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Tate
PO Box 421
Preston, WA 98050-0421
marlonwtate@gmail.com

From: Guadalupe Nickell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:22 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Guadalupe Nickell
000 000 000
Spokane, WA 99205
lupenickell@comcast.net

From: Kate Mcclure <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:23 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Kate Mcclure
217 E St SW
Auburn, WA 98001-5282
katevilda@yahoo.com

From: Jamie Caya <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jamie Caya
9401 Silver Star Ave
Vancouver, WA 98664-3450
(360) 260-2641
lil_pumpkin@comcast.net

From: Michelle Billmaier <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Billmaier
22322 NE 157th St
Woodinville, WA 98077-7459
(425) 788-1530
michelle.billmaier@gmail.com

From: Michelle Michaels-Tyner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Michaels-Tyner
1251 S 6th Way
Ridgefield, WA 98642-9244
micheitnw@q.com

From: Susanne Bardelson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:28 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susanne Bardelson
634 Janelle Pl NW
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-2745
(206) 842-8227
susanneyb@msn.com

From: Elizabeth Verbeck <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:29 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Elizabeth Verbeck
200 W 28th St
Vancouver, WA 98660-2208
(360) 693-0694
lizverbeck@hotmail.com

From: Tracey Parker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:45 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tracey Parker
17337 Reservation Rd
La Conner, WA 98257-8802
tparker@swinomish.nsn.us

From: Renee DeMartin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:45 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Renee DeMartin
4408 Delridge Way SW
Seattle, WA 98106-1347
rdemartin@w-link.net

From: Nancy Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:49 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Brown
930 14th St SW
Puyallup, WA 98371-7346
(760) 937-1324
monkeygirl90803@yahoo.com

From: Wanda Unger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:53 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wanda Unger
5721 38th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105-2208
(206) 525-8627
wandajoy@hotmail.com

From: Alex massey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alex massey
538 Lakeside Dr
Sedro Woolley, WA 98284-9588
(425) 210-6328
amassey96@gmail.com

From: Michaella Grauberger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 05:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Michaella Grauberger
7635 Clark Ave
Billings, MT 59106-1939
shaylarae@hotmail.com

From: Michael Cole <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:09 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Cole
603 W Garfield St
Seattle, WA 98119-3241
mcolephoto@mac.com

From: Lisa Lybarger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lisa Lybarger
209 Confer Rd
Kalama, WA 98625-9476
(360) 673-6770
yzduck@msn.com

From: Lynn Garner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynn Garner
1715 Harrison Ave NW
Olympia, WA 98502-5242
(360) 943-3074
lynn@ladylynns.comcastbiz.net

From: Peter Martynowych <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Martynowych
9740 46th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-2620
(206) 985-1702
pjmarty2030@gmail.com

From: Michelle Maani <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Maani
13100 NW 8th Ave Apt B
Vancouver, WA 98685-2762
lamoustique@yahoo.com

From: Rodney Herold <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:29 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rodney Herold
3317 S Hanford St
Seattle, WA 98144-6133
(205) 574-8628
rodneyph@aol.com

From: Susan McLaughlin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:31 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan McLaughlin
2015 NW 17th Ave
Camas, WA 98607-4100
(916) 485-0141
smudgecat@mac.com

From: Dennis Lowenthal <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Lowenthal
18720 Sound View Pl
Edmonds, WA 98020-2384
(425) 487-3752
lornaden@seanet.com

From: Susan Prestage <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:45 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan Prestage
11612 428th Ave SE
North Bend, WA 98045-8976
susan.prestage@gmail.com

From: Lawrence Gaspar <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 06:55 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lawrence Gaspar
161 E Heron Cv
Shelton, WA 98584-7027
(360) 432-3692
gaspar.associates@gmail.com

From: Keith Brumwell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Brumwell
13249 1st Ave SW
Burien, WA 98146-3305
gkeith777@comcast.net

From: Keith Brumwell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Brumwell
13249 1st Ave SW
Burien, WA 98146-3305
gkeith777@comcast.net

From: Anthony Rock <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony Rock
6107 139th Ct SW
Rochester, WA 98579-9741
(360) 456-0684
2anthony@comcast.net

From: Wm Schultz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wm Schultz
339 4th St W
Whitefish, MT 59937-3028
(406) 210-1763
snuhwolf@aim.com

From: Carrie Laben <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

As a former resident of Montana, I know only too well about the broken promises and destruction poorly-planned mining can leave behind.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carrie Laben
2312 31st Dr Apt 4f
Astoria, NY 11106-4199
(347) 419-2390
labenc@gmail.com

From: Yuliya Gorbanyoa <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:17 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Yuliya Gorbanyoa
123 S Main St
Seattle, WA 98104-2515
(206) 275-5701
gorbanyova@hotmail.com

From: Lynne Cooper <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:17 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynne Cooper
2862 Elberton Rd
Garfield, WA 99130-9750
(509) 635-1489
nursecoop911@yahoo.com

From: Wendy Danielson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:29 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wendy Danielson
PO Box 252
East Olympia, WA 98540-0252
(360) 570-0948
retrorebel.501@gmail.com

From: Bob Hicks <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 07:35 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Bob Hicks
2418 W North St
Bellingham, WA 98225-2059
(360) 441-4670
bobanddebrainskagit@hotmail.com

From: Christian Miller-Passat <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 08:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Coal in general is a dirty energy source and needs to be abandoned so we and our children can breathe a little easier. Simple things like turning the thermostat up in summer and down in winter can save tons of energy.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christian Miller-Passat
878 W X St
Washougal, WA 98671-7432
(206) 229-9000
tradewind77@yahoo.com

From: Cheryl Covington <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 08:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheryl Covington
23831 SE 277th Pl
Maple Valley, WA 98038-8192
(425) 413-8773
crc@writeme.com

From: Joe Swift <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 08:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad. If however, you decide to allow this blight upon our land, and airscape, please officially name it "the Climate Changer", in acknowledgement of what it will be doing for us in the long run!

Sincerely,

Mr. Joe Swift
12 Arcturus Ln
Emigrant, MT 59027
loseph@nethere.com

From: Deidrie Depew-Huygens <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 08:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deidrie Depew-Huygens
814 N Rodney St
Helena, MT 59601-3545
(406) 443-1919
deidriedh@gmail.com

From: Tammara Tippel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 08:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Tammara Tippel
PO Box 862
White Salmon, WA 98672-0862
(509) 493-8756
tammarat@custominterface.net

From: Andrea Van Houten <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 08:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Andrea Van Houten
789 Suzanne Ct
Langley, WA 98260-8609
bluecar72@gmail.com

From: Beverly Rawlett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 08:50 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Beverly Rawlett
17245 N Water St
Ellensburg, WA 98926
(509) 925-2068
snarlingmaiden@gmail.com

From: Kenneth R Beasley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 08:57 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth R Beasley
1037 NE 65th St # 127
Seattle, WA 98115-6655
(206) 850-0617
far.traveler@juno.com

From: Andrea Boury <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Andrea Boury
8397 158th Ave NE Apt 210
Redmond, WA 98052-5199
(425) 512-7984
a.boury85@gmail.com

From: Willard Westre <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:07 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Willard Westre
15704 SE 44th St
Bellevue, WA 98006-4514
(425) 641-6460
wwestre@hotmail.com

From: Catherine & Hal Martinez <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:07 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Catherine & Hal Martinez
24382 Catamount Ln NW
Poulsbo, WA 98370-9454
(206) 913-7914
halcat42@gmail.com

From: John Bayer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Bayer
3599 X St
Washougal, WA 98671-9161
(360) 844-5303
johnbayer10@yahoo.com

From: K G <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:11 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. K G
3 O
Orting, WA 983609449
(300) 000-0000
kimgroom@hotmail.com

From: Judy Avery <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

I live along one of the rail ways that is carrying this coal and have relatives who own land on the Tongue River. We oppose this and our voices need to be heard.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judy Avery
24319 N Lords Ln
Chattaroy, WA 99003-9732
(360) 584-2512
judysspace@hotmail.com

From: Anne Lester <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Anne Lester
900 University St Apt 407
Seattle, WA 98101-3769
(206) 922-2611
anne.lester@comcast.net

From: Mel O'Neal <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:31 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mel O'Neal
4819 54th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98118-1516
(206) 723-6832
meloneal69@gmail.com

From: Ernst Strahm <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:32 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ernst Strahm
173 S E Street
Bellevue, WA 98006-5595
erelstrahm@bluewin.ch

From: Alanna Taylor <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:36 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alanna Taylor
10604 161st Ave NE
Redmond, WA 98052-2679
alannataylor@msn.com

From: Theresa Woody <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 09:51 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Theresa Woody
2373 Greengate Cir
Apt F
West Palm Beach, FL 33415-7179
(561) 601-6483
theresawoody@bellsouth.net

From: Wendy Enden <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wendy Enden
2308 Camas Ave NE
Renton, WA 98056-8360
romecat@hotmail.com

From: Herbert Dye <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Herbert Dye
PO Box 250
Underwood, WA 98651-0250
herb@underwoodinc.com

From: Sheila Kelley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheila Kelley
241 Lovell Ave SW
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-2535
(206) 842-6618
skatbi@q.com

From: Sue Ellen Carpenter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sue Ellen Carpenter
248 Bon Jon View Way
Sequim, WA 98382-8093
(360) 681-2766
geraldsueellen@hotmail.com

From: Lynn Stiglich <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynn Stiglich
4214 NE 136th Cir
Vancouver, WA 98686-2618
(360) 573-1396
lstiglich@comcast.net

From: Paula Lindsay <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:15 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Paula Lindsay
9842 49th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98136-2721
(206) 937-2415
pdwl1999@yahoo.com

From: Cynthia Moir <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:17 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cynthia Moir
14910 NE 86th St
Vancouver, WA 98682-3450
(971) 271-3370
cyn.moir@gmail.com

From: Charles Carver <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Carver
PO Box 392
681 Salal Lane
Orcas, WA 98280-0392
(360) 376-6109
lefthandhorticulture@gmail.com

From: Chris Pasquini <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:22 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chris Pasquini
2535 Elmont Ct
Bellingham, WA 98229-5600
(360) 393-3609
christopherlp@comcast.net

From: S Jacky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:25 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. S Jacky
2411 Lexington St
Steilacoom, WA 98388-2707
(253) 588-8902
stardancer323@msn.com

From: Teresa Allen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:34 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Teresa Allen
6184 N Fork Rd
Deming, WA 98244-9513
(360) 592-4208
allenterri@comcast.net

From: Jay Bower <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:45 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jay Bower
1904 Gary St
Sumner, WA 98390-1236
(253) 863-8911
jcbower88@gmail.com

From: Kent Radek <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/20/2015 10:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 20, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kent Radek
9002 NE 152nd Pl
Kenmore, WA 98028-8307
(425) 205-7511
sporadek@gmail.com

From: Paul Birkeland <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:33 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Birkeland
6215 Ravenna Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-7025
(206) 522-9063
pbirkeland@seanet.com

From: Rebecca Anshell Song <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:34 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rebecca Anshell Song
13519 119th Ave NE
Kirkland, WA 98034-2158
(425) 269-1451
ranshell@gmail.com

From: christine mustelier <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:38 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. christine mustelier
900 32nd Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-5118
(206) 323-8122
mustelier@seanet.com

From: Judith Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:38 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Judith Wright
2801 Appaloosa Way
Richland, WA 99352-9646
(509) 396-9598
judith@ufaventures.com

From: Shirley Hanna <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:16 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Shirley Hanna
14215 NE 84th Ct
Redmond, WA 98052-3493
(425) 883-6882
pnwgardengal1@gmail.com

From: Shirley Hanna <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:16 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Shirley Hanna
14215 NE 84th Ct
Redmond, WA 98052-3493
(425) 883-6882
pnwgardengal1@gmail.com

From: G g <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:31 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. G g
3 i
Orting, WA 98360-9449
(300) 000-0000
geneophotos@hotmail.com

From: Mary Ni <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:33 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad. I hope you will promote transportation plans that truly benefit the people vs. benefit speculators that are pushing dirty energy.

Sincerely,

Dr. Mary Ni
18 Park Vale Ave
Allston, MA 02134-2622
(617) 254-2055
mary.ni@gmail.com

From: Randall Klefbeck <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:49 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randall Klefbeck
4985 Road 11 SW
Royal City, WA 99357-9715
(503) 653-9274
klerandre@yahoo.com

From: K Alexandra <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:50 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. K Alexandra
4311 Ginnett Rd
Anacortes, WA 98221-8581
(360) 293-8606
kalexandra@comcast.net

From: Barbara Zatrine <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:01 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Zatrine
5196 Graveline Rd
Bellingham, WA 98226-9055
babzat@gmail.com

From: Kari Hasbrouck <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kari Hasbrouck
512 M St
Hoquiam, WA 98550-3420
(360) 537-1073
momsong40@comcast.net

From: Dale Scholl <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:06 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dale Scholl
18300 NE 25th Cir
Vancouver, WA 98684-0781
(916) 451-4016
dalescholl@comcast.net

From: Jan Williams <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:31 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jan Williams
6400 NE Highway 99 Ste G
Vancouver, WA 98665-8748
(360) 258-6296
janklb@yahoo.com

From: Daniel Erickson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:38 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Erickson
2046 14th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98119-2788
seattles2r800@gmail.com

From: Paula Mackrow <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Paula Mackrow
PO Box 163
Carlton, WA 98814-0163
wildwood@breskin.com

From: Paula Mackrow <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Paula Mackrow
PO Box 163
Carlton, WA 98814-0163
wildwood@breskin.com

From: "Richard and mrs. DONNA Alvey" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 05:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard and mrs. DONNA Alvey
2900 Colonial Dr
Centralia, WA 98531-8880
elfheim42@gmail.com

From: "Richard and mrs. DONNA Alvey" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 05:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard and mrs. DONNA Alvey
2900 Colonial Dr
Centralia, WA 98531-8880
elfheim42@gmail.com

From: "Richard and mrs. Donna Alvey" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 05:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard and mrs. Donna Alvey
2900 Colonial Dr
Centralia, WA 98531-8880
elfheim42@gmail.com

From: Mark Levengood <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 05:26 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Levengood
Spokane
Spokane, WA 99201
levenma@gmail.com

From: Ahnne Ross <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 05:37 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ahnne Ross
8309 Zangle Rd NE
Olympia, WA 98506-9746
(360) 943-1169
ahnne1961@hotmail.com

From: Sergei Dobroshinsky <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 05:38 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sergei Dobroshinsky
25569 SE 41st Ct
Issaquah, WA 98029-7702
(425) 449-0110
sdobroshinsky@gmail.com

From: Florie Rothenberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 05:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Florie Rothenberg
3125 SW Raymond St
Seattle, WA 98126-2949
(206) 440-7264
frothenberg@comcast.net

From: Tim Newcomb <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 05:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tim Newcomb
10411 8th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-7411
(206) 280-9398
tmnewcomb@msn.com

From: Mason Frichette <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:01 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Yeah, if there's one thing the US needs, it's more coal. If we'd just get rid of coal-fired power plant air quality regulations, they could fill the air so full of particulates that it would block out the sun and put an end to global warming. I mean, it might even bring on a new ice age. Sounds like a plan.

Seriously, we desperately need to cut coal out of our energy equation and anything that makes more coal available to be burned is a terrible idea.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mason Frichette
12 Pearl Pl

Sequim, WA 98382-8887
(360) 681-6474
mfrichette@gmail.com

From: Darcy Rue <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Darcy Rue
5017 37th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105-3124
darcy_dalton@yahoo.com

From: Salvatore Ricciardi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:06 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Salvatore Ricciardi
10919 113th Ct NE Apt F308
Kirkland, WA 98033-3830
(425) 765-0108
sal_ricciardi@hotmail.com

From: Allyn Gallant <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:10 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Allyn Gallant
PO Box 821508
5404 NE 121st Ave., Unit 49
Vancouver, WA 98682-0034
grandpa.allyn@gmail.com

From: Rhayma Blake <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:11 AM
Subject: No to Coal and the construction of the Tongue River Railroad
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. We have already documented to dangers to the environment of soft coal. Sending it abroad will lonely further pollute the air and the oceans.Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rhayma Blake
4275 Matia View Dr
Lummi Island, WA 98262-8653
(360) 758-4131
rhayma@me.com

From: James Woodruff <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:14 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Woodruff
10750 17th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98146-2021
(206) 282-9799
tobydrexler@hotmail.com

From: Carlos Westergaard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:15 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Carlos Westergaard
14104 Dogwood Court Kp N
Gig Harbor, WA 98329-5516
(253) 303-0658
carlos_westergaard@hotmail.com

From: Nels Enns <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nels Enns
3rd Ave NE
Issaquah, WA 98027-3314
nels@vocepacifica.net

From: Lisa Sokanoff <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:21 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Sokanoff
2019 238th St SE
Bothell, WA 98021-9620
l.elainelisa@frontier.com

From: Katharine Sommerfield <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:30 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Katharine Sommerfield
2211 S Star Lake Rd Apt 12-204
Federal Way, WA 98003-2851
(908) 907-0488
kateg415@aol.com

From: Gerry Jennings <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:31 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gerry Jennings
317 Fox Dr
Great Falls, MT 59404-3835
(406) 452-3476
gerger1@bresnan.net

From: Kat Holmes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:40 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kat Holmes
24829 129th Pl SE
Kent, WA 98030-6618
(360) 739-5061
holmeskatherine@hotmail.com

From: Margo Margolis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:42 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Margo Margolis
4915 Samish Way Unit 7
Bellingham, WA 98229-8946
(360) 647-4561
margo.margolis@gmail.com

From: Marcia Sterhan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marcia Sterhan
110 Flying Cloud St
Sequim, WA 98382-3583
(805) 493-0524
saylayway@gmail.com

From: K Penn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:52 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. K Penn
Clinton, WA
Clinton, WA 98236
kristin7@whidbeyisland.com

From: Katherine Evans <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 07:05 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Katherine Evans
516 31st Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-6322
(206) 328-8234
katherine.evans0@gmail.com

From: Michelle Williamson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 07:10 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Michelle Williamson
2497 31st Ave NE
Issaquah, WA 98029-3619
williamson.michelle@gmail.com

From: Sharmayne Busher <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 07:11 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sharmayne Busher
9515 NE 80th Ave
Vancouver, WA 98662-1881
sjbusher2@yahoo.com

From: Gene Wheeler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 07:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gene Wheeler
43820 State Route 530 NE
Darrington, WA 98241-9537
(360) 386-9070
genewheeler1@gmail.com

From: Bill and Joy Justis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 07:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill and Joy Justis
6345 Cedar Flats Rd SW
Olympia, WA 98512-9410
(360) 866-8427
justis6345@comcast.net

From: Susie Saalwaechter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 07:14 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susie Saalwaechter
3803 155th Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98006-1753
susie.saalwaechter@gmail.com

From: David Rangel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 07:15 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. David Rangel
251 S Garden St
Bellingham, WA 98225-5819
(360) 734-2004
dprangelito@gmail.com

From: Wendy Bartlett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 07:16 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Wendy Bartlett
255 N Forest St Apt 116
Bellingham, WA 98225-5828
(360) 392-0984
wendyvw74@yahoo.com

From: Richard Nicolls <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 07:16 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Nicolls
7802 W Shore Dr
Anacortes, WA 98221-9584
(360) 293-9540
islandsthree@gmail.com

From: M Wahosi <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 07:16 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. M Wahosi
14713 113th Street Kp N
Gig Harbor, WA 98329-5742
one2onestars@yahoo.com

From: Sasha Slayton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 07:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sasha Slayton
10521 SE 232nd Pl
Kent, WA 98031-3351
(253) 856-2598
hopogunche@comcast.net

From: Vincenzo Fimiani <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 07:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Vincenzo Fimiani
Via S. Filippo Bianchi, 54
Messina, None 98122
fien@live.it

From: Erik Swenson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 07:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Erik Swenson
East Mercer Way
Mercer Island, WA 98040
eswenson@uw.edu

From: "E. Pecarich" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 08:08 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. E. Pecarich
3104 Western Ave Unit 507
Seattle, WA 98121-2065
(805) 804-7175
keanakai@yahoo.com

From: Jennifer Nitz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 08:08 AM
Subject: Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Nitz
802 E Front St
Missoula, MT 59802-4705
grizzalo@hotmail.com

From: Christy Lee-Engel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 08:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Christy Lee-Engel
9410 44th Pl NE
Seattle, WA 98115-3821
cdleee@gmail.com

From: Holly Schmitz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 08:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Holly Schmitz
1010 Panorama Point Rd
White Salmon, WA 98672-8694
(509) 637-5419
hollyschmitz@gorge.net

From: Carolyn Mamchur <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 08:37 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Carolyn Mamchur
4484 Colony Mountain Dr
Bow, WA 98232-8549
(360) 766-5322
mamchur@sfu.ca

From: Jay Mennenga <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 08:51 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jay Mennenga
PO Box 1624
Emigrant, MT 59027-1624
(406) 426-4041
jaymennenga@gmail.com

From: Hamilton Dutcher3 <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 08:51 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Hamilton Dutcher3
1501 Samish Way
Bellingham, WA 98229-3209
(360) 738-7014
hamilton.dutcher3@gmail.com

From: Lynn Graham <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 08:52 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynn Graham
358 S Garden St
Bellingham, WA 98225-5822
(360) 647-4534
animalwelfare77@yahoo.com

From: Roger McPherson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 08:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roger McPherson
745 Oak St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-5227
(360) 385-0175
roger76@olympus.net

From: Sean Bailey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 08:55 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sean Bailey
PO Box 750
Lakeside, MT 59922-0750
gonzagasean@gmail.com

From: Laura Dicus <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:00 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Dicus
20056 Woden Ct NE
Poulsbo, WA 98370-8761
(360) 697-1712
wc@laura-art.com

From: Gail Nicolls <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:00 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gail Nicolls
7802 W Shore Dr
Anacortes, WA 98221-9584
guemesgail@gmail.com

From: James Haas <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:00 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

IF WE WANT CLEAN AIR WE MUST STOP BURNING COAL TODAY. STOP COAL MINING AND IT'S TOXICWASTE IT LEAVES BEHIND. TRANSPORTING COAL BY RAIL IS NOT SAFE.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Haas
1912 163rd Ave NE
Bellevue, WA 98008-2525
(425) 908-7230
jp50haas@hotmail.com

From: Larry Williams <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:02 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative."
Climate change is real to me here in Northwest Washington. Weather forecasters are projecting a dryer and warmer weather pattern through October 2016. This current drought is killing native trees already as of August 2015. Stronger weather are also projected. These trees are an extreme fire hazard.

At 72 years old, I have never seen these weather conditions before. Burning coal in Asian markets has an impact here on this historic maritime climate. A radical reduction in atmospheric carbon emissions is for a healthy future.

This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Williams

PO Box 3273
Ferndale, WA 98248
Ferndale, WA 98248-3260
(360) 319-1024
lwilliams@nas.com

From: Oleg Varanitsa <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:03 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Oleg Varanitsa
84th
Redmond, WA 98052
skorpio2685@gmail.com

From: Maggie Larrick <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:04 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maggie Larrick
15007 24th Ave SW
Burien, WA 98166-1616
(206) 246-2126
maggielarrick@earthlink.net

From: Susan Shouse <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:05 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Shouse
14011 Puget Sound Blvd
Edmonds, WA 98026-3526
(425) 743-5628
sshouse64@gmail.com

From: Colleen Barcus <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:11 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Without clean water, air and soil what else is really going to matter?

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Colleen Barcus
PO Box 1123
Browning, MT 59417-1123
(406) 338-7217
cmbarcus@hotmail.com

From: Byron W <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:13 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Byron W
1
Seattle, WA 98105
byronw44@gmail.com

From: Rand Guthrie <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:21 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rand Guthrie
7102 77th Ave SE
Snohomish, WA 98290-5815
(360) 568-2665
r_guth7@yahoo.com

From: Kathleen Carelli <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:21 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathleen Carelli
726 16th Ave.
Northport, WA 99157
kcarelli@hotmail.com

From: Sarah Wilson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:47 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Wilson
385 NW Irving St
Pullman, WA 99163-3107
sarahwilson4108@gmail.com

From: Ted Atkins <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ted Atkins
17227 NE 195th St
Woodinville, WA 98072-6611
tedatkinsmetalwork@gmail.com

From: Keith Robillard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:48 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Robillard
4000 NE 109th Ave Unit 244
Vancouver, WA 98682-5731
(503) 869-6997
goshawk2004@yahoo.com

From: Roger Darden <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 10:07 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roger Darden
4201 E Fourth Plain Blvd Apt D13
Vancouver, WA 98661-8601
(360) 513-3499
rgrdrdn@gmail.com

From: Shelley Spalding <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 10:09 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shelley Spalding
330 W Satsop Bridge Rd
Elma, WA 98541-9706
saschar44@gmail.com

From: "Jean M. Avery" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 10:13 AM
Subject: Say no to the Tongue River Railroad
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the Surface Transportation Board to take the "no action alternative."

This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines.

Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jean M. Avery
13314 SE 19th St Apt T4
Vancouver, WA 98683-6595
(214) 923-4149
jeanmavery@gmail.com

From: Paul Doane <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 10:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Doane
3635 NW 12th Ave
Camas, WA 98607-7986
paul@thedoanes.net

From: Cassandra McDougall <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 10:29 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cassandra McDougall
7046 NE 153rd Pl
Kenmore, WA 98028-4612
cassi.mcdougall@gmail.com

From: Renna Hellfeldt <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 10:39 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Renna Hellfeldt
19293 303rd Pl NE
Duvall, WA 98019-8803
(206) 914-8917
renna_hell@hotmail.com

From: Paul Provinzano <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 10:53 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Provinzano
1508 Ontario St
Oshkosh, WI 54901-2859
(406) 299-2360
paulprovinzano@gmail.com

From: Angela Perstein <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:00 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela Perstein
3306 E Terrace St
Seattle, WA 98122-6456
(206) 328-9136
radarangel@msn.com

From: Cammie Edgar <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:07 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cammie Edgar
629 Red Hawk Trl
Stevensville, MT 59870-6047
edgar3mt@gmail.com

From: Eric Holtz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:09 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Holtz
716 2nd St
Kirkland, WA 98033-5551
(425) 889-4769
eholtz716@hotmail.com

From: Paula Swedeen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:12 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

This is also a climate change issue. Leaving coal in the ground, rather than shipping across thousands of miles to be burned overseas is not prudent policy at this point in time.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Paula Swedeen
6510 Brownell Ln SW
Olympia, WA 98512-9479
swedeenconsulting@gmail.com

From: Vicky Heeley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:15 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vicky Heeley
7010 164th St SW
Edmonds, WA 98026-4924
vickyheeley@yahoo.com

From: Walter Hoesel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:17 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Walter Hoesel
32435 NE 120th St
Duvall, WA 98019-7013
(425) 788-5912
kwhoesel@msn.com

From: Patricia Bereczki <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:18 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

This Railroad is bad for all.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Bereczki
17003 SE 5th St
Vancouver, WA 98684-8406
(360) 944-7401
pat.bereczki@gmail.com

From: Jack Stansfield <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:20 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack Stansfield
16314 62nd Ave NW
Stanwood, WA 98292-8981
(360) 652-8965
jacks8981@frontier.com

From: Alan Lott <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alan Lott
23907 23rd Ave W
Bothell, WA 98021-9208
(808) 281-8175
a.lott@yahoo.com

From: John Eschen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:24 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Eschen
308 E St
Grand Coulee, WA 99133-9749
(509) 633-8129
desperatelyseekingcompanionship@hotmail.com

From: Amanda Cargol <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:36 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Amanda Cargol
2009 5th Ave NW
Puyallup, WA 98371-5111
uwmugglegrad@hotmail.com

From: Rhonda Turner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:36 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rhonda Turner
14027 SE 35th Loop
Vancouver, WA 98683-7716
(360) 260-8716
rhondaturner@comcast.net

From: Nadine LaVonne <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:43 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nadine LaVonne
2031 NW 59th St
313
Seattle, WA 98107-3170
nlavonne@comcast.net

From: Ray Engle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ray Engle
4650b Village Dr
Bellingham, WA 98226-8070
(360) 392-0955
rayengle66@gmail.com

From: Rob Lewis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:45 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rob Lewis
2727 Ontario St
Bellingham, WA 98226-4018
(360) 305-9272
earthcraftpainting@gmail.com

From: Chris Covert-Bowlds <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:47 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Chris Covert-Bowlds
523 N 84th St
Seattle, WA 98103-4309
(206) 883-8989
c.covertbowlds@comcast.net

From: Leslie Bontecou <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:50 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Leslie Bontecou
3333 227th St SW
Brier, WA 98036-8032
custecou@comcast.net

From: Philip Naro <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 11:52 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Philip Naro
21 Crescent Point Rd
Bozeman, MT 59715-2120
p.naro@wispwest.net

From: Nora Regan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nora Regan
1331 Olympic Ave
Port Townsend, WA 98368-4039
(360) 385-3369
norarn51@msn.com

From: Gayle Janzen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: Coal needs to stay in the ground! We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

Please chose the "No action alternative." Coal is last century's fuel source and is the major cause of global warming, so why on earth are you even considering allowing the Tongue River Railroad to be built? This will only exacerbate global warming as it gets burned in Asia and will subject millions of people to coal dust from trains going through their neighborhoods. Alternative energy is our future, so trying to revive the coal industry so a very few already rich Arch and Tongue River Railroad CEO's can get even richer, is wrong on so many levels. Enough dirty energy already - it's killing us. China needs to start ramping up their own alternative energy sources and stop trying to turn the PNW into their very own giant dirty energy export terminal.

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gayle Janzen
11232 Dayton Ave N
Seattle, WA 98133-8611
(206) 362-9278
cgjanzen@comcast.net

From: Diane Sullivan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:00 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Sullivan
1231 SW Kalama Loop
Oak Harbor, WA 98277-4556
(360) 675-1342
dianealida@mac.com

From: Donna Clark <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Clark
4414 Boise Ct
Vancouver, WA 98661-6313
(360) 600-4510
donna.wise.clark@gmail.com

From: George Sperline <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:02 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Sperline
3104 Bill McDnld Pkwy Apt D203
Bellingham, WA 98225-6067
georged.sperline@gmail.com

From: Ernest Windberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:03 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ernest Windberg
15214 NE 8th St Apt G17
Bellevue, WA 98007-4844
(425) 429-6980
eleneki@aol.com

From: Indigo Summer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Indigo Summer
100 Melrose Ave E Apt 711
Seattle, WA 98102-5563
(206) 769-9081
wolfdyke@gmail.com

From: dody h <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:04 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. dody h
PO Box 1201
Jacksonville, OR 97530-1201
dpits44@gmail.com

From: Jennifer Purcell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:12 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jennifer Purcell
218 Sea Pines Rd
Bellingham, WA 98229-9366
purcelj3@wwu.edu

From: Gena Dilabio <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:12 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gena Dilabio
3124 Dakota Dr
Mount Vernon, WA 98274-8902
(360) 428-8875
gdilabio@frontier.com

From: fred karlson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. fred karlson
5779 vista dr
ferndale, WA 98248
fkarlson@frontier.com

From: Gary Renzelman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:17 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Renzelman
PO Box 1737
Eastsound, WA 98245-1737
(360) 622-6216
garyr@rockisland.com

From: Catherine Ruha <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Hello out there! No more coal mining! No more support of dirty energy forms. They are killing us and the Earth. NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Catherine Ruha
1541 NE 91st St
Seattle, WA 98115-3144
(206) 729-2789
cathyruha@yahoo.com

From: Peter Holcomb <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Holcomb
2332 E Hemmi Rd
Bellingham, WA 98226-9536
(360) 966-0176
ljandpm@gmail.com

From: Ruth Ann Handewith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ruth Ann Handewith
8515 16th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-3117
(206) 524-8575
ruthhande@aol.com

From: Ann Becherer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:22 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ann Becherer
3843 94th Ave NE
Bellevue, WA 98004-1321
annbecherer@yahoo.com

From: Olena Bosenok <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:24 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Olena Bosenok
24241 SE 44th St
Issaquah, WA 98029-7525
bosenok@yahoo.com

From: Patricia Doran <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Doran
433 Bellevue Way SE
Bellevue, WA 98004-6658
patriciakdoran@yahoo.com

From: Jason Hann <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jason Hann
PO Box 354
Redmond, WA 98073-0354
(206) 437-9573
jbhann@gmail.com

From: Richard Howard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:31 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I vehemently oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Howard
3646 1/2 Woodlawn Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-8202
(206) 285-1655
ifoundrags@gmail.com

From: Julia Cochrane <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:31 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julia Cochrane
PO Box 1654
Port Townsend, WA 98368-0130
willlowtree@olypen.com

From: Caroline Donkin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:35 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Caroline Donkin
300 S Biscayne Blvd
Apt 2807
Miami, FL 33131-5375
(786) 473-0144
pentimento1112@yahoo.com

From: Jean Dodds <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:36 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jean Dodds
1926 F St
Vancouver, WA 98663-3344
(360) 694-5786
jdodds28@gmail.com

From: Carol Sword <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:36 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Sword
2701 Landes St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-7119
(360) 821-1415
csword@olypen.com

From: James Hipp <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Hipp
609 Rosette Ct
Bellingham, WA 98226-1745
jrhipp010@gmail.com

From: Joyce Beach <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:46 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joyce Beach
142 Woodside Dr
Longview, WA 98632-9668
(360) 353-5162
joycebeach68@gmail.com

From: Fred Nussbaum <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 12:51 PM
Subject: Just because it's there doesn't mean we have to mine it. No T
R
Railroad
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fred Nussbaum
1011 57th St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-1224
fredtrain@aol.com

From: John Scholten <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:12 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Scholten
8220 184th St SW
Edmonds, WA 98026-5830
maenam6@yahoo.com

From: Wendy Bartlett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:16 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Wendy Bartlett
255 N Forest St Apt 116
Bellingham, WA 98225-5828
(360) 392-0984
wendyvw74@yahoo.com

From: Spencer Selander <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Spencer Selander
341 Pioneer Ave NE
Castle Rock, WA 98611-9233
spencerselander@yahoo.com

From: Jeffrey Jackson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeffrey Jackson
2716 SE 169th
Vancouver, WA 98683
(910) 581-1588
jeffrey.d.jackson@live.com

From: Shari Bruun <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shari Bruun
631 NE Garfield St
Camas, WA 98607-2147
shari.bruun@gmail.com

From: Elizabeth Waldorf <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Elizabeth Waldorf
3840 NW Lincoln Ave
Corvallis, OR 97330-2360
elizabeth.waldorf@mgccc.edu

From: Courtney Lehman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:28 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Courtney Lehman
1021 W 12th Ave
Spokane, WA 99204-3815
courtneylehman5@gmail.com

From: Katherine Pierini <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:36 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Katherine Pierini
715 30th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-5011
(206) 329-4238
kateypierini@hotmail.com

From: Kate Lee <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:40 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kate Lee
PO Box 525
Olga, WA 98279-0525
(360) 376-4095
kate@orcasonline.com

From: Lee Ann Greaves <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lee Ann Greaves
13810 E 41st Ave
Spokane, WA 99206-9332
leeanng1@me.com

From: Mark Roberts <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Roberts
11355 SW 12th St
Beaverton, OR 97005-4092
mark@ecl.us

From: Ann Cordero <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust. I am particularly concerned that this project is attempting to place a coal terminal in my home town of Longview, Washington.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann Cordero
2814 Lilac St
Longview, WA 98632-3529
(360) 577-8626
corderoa@teleport.com

From: Beth Milton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:45 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beth Milton
923 Olympia Ave NE
Olympia, WA 98506-3937
kittykat1923@hotmail.com

From: "Luther E. Franklin" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Luther E. Franklin
19510 SE May Valley Rd
Issaquah, WA 98027-8518
lufrank@comcast.net

From: Amy Amoroso <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:52 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Amy Amoroso
2425 SW Webster St Apt B5
Seattle, WA 98106-1779
amy_amoroso@yahoo.com

From: Suzanne Grant <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:52 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Suzanne Grant
2723 4th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98119-2336
suzgrant@qwest.net

From: Fred Karlson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 01:53 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fred Karlson
5779 Vista Dr
Ferndale, WA 98248-9369
(360) 380-1799
fkarlson@frontier.com

From: Gerry Jennings <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:06 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gerry Jennings
317 Fox Dr
Great Falls, MT 59404-3835
gerger1@bresnan.net

From: Michelle Grome <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:16 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Grome
7715 173rd St SW
Edmonds, WA 98026-5017
mgrome@msn.com

From: Brian Lewis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Lewis
5332 80th St NE
Marysville, WA 98270-3116
(360) 925-6680
brianjl56@comcast.net

From: Ej Norgard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:34 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ej Norgard
4117 York St
Bellingham, WA 98229-5011
(360) 393-2919
fishbone311@gmail.com

From: Celeste Howard <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:40 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Celeste Howard
6525 NE Deer Run St
Hillsboro, OR 97124-8902
celeste@pacifier.com

From: alex massey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. alex massey
538 Lakeside Dr
Sedro Woolley, WA 98284-9588
(425) 210-6328
amassey96@gmail.com

From: Helen Behan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Helen Behan
21330 93rd Pl W
Edmonds, WA 98020-3300
(425) 673-1810
behanhj@comcast.net

From: Keith Scheid <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:46 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Scheid
4107 Maple St
Vancouver, WA 98660-1646
(541) 485-8953
scheidism@aol.com

From: Christopher Frandsen <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:58 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Frandsen
420 E Beckwith Ave
Missoula, MT 59801-4427
(406) 728-7792
ctfrandsen@gmail.com

From: V Kent <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 02:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. V Kent
PO Box 5224
Helena, MT 59604-5224
vakent@aol.com

From: Kristina Rohder <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:30 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Kristina Rohder
PO Box 806
Stanwood, WA 98292-0806
kristinarae89@gmail.com

From: Linda Cacopardo <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:31 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Cacopardo
212 B St
Dixon, MT 59831-9533
(406) 246-3522
cardo102@hotmail.com

From: Marion Gerrish <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:32 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marion Gerrish
857 1st Avenue East N
Kalispell, MT 59901-3709
oooo
mgerrish295@gmail.com

From: April Armstrong <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:32 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. April Armstrong
115 N Kennedy St
Belgrade, MT 59714-3824
(400) 638-8970
aprila2112@msn.com

From: Robert Perhay <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:35 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Perhay
1321 W Platinum St
Butte, MT 59701-2125
(406) 723-4646
rlp2west@yahoo.com

From: Holly Harris <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Holly Harris
2850 McKenzie Ave
Bellingham, WA 98225-6942
hollyharris888@gmail.com

From: Jane Jaehning <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:38 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane Jaehning
1511 West Beach Rd
Oak Harbor, WA 98277-8575
(360) 279-1261
janejaehning@yahoo.com

From: Nena Cook <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:38 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nena Cook
123 Lovey
Portland, WA 99156-9158
cooknena@hotmail.com

From: Dan Streiffert <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Streiffert
10102 SE 270th Pl
Kent, WA 98030-7635
(253) 796-2203
dan_streiffert@hotmail.com

From: Beth Call <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 03:46 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beth Call
102 Otis St
Walla Walla, WA 99362-2035
(509) 529-0216
espcall04@charter.net

From: Paul Booker <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:09 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Booker
611 N Sunset Dr
Camano Island, WA 98282-7322
sevire2012@yahoo.com

From: Ann Simandl <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:15 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ann Simandl
21070 Brevik Pl NW
Poulsbo, WA 98370-6645
(360) 908-2006
asimandl@hotmail.com

From: Helen Curtis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:16 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Helen Curtis
8523 N Stevens Dr
Spokane, WA 99208-5930
critters6@hotmail.com

From: Heather Chapin <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:18 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heather Chapin
7126 N Interstate Ave
Portland, OR 97217-5454
heatherchapin@comcast.net

From: K Hardiman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:19 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. K Hardiman
10050 NE 197th St
Bothell, WA 98011-2471
(425) 485-8169
kchardiman@hotmail.com

From: Edward Mcaninch <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:36 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Edward Mcaninch
1820 NW Edgehill St
Camas, WA 98607-9364
(360) 834-2175
mcaninch1818@comcast.net

From: Justin Williams <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Justin Williams
412 Robinson St
Everson, WA 98247-9790
(360) 966-0997
strandell409@gmail.com

From: Carolyn Savage <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 04:47 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Savage
9805 Johnson Point Loop NE
Olympia, WA 98516-9113
(360) 493-1666
captainsis@comcast.net

From: Todd Corbett <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Todd Corbett
10815 Hubbard Creek Rd
Umpqua, OR 97486-9614
htcorbett@hotmail.com

From: Bard Davidson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 06:35 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bard Davidson
806 17th St
Bellingham, WA 98225-6339
b_davidson33@hotmail.com

From: Jean Teach <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 09:36 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jean Teach
3708 E Evergreen Blvd
Vancouver, WA 98661-5417
jteach@webtv.net

From: Claus & Phyllis Dolph <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 10:05 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Claus & Phyllis Dolph
2320 26th St
Anacortes, WA 98221-2490
(360) 293-5951
pidolph@frontier.com

From: Ronald Palmatier <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/21/2015 10:06 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Palmatier
7345 21st Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-5715
rapportr@gmail.com

From: June Lindsey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/22/2015 12:35 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 21, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. June Lindsey
8405 W Mercer Way
Mercer Island, WA 98040-5632
(206) 232-8889
junelindsey@comcast.net

From: Stefanie Wickstorm <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/22/2015 01:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Stefanie Wickstorm
801 E Tacoma Ave
Ellensburg, WA 98926-3577
stefanie.wickstrom@gmail.com

From: "Joseph A. Yencich" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/22/2015 02:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph A. Yencich
9117 NE 151st St
Bothell, WA 98011-6829
(206) 940-9364
jyencich@gmail.com

From: Jean Pauley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/22/2015 03:10 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jean Pauley
414 Malden Ave E Apt D
Seattle, WA 98112-4516
(206) 320-8755
jeanlunnemann@yahoo.com

From: Erin Powell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/22/2015 03:37 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Erin Powell
1015 106th Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98004-6801
u2magpie@q.com

From: DiAnne Harris <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/22/2015 07:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. DiAnne Harris
1709 NE 78th St Unit 43
Vancouver, WA 98665-9649
(360) 695-3337
otis4225@gmail.com

From: DiAnne Harris <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/22/2015 07:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. DiAnne Harris
1709 NE 78th St Unit 43
Vancouver, WA 98665-9649
(360) 695-3337
otis4225@gmail.com

From: DiAnne Harris <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/22/2015 07:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. DiAnne Harris
1709 NE 78th St Unit 43
Vancouver, WA 98665-9649
(360) 695-3337
otis4225@gmail.com

From: Ann Hostler <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/22/2015 07:39 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann Hostler
1004 Commercial Ave
Anacortes, WA 98221-4117
rahostler@hotmail.com

From: Jacob Miner <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/22/2015 11:08 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 22, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jacob Miner
1617 Summit Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-2398
ferrarirt@yahoo.com

From: Cindy Shapley <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/23/2015 10:09 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cindy Shapley
4806 71st Dr NE
Marysville, WA 98270-6939
(425) 397-9422
tcshap@comcast.net

From: Leslie Chartrand <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/23/2015 12:09 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is pushing this speculative railroad and mine. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to this risky project.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including lowered property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leslie Chartrand
13023 NE Highway 99
7-30
Vancouver, WA 98686-2767
leslie@lesliechartrand.com

From: Paul Thomson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/23/2015 12:09 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Thomson
14505 NE 82nd St
Vancouver, WA 98682-4275
paultnature@yahoo.com

From: Susan Windnagel <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/23/2015 04:40 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Windnagel
PO Box 1944
6326 MT Baker Hwy
Maple Falls, WA 98266-1944
snoflk1@hotmail.com

From: Kari Sypher <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/23/2015 04:40 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kari Sypher
7103 California Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98136-1924
karixfiore@gmail.com

From: Marti Campbell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/23/2015 06:40 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marti Campbell
PO Box 3573
Sequim, WA 98382-5038
(425) 232-8201
traveling.marti@gmail.com

From: Patricia Daly <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/23/2015 06:40 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 23, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad.

Consider this: "U.S. study says air pollution killing 4,000 daily in China"
article in the Seattle Times -August 2015.

The study announced Thursday blamed emissions from the burning of coal,
both for electricity and heating homes.

Physicists at the University of California calculate that heart, lung, and stroke
problems resulting in death are caused by incredibly polluted air, especially
small particles of haze.

Unlike the U.S., air pollution in China is worst in winter because coal is burned
to heat homes, and weather conditions keep dirty air closer to the ground.

Please consider the above together with all the other reasons given to stop
the Tongue River Railroad. Who wants to be contributing to the death of
that many people?

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Miss Patricia Daly
707 18th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-3927
(206) 323-8977
pjdaly_4@hotmail.com

From: Robert Young <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/24/2015 07:11 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Young
206 W 5th St
Cle Elum, WA 98922-1152
jock_y@yahoo.com

From: Wendy Cornell <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/24/2015 08:41 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wendy Cornell
8132 Island View Dr NE
Olympia, WA 98506-9753
toadlet5@comcast.net

From: Sena Hagan <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/24/2015 12:41 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sena Hagan
4666 Wade St
Bellingham, WA 98226-2208
senajadams@gmail.com

From: Lehman Holder <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/24/2015 12:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

It's clear that coal is an energy of the past. I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lehman Holder
8916 NE 11th St
Vancouver, WA 98664-2411
(360) 901-0861
tripsguy@aol.com

From: Gabe Wilcox <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/24/2015 01:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gabe Wilcox
285 Lampson Ln
Burbank, WA 99323-9742
wilcox2323.gw@gmail.com

From: Carol Nelson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/24/2015 04:42 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Nelson
PO Box 17
Olney, MT 59927-0017
(406) 881-2322
goodcreekranch@hotmail.com

From: J J Lindsey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/24/2015 05:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. J J Lindsey
222 xxx
Olympia, WA 98501-3758
(360) 222-3333
jhawk@gglbbs.com

From: Donald Bishop <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/24/2015 08:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Donald Bishop
10370 Dry Creek Rd
Belgrade, MT 59714-8123
db.flyz@yahoo.com

From: Beth Sellars <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/24/2015 10:13 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beth Sellars
111 NW 51st St
Seattle, WA 98107-3424
(206) 783-2602
bethsellars@comcast.net

From: Mae Ikawa <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/24/2015 11:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 24, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mae Ikawa
8012 Burke Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-4521
(206) 522-5532
maenray2@msn.com

From: Susan Millis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/25/2015 02:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 25, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Millis
23011 Moulton Pkwy
Ste I9
Laguna Hills, CA 92653-1232
sjohnson@millisandco.com

From: David Tarico <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/25/2015 03:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 25, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Tarico
1325 Yakima Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144-3832
(206) 697-6414
dtarico@gmail.com

From: Elena Martinez <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/25/2015 04:14 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 25, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elena Martinez
820 SE 104th Ave
Vancouver, WA 98664-4635
niiromayonaka@yahoo.com

From: William Hewes <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/25/2015 11:43 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 25, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Hewes
3476 Lockwood Ct
Simi Valley, CA 93063-5374
mrwrh@sbcglobal.net

From: Lacy Tipton <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/26/2015 11:33 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 26, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Lacy Tipton
7563 Old Bridge Rd
Leavenworth, WA 98826-9333
lacyrain@gmail.com

From: Marianne Larkins-Strawn <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/26/2015 12:58 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 26, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marianne Larkins-Strawn
16415 NE 11th St
Vancouver, WA 98684-9414
mari.larkinss@gmail.com

From: Dariusz Kowalczyk <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/26/2015 02:29 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 26, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dariusz Kowalczyk
2514 E Union St
Seattle, WA 98122-3048
(425) 273-0337
dpkowal@hotmail.com

From: James Reeder <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/27/2015 01:14 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Reeder
23525 78th Ave W
Edmonds, WA 98026-9301
(425) 771-9047
jrr.reeder@gmail.com

From: Paul Shemet <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/27/2015 01:44 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Paul Shemet
6600 Simmons Dr
Clinton, WA 98236-9638
(360) 341-6498
lvshemet@whidbey.com

From: Sharon Fetter <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/27/2015 02:52 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Fetter
PO Box 521
Puyallup, WA 98371-0054
(253) 848-2148
sfetter@earthlink.net

From: Jill Simpson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/27/2015 03:25 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jill Simpson
10415 NE 113th Pl
Kirkland, WA 98033-4322
jickrill@gmail.com

From: Stephen Bailey <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/27/2015 09:54 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Bailey
edward dr
deming, WA 98244
stilltruckinsb@yahoo.com

From: Malisa Ochoa <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/27/2015 12:20 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Malisa Ochoa
10408 Pine Ct
Pasco, WA 99301-6864
fancypantz17@yahoo.com

From: Chris Thoma <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/27/2015 01:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chris Thoma
2623 N Calispel St
Spokane, WA 99205-3127
(509) 599-1085
cethoma@msn.com

From: Carol Ellis <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/27/2015 02:21 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Ellis
2015 E 36th Ave
Spokane, WA 99203-4045
(509) 533-0587
carolellisspokane@hotmail.com

From: Sharon Cochran <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/27/2015 03:24 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Cochran
13106 E 11th Ave
Spokane Valley, WA 99216-0616
redcat535@comcast.net

From: Valkyrie Benson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/27/2015 06:56 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Valkyrie Benson
2232 Kempton St SE
Olympia, WA 98501-7471
kyrieous2011@gmail.com

From: Andie Pac <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/27/2015 10:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Andie Pac
Hollywood boulevard
Los Angeles, WA 99008
andra_pachef@yahoo.com

From: Andie Pac <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/27/2015 10:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Andie Pac
Hollywood boulevard
Los Angeles, WA 99008
andra_pachef@yahoo.com

From: Barbara Robinson <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/27/2015 10:59 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 27, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Robinson
4012 N Nevada St
Spokane, WA 99207-3058
(509) 487-6086
br99207@aol.com

From: Karen Swinger <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/28/2015 08:22 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 28, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett
MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins. Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Swinger
307 1/2 S Pine St
Ellensburg, WA 98926-3707
(937) 319-1084
karenswinger@yahoo.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: John Cutcliff <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/28/2015 10:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 28, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Cutcliff
PO Box 755
North Bend, WA 98045-0755
(425) 292-0744
cutcliff@gmail.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: John Cutcliff <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/28/2015 10:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 28, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Cutcliff
PO Box 755
North Bend, WA 98045-0755
(425) 292-0744
cutcliff@gmail.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Dorothy Johnston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>

Date: 08/28/2015 01:28 PM
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 28, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dorothy Johnston
10404 Eagle Creek Rd
Leavenworth, WA 98826-9114
(509) 548-5766
johnsdo@frontier.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Glen Grady <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/28/2015 02:26 PM
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 28, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glen Grady
915 W North Dragoon Dr
Colbert, WA 99005-9743
bluebirdsgg@gmail.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Glen Grady <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/28/2015 02:26 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 28, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glen Grady
915 W North Dragoon Dr
Colbert, WA 99005-9743
bluebirdsgg@gmail.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Robert Forgrave <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/28/2015 06:23 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 28, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative"
for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Forgrave
10925 128th Pl NE
Kirkland, WA 98033-4754
(425) 827-7344
bob@forgrave.net

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Julie Stohlman <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/29/2015 10:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Stohlman
715 Summit Ave E Apt B
Seattle, WA 98102-6932
(206) 325-2375
jubilation@h4consulting.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Rachel Lindberg <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/29/2015 11:27 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when

coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Miss Rachel Lindberg
10903 NE 102nd St
Vancouver, WA 98662-3328
rachelindberg@gmail.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Greg Zupansic <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/30/2015 12:56 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 29, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Greg Zupansic
1652 128th Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98005-3910
(425) 319-6660
gzupansic@mailcity.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Lina Oppenheimer <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 08/30/2015 01:26 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 30, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could

generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lina Oppenheimer
3855 38th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98118-1105
(206) 723-0476
linabean_opp@yahoo.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Cassandra Wolle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 09/01/2015 12:32 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of

dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cassandra Wolle
5799 SE Sedgwick Rd
Port Orchard, WA 98366-9093
emeralddagger@yahoo.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Cassandra Wolle <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 09/01/2015 12:32 AM
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Aug 31, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cassandra Wolle
5799 SE Sedgwick Rd
Port Orchard, WA 98366-9093
emeralddagger@yahoo.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: "Rev. Brian Hutchison" <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 09/01/2015 02:34 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Sep 1, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rev. Brian Hutchison
1415 NE 43rd St
Seattle, WA 98105-5804
bhutchy@hotmail.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Sarah Sanz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 09/02/2015 10:06 PM
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Sep 2, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Sarah Sanz
3122 Martinwood Rd

Missoula, MT 59802-3263
(406) 721-6040
roamfree26@gmail.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Sarah Sanz <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 09/02/2015 10:07 PM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Sep 2, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Dr. Sarah Sanz
3122 Martinwood Rd
Missoula, MT 59802-3263
(406) 721-6040
roamfree26@gmail.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Amy McKay <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 09/07/2015 01:19 AM
Subject: We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Sep 7, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Amy McKay
1806 Main St
Lynden, WA 98264-9763
(360) 220-0527
cheshire_cat81@hotmail.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Lindsey Weedston <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>
Date: 09/09/2015 08:16 PM
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Sep 9, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lindsey Weedston
17721 Hall Rd
Bothell, WA 98011-3673
lindseyweedston@gmail.com

----- Forwarded by Kenneth Blodgett/STB on 09/16/2015 01:46 PM -----

From: Darin Jones <sierra@sierraclub.org>
To: Ken Blodgett <blodgettk@stb.dot.gov>

Date: 09/13/2015 09:44 PM
Subject:We can do better than the Tongue River Railroad:
Sent by: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org>

Sep 13, 2015

MT Surface Transportation Board Ken Blodgett MT

Dear MT Surface Transportation Board Blodgett,

I oppose construction of the Tongue River Railroad and urge the STB to take the "no action alternative." This railroad's sole purpose is clearly to haul coal from Otter Creek to export markets at a time when coal markets are crumbling. Arch Coal, part owner of the railroad and the owner of the Otter Creek lease, is in financial ruins.
Montana ranchers, Northern Cheyenne tribal members, and community members down rail deserve better than to be sold down the river to a speculative railroad and mine.

The draft EIS wrongly assumes that there is a domestic coal market for high-sodium Otter Creek coal, and wrongly assumes that there will be no train traffic impacts from construction of a rail line that could generate 18-26 additional coal trains per day traveling on existing rail lines. Rail communities between the proposed railroad and Pacific Northwest export terminals would suffer the consequences of dramatically increased coal train traffic, including slashed property values, increased traffic congestion, safety hazards, and air pollution from both diesel exhaust and fugitive coal dust.

The only beneficiaries of the Tongue River Railroad will be executives from Arch and the Tongue River Railroad company. This is not in the public interest. Please choose the "no action alternative" for the Tongue River Railroad.

Sincerely,

Mr. Darin Jones
7239 Sand Point Way NE
Seattle, WA 98115-8102
darinjones1@gmail.com